## Compiling Techniques

Lecture 14: Building SSA Form

## Reminder: Static Single-Assignment (SSA) Form

> "A program is defined to be in Static Single-Assignment (SSA) form if each variable is a target of exactly one assignment statement in the program text."

- Each assignment statement defines a unique name.
- Each use refers to a single name.


## Representing Control Flow

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=0 \\
& \text { if }(a==42) \\
& \qquad x=x+1 \\
& \text { else } \\
& \qquad x=3
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
y=x+5
$$

## Representing Control Flow

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{ll}
x=0 \\
\text { if }(a==42) \\
x=x+1 \\
\text { else } \\
x=3
\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=0 \\
\text { if }(a==42)
\end{array} \\
& x_{2}=x_{1}+1 \\
& \\
\text { else } \\
y=x+5 & \\
x_{3}=3
\end{array}
$$

## Representing Control Flow

> Control Flow
> Graph (CFG)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=0 \\
& \text { if }(a==42) \\
& \quad x=x+1
\end{aligned}
$$

else

$$
x=3
$$

$$
y=x+5
$$

$$
x_{1}=0
$$

$$
\text { if }(a==42)
$$

$$
x_{2}=x_{1}+1
$$

else

$$
x_{3}=3
$$

$$
y=x_{?}+5
$$



## Representing Control Flow

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{ll}
x=0 \\
\text { if }(a==42) \\
x=x+1 \\
\text { else } \\
x=3
\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=0 \\
\text { if }(a==42)
\end{array} \\
& x_{2}=x_{1}+ \\
y=x+5 & \\
\text { else } \\
x_{3}=3 \\
x_{4}=\phi\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \\
y=x_{4}+5
\end{array}
$$



## $\phi$-function placement

## Naive approach:

1. At each join point insert a $\phi$-function for every variable name


## Dominators

$p$ dominates $q(p \gg q, p$ dom $q)$ iff
every path from the entry node $b_{0}$ to $q$ also visits $p$.
$\operatorname{Dom}(q)$ - set of nodes that dominate $q$.


## Dominators

$p$ dominates $q(p \gg q, p$ dom $q)$ iff
every path from the entry node $b_{0}$ to $q$ also visits $p$.
$\operatorname{Dom}(q)$ - set of nodes that dominate $q$.


| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D O M}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{0}, B_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Dominators

$p$ dominates $q(p \gg q, p$ dom $q)$ iff
every path from the entry node $b_{0}$ to $q$ also visits $p$.
$\operatorname{Dom}(q)$ - set of nodes that dominate $q$.


| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D O M}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{0}, B_{1}$ | $B_{0}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ | $B_{0}, B_{1}, B_{3}$ |  |  |  |

## Dominators

$p$ dominates $q(p \gg q, p$ dom $q)$ iff
every path from the entry node $b_{0}$ to $q$ also visits $p$.
$\operatorname{Dom}(q)$ - set of nodes that dominate $q$.


| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D O M}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{0}, B_{1}$ | $B_{0}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ | $B_{0}, B_{1}, B_{3}$ | $B_{0}, B_{1}, B_{4}$ |  |  |

## Dominators

$p$ dominates $q(p \gg q, p$ dom $q)$ iff
every path from the entry node $b_{0}$ to $q$ also visits $p$.
$\operatorname{Dom}(q)$ - set of nodes that dominate $q$.


## Dominators

$p$ dominates $q(p \gg q, p$ dom $q)$ iff
every path from the entry node $b_{0}$ to $q$ also visits $p$.
$\operatorname{Dom}(q)$ - set of nodes that dominate $q$.


## dom Relation

- reflexive
a dom a
- antisymmetric

$$
a \operatorname{dom} b \wedge b \operatorname{dom} a \Rightarrow a=b
$$

- transitive
$a \operatorname{dom} b \wedge b \operatorname{dom} c \Rightarrow a \operatorname{dom} c$



## Dominance frontier

## $p$ strictly dominates $q$ iff

$p$ dominates $q$ and $p \neq q$.

## $q$ is in dominance frontier of $p$ iff

- $\quad p$ domitates a predecessor of $q$.
- $p$ does not strictly dominate $q$.
$D F(p)$ - dominance frontier of $p$.


| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Dominance frontier

## p strictly dominates $q$ iff

$p$ dominates $q$ and $p \neq q$.

## $q$ is in dominance frontier of $p$ iff

- $\quad p$ domitates a predecessor of $q$.
- $p$ does not strictly dominate $q$.
$D F(p)$ - dominance frontier of $p$.


| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $\varnothing$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Dominance frontier

## $p$ strictly dominates $q$ iff

$p$ dominates $q$ and $p \neq q$.

## $q$ is in dominance frontier of $p$ iff

- $\quad p$ domitates a predecessor of $q$.
- $p$ does not strictly dominate $q$.
$D F(p)$ - dominance frontier of $p$.


| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $\varnothing$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Dominance frontier

## $p$ strictly dominates $q$ iff

$p$ dominates $q$ and $p \neq q$.
$q$ is in dominance frontier of $p$ iff

- $\quad p$ domitates a predecessor of $q$.
- $p$ does not strictly dominate $q$.
$D F(p)$ - dominance frontier of $p$.


| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $\varnothing$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{4}$ |  |  |  |

## Dominance frontier

## $p$ strictly dominates $q$ iff

$p$ dominates $q$ and $p \neq q$.
$q$ is in dominance frontier of $p$ iff

- $\quad p$ domitates a predecessor of $q$.
- $p$ does not strictly dominate $q$.
$D F(p)$ - dominance frontier of $p$.


| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $\varnothing$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ |  |  |

## Dominance frontier

## $p$ strictly dominates $q$ iff

$p$ dominates $q$ and $p \neq q$.
$q$ is in dominance frontier of $p$ iff

- $\quad p$ domitates a predecessor of $q$.
- $p$ does not strictly dominate $q$.
$D F(p)$ - dominance frontier of $p$.


| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $\varnothing$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{6}$ |  |

## Dominance frontier

## $p$ strictly dominates $q$ iff

$p$ dominates $q$ and $p \neq q$.
$q$ is in dominance frontier of $p$ iff

- $\quad p$ domitates a predecessor of $q$.
- $p$ does not strictly dominate $q$.
$D F(p)$ - dominance frontier of $p$.


| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $\varnothing$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{6}$ | $\varnothing$ |

## Minimal SSA



| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $\varnothing$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{6}$ | $\varnothing$ |

## Minimal SSA

Idea
an assignment to $x$ in the node $B$ introduces a $\phi$-function in every node from DF(B)

1. $\phi$-function placement
2. renaming

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{0}: x \\
& y=0 \\
& y
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $\varnothing$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{6}$ | $\varnothing$ |

## Minimal SSA

Idea
an assignment to $x$ in the node $B$ introduces a $\phi$-function in every node from $D F(B)$

1. $\phi$-function placement
2. renaming

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{0}: x \\
& y=0 \\
& y
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $\varnothing$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{6}$ | $\varnothing$ |

## Minimal SSA

Idea
an assignment to $x$ in the node $B$ introduces a $\phi$-function in every node from DF(B)

1. $\phi$-function placement
2. renaming

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{0}: x \\
&=0 \\
& y=1
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $\varnothing$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{6}$ | $\varnothing$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{6}: x & =\phi(x, x) \\
y & =\phi(y, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Minimal SSA

Idea
an assignment to $x$ in the node $B$ introduces a $\phi$-function in every node from DF(B)

1. $\phi$-function placement
2. renaming

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{0}: & x \\
= & =0 \\
y & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{D F}(\boldsymbol{B})$ | $\varnothing$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{1}, B_{6}$ | $B_{6}$ | $\varnothing$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{6}: & x
\end{aligned}=\phi(x, x), ~=\phi(y, y)
$$

## Minimal SSA

## Idea

an assignment to $x$ in the node $B$ introduces a $\phi$-function in every node from DF(B)

1. $\phi$-function placement
2. renaming

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{0}: & x_{\theta}
\end{aligned}=0
$$

## SSA forms

- Maximal SSA

Introduce a $\phi$-function at every join node for every variable

- Minimal SSA

Introduce a $\phi$-function at every join node for every variable where two distinct definitions of the same name meet

- Pruned SSA

Same as minimal SSA, but don't insert $\phi$-functions if its result is not live.

- Semipruned SSA

Same as minimal SSA, but don't insert $\phi$-functions for names that are not live across a block boundary

## Block Arguments

Instead of using $\phi$-nodes (like LLVM), xDSL and MLIR use block arguments to represent control flow - dependent values.

```
func.func @simple(i64, i1) -> i64 {
^bb0(%a: i64, %cond: i1): // Code dominated by ^bb0 may refer to %a
    cf.cond_br %cond, ^bb1, ^bb2
^bb1:
    cf.br ^bb3(%a: i64) // Branch passes %a as the argument
^bb2:
    %b = arith.addi %a, %a : i64
    cf.br ^bb3(%b: i64) // Branch passes %b as the argument
// ^bb3 receives an argument, named %c, from predecessors
// and passes it on to bb4 along with %a. %a is referenced
// directly from its defining operation and is not passed through
// an argument of ^bb3.
^bb3(%c: i64):
    cf.br ^bb4(%c, %a : i64, i64)
^bb4(%d : i64, %e : i64):
    %0 = arith.addi %d, %e : i64
    return %0 : i64 // Return is also a terminator.
}
```

