

Exercise Sheet: Week 9

- (1) One way to code up list structures in λ -calculus is this. The list (x, y, z) is represented as a function that takes two arguments c and n , and gives back $cx(cy(czn))$; in other words, $(x, y, z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda c. \lambda n. cx(cy(czn))$. Similarly for lists of other lengths.

Explain this construction. (*Hint*: the choice of ‘ c ’ and ‘ n ’ as letters is not random.)

Give definitions in this encoding of λ -terms representing the *nil* list, the *cons* function, and the *head* function for lists.

What happens if you call your *head* function on the *nil* list?

- (2) The recursion combinator we used was

$$Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda F. (\lambda X. F(XX))(\lambda X. F(XX))$$

What happens if you try to use Y in a call-by-value evaluation strategy? Here is a different version of Y that works for call-by-value:

$$Y' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda F. (\lambda X. F(\lambda Z. XXZ))(\lambda X. F(\lambda Z. XXZ))$$

This is very similar – study it, and describe what technique, mentioned in the slides, is being used to make Y' from Y . (*Hint*: a Greek letter is involved.)

- (3) If t is a well-typed λ -term $t : \tau$, then it evaluates into a well-typed term $t' : \tau$. Is it true that for general terms s and s' , if $s' : \tau$ and $s \xrightarrow{\beta} s'$, then $s : \tau$?