## Introduction to Algorithms and Data Structures

Dynamic Programming - The Bellman-Ford Algorithm for Shortest Paths

## Shortest Paths in Graphs (Lecture 17)

- Input: A directed graph $G=(V, E)$, and a designated node $s$ in $V$. We also assume that every node $u$ in $V$ is reachable from $s$. We are also given a length $\ell_{e}>0$ for every edge $e$ in $E$.
- Output: For every node $u$ in $V$, a shortest path $s \sim u$ from $s$ to $u$.
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## Shortest Paths in Graphs (today)

- The difference is that the edge "lengths" can be positive or negative. In this context they are better interpreted as costs, and denoted by $c_{e}$ or $c_{u v}$.
- Motivation: e.g., Financial Networks
positive costs (costs of transactions) negative costs (profits of transactions)


## Shortest Paths in Graphs (today)

- Input: A directed graph $G=(V, E)$, and designated nodes $s, t$ in $V$. We also assume that every node $u$ in $V$ is reachable from $s$. We are also given a cost $c_{e} \in \mathbb{R}$ for every edge $e$ in E.
- Output: A shortest path $s \sim t$ from $s$ to $t$. In other words, a path $P$ that minimises
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## Negative Cycles

- Can we find a shortest path in the following graph?



## Shortest Paths in Graphs

- Input: A directed graph $G=(V, E)$, and designated nodes $s, t$ in $V$. We also assume that every node $u$ in $V$ is reachable from $s$, and that the graph does not have any negative cycles. We are also given a cost $c_{e} \in \mathbb{R}$ for every edge $e$ in $E$.
- Output: A shortest path $s \sim t$ from $s$ to $t$. In other words, a path $P$ that minimises

$$
\sum_{(u, v) \in P} c_{u v}
$$

## Why not Dijkstra?

## Dijkstra's Algorithm

- For every node $v \in V-S$, we determine the shortest path that can be constructed by traveling along a path $s \sim u$ for $u \in S$, followed by $(u, v)$.
- In other words, we choose node $v \in V-S$ such that
$d^{\prime}(v)=\min _{e=(u, v): u \in S} d(u)+\ell_{e}$
- Add $v$ to $S$ and define $d(v)=d^{\prime}(v)$.
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## Maybe modified Dijkstra?

- Idea: "Get rid" of the negative costs by adding a large number $\mathscr{M}$ to all the edge costs.


The shortest path changes!

## A dynamic programming approach

- The algorithm that we will present next was developed by Bellman (1958) and Ford (1956).
- Note that Dijkstra's algorithm was published in 1959.
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## Simple Observation

- Observation: If a graph does not have any negative cycles, then there is a shortest path $s \sim t$ from $s$ to $t$ that is simple, i.e., it does not repeat any nodes.


Adding the cycle cannot make the path shorter!

## Simple Observation

- Observation: If a graph does not have any negative cycles, then there is a shortest path $s \sim t$ from $s$ to $t$ that is simple, i.e., it does not repeat any nodes.


## Simple Observation

- Observation: If a graph does not have any negative cycles, then there is a shortest path $s \sim t$ from $s$ to $t$ that is simple, i.e., it does not repeat any nodes.
- Corollary: The length of any shortest path $s \sim t$ from $s$ to $t$ has at most $n-1$ edges.
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## Setting up our subproblems

- Previously:

Subset Sum: OPT(i,w) was the value of the optimal solution on the first $i$ items and weight $w$.

Weighted Interval Scheduling: OPT(i) was the value of the optimal solution on the first $i$ intervals.

- We could try something similar for the "first" $i$ nodes.
- Could be made to work, but it seems complicated.
- Instead, we will use the number of edges, rather than the set of nodes or edges.
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## Setting up our subproblems

- Let $\operatorname{OPT}(i, v)$ denote the minimum cost of a path $v \sim t$ from node $v$ to $t$ that uses at most $i$ edges.
- We could also use OPT( $i, v$ ) to denote the minimum cost of a path $s \sim v$ from $s$ to node $v$ that uses at most $i$ edges.
- This looks more like Dijkstra, but the former one is used in KT, because it fits better some of the other applications presented in the book.
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$$
\mathrm{OPT}(i+1, v)
$$
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## Setting up our subproblems

- Let $\operatorname{OPT}(i, v)$ denote the minimum cost of a path $v \sim t$ from node $v$ to $t$ that uses at most $i$ edges.
- What is then the (global) solution to our problem?
- OPT( $n-1, s)$


## Simple Observation

- Observation: If a graph does not have any negative cycles, then there is a shortest path $s \sim t$ from $s$ to $t$ that is simple, i.e., it does not repeat any nodes.
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Let $P$ a minimum-cost path using at most $i$ edges from $v$ to $t$ with cost $\operatorname{OPT}(i, v)$.
Case 2: $P$ uses exactly $i$ edges. Let $\left(v, w^{*}\right)$ be the first edge of $P$. Then OPT $(i, v)$ $=\mathrm{OPT}\left(j-1, w^{*}\right)$.

We don't know $w^{*}$.

Take min $\left(c_{\nu w}+\operatorname{OPT}(j-1, w)\right)$

$$
w \in N(v)
$$

## The recurrence relation
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ShortestPath ( $G, s, t$ ).
। $^{*}$ Let $n=|V| *$
Define 2-D Array $M\left[0, \cdots, n-1, s, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, t\right]$
Initialise $M[0, t]=0$, and $M[0, v]=\infty$ for all other $v \in V$.
For $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$
For $v \in V$

$$
M(i, v)=\min \left\{M(i-1, v), \min _{w \in N(v)}\left(c_{v w}+M(i-1, w)\right)\right\}
$$

Return $M(n-1, s)$
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ShortestPath ( $G, s, t$ ).
$।^{*}$ Let $n=|V|^{*}$
Define 2-D Array $M\left[0, \cdots, n-1, s, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, t\right]$
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\begin{aligned}
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\section*{Improved Memory Implementation}
- We will store only \(M^{\prime}[\nu]\) for every node \(v \in V\) rather than \(M^{\prime}[i, v]\) for all \(i\) and all \(v \in V\).
- This will be the length of the shortest path \(v \sim t\) that we have found so far.
- We still iterate over all \(i\) and all \(v\) as before, but \(i\) is only a counter.
- We use the following recurrence relation:
\(M[v]=\min \left\{M[v], \min _{w \in N(v)}\left(c_{v w}+M[w]\right)\right\}\)
- That works, however more work is needed to recover the shortest paths!

\section*{Shortest Paths in Graphs}
- Input: A directed graph \(G=(V, E)\), and designated nodes \(s, t\) in \(V\). We also assume that every node \(u\) in \(V\) is reachable from \(s\), and that the graph does not have any negative cycles. We are also given a cost \(c_{e} \in \mathbb{R}\) for every edge \(e\) in \(E\).
- Output: A shortest path \(s \sim t\) from \(s\) to \(t\). In other words, a path \(P\) that minimises
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- Input: A directed graph \(G=(V, E)\), and designated nodes \(s, t\) in \(V\). We also assume that every node \(u\) in \(V\) is reachable from \(s\), and that the graph does not have any negative cycles. We are also given a cost \(c_{e} \in \mathbb{R}\) for every edge \(e\) in \(E\).
- Output: A shortest path \(s \sim t\) from \(s\) to \(t\). In other words, a path \(P\) that minimises
\[
\sum_{(u, v) \in P} c_{u v}
\]

What if the graph has negative cycles? Can we at least detect that?

\section*{Detecting Negative Cycles}
- Can be done in time \(O(m n)\).
- It is in fact usually included as a part of the Bellman-Ford algorithm.
- We will not cover this here, see KT 6.10 for the details if you are interested.

\section*{Reading and References}
- Kleinberg and Tardos 6.8.
- CLRS 22.1.
- Roughgarden 18.1, 18.2.
- The Bellman-Ford visualiser: https://algorithms.discrete.ma.tum.de/graph-algorithms/spp-bellman-ford/index_en.html```

