

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Advanced Database Systems Spring 2024

Lecture #16: Access Methods

R&G: Chapter 14

QUERY EVALUATION



DBMS query processors do not execute a query as a large monolithic block...

... but split the query into a number of specialised routines, the **query operators**

QUERY PLAN

The operators from (extended) RA are arranged in a **tree** called **query plan**

Edges indicate data flow (I/O of operators)

Data flows from the leaves towards the root

The output of the root is the query result

RA operators: selection (σ), projection (π), union (\cup), intersection (\cap), difference (–), product (×), join (⋈), renaming (ρ), assignment ($\mathbf{R} \leftarrow \mathbf{S}$), duplicate elimination (δ), aggregation (\mathbf{y}), sorting (τ), division (\mathbf{R} / \mathbf{S})

SELECT	R.id, S.value
FROM	R, S
WHERE	R.id = S.id
AND	S.value > 100



QUERY OPERATORS

For RA operator ⊛, a typical DBMS query engine may provide different implementations ⊛', ⊛", ... all semantically equivalent to ⊛ with different performance characteristics

Variants ($\textcircled{}', \textcircled{}'', \ldots$) are called physical operators implement the logical operator of the relational algebra

Physical operators exploit properties such as:

- presence or absence of indexes on the input file(s),
- sortedness and size of the input file(s),
- space in the buffer pool, buffer replacement policy, etc.

QUERY PLANS

Logical Plan



Physical Plan

Query optimisation = choose "best" physical plan (among many alternatives)

QUERY EVALUATION WORKFLOW

- 1. Parse given query
- 2. Translate query to RA
- **3. Enumerate** plans by selecting physical operators and order of operators
- 4. Estimate cost of physical query plans
- 5. Select the "optimal" query plan
 Space of possible plans far too large
 Some type of approximation is used
 No guarantee to find optimal query plan



ACCESS METHODS

An **access method** (path) is a way the DBMS can access the data stored in a table

Not defined in relational algebra

Includes selection **predicates**

Three basic approaches:

Sequential scan

Index scan

Multi-Index / "Bitmap" scan

Choice depends on #pages needed to read

SELECT	R.id, S.value
FROM	R, S
WHERE	R.id = S.id
AND	S.value > 100



SEQUENTIAL SCAN

For each page in the table

Retrieve it from the buffer pool

Iterate over each tuple and check if it matches (arbitrary) predicate **p**

for page in table.pages:
 for t in page.tuples:
 if evalPred(p,t):
 // do something!

The DBMS keeps an internal **cursor** that tracks the last examined page

I/O cost = read **N** pages

Number of output pages = *sel(p)* · *N* pages

sel(p) – *selectivity* of predicate *p* is the fraction of tuples satisfying predicate *p* The selection operator often processes tuples "on-the-fly" (no writing to disk)

INDEX SCAN

The DBMS picks an index to find the tuples that the query needs

Which index to use depends on:

- What attributes the index contains
- What attributes the query references
- The attributes' value domains
- Predicate composition
- Whether the index has unique or non-unique keys
- Whether the index is clustered or unclustered

• • •

INDEX SCAN

Suppose that a single table has two indexes

Tree index 1 on age

Index 2 on **dept**

Scenario #1

There are 99 people under the age of 30 but only 2 people in the CS department

SELECT * FROM Students
WHERE age < 30
AND dept = 'CS'
AND country = 'UK'</pre>

Scenario #2

There are 99 people in the CS department but only 2 people under the age of 30

RECAP: INDEXES AND SELECTION

Basic selection: <key> <*op*> <constant>

- Equality selections (*op* is =)
- Range selections (*op* is one of <, >, <=, >=, BETWEEN)
- B+ trees provide both
- Hash indexes provide only equality

RECAP: INDEXES AND ORDERING

Can index on any ordered subset of columns. Order matters!

Determines the selection predicates supported

In an ordered index (e.g., B+ tree), the keys are ordered **lexicographically** by the search key columns:

Ordered by the 1st column

...

2 entries match on 1st column? Ordered by 2nd

Match on 1st and 2nd column? Ordered by 3rd

ID	Name	Age	Salary
123	Jones	31	300
443	Smith	32	400
244	Gold	55	140
134	Alvaro	55	400
221	McDonald	79	300

Ordered lexicographically by the search key (Age, Salary)

A tree index with a **composite search key** on columns $(A_1, A_2, ..., A_n)$ "matches" a selection predicate if:

The predicate is a conjunction of $m \ge 0$ equality clauses of the form:

 $A_1 = c_1 AND A_2 = c_2 \dots AND A_m = c_m$

and at most 1 additional range clause of the form:

AND A_{m+1} *op* c_{m+1} , where *op* is one of <, >, <=, >=, BETWEEN

Why does this "match"? Lookup and scan in lexicographic order

- Can do a lookup on equality conjuncts to find start-of-range
- Can do a scan of contiguous data entries at leaves

Scan while A_{m+1} op c_{m+1} holds. If no range clause, scan all matches to the first *m* conjuncts

A tree index on (Age, Salary) matches which range predicates?

Legend

Green for rows we visit that are in the range

Red for rows we visit that are not in the range

ID	Name	Age	Salary
123	Jones	31	300
443	Smith	32	400
244	Gold	55	140
134	Alvaro	55	400
221	McDonald	79	300

A tree index on (Age, Salary) matches which range predicates?

ID	Name	Age	Salary
123	Jones	31	300
443	Smith	32	400
244	Gold	55	140
134	Alvaro	55	400
221	McDonald	79	300

A tree index on (Age, Salary) matches which range predicates?

$$\checkmark Age = 31 and Salary = 400$$

✓ Age = 55 and Salary > 200

ID	Name	Age	Salary
123	Jones	31	300
443	Smith	32	400
244	Gold	55	140
134	Alvaro	55	400
221	McDonald	79	300

A tree index on (Age, Salary) matches which range predicates?

$$\checkmark$$
 Age = 31 and Salary = 400

✓ Age = 55 and Salary > 200

 \times Age > 31 and Salary = 400

ID	Name	Age	Salary
123	Jones	31	300
443	Smith	32	400
244	Gold	55	140
134	Alvaro	55	400
221	McDonald	79	300

Not a lexicographic range.

A tree index on (Age, Salary) matches which range predicates?

$$\checkmark$$
 Age = 31 and Salary = 400

✓ Age = 55 and Salary > 200

✓ Age = 31

ID	Name	Age	Salary
123	Jones	31	300
443	Smith	32	400
244	Gold	55	140
134	Alvaro	55	400
221	McDonald	79	300

Not a lexicographic range.

A tree index on (Age, Salary) matches which range predicates?

$$\checkmark$$
 Age = 31 and Salary = 400

✓ Age = 55 and Salary > 200

- \times Age > 31 and Salary = 400
- ✓ Age = 31

✓ Age > 31

ID	Name	Age	Salary
123	Jones	31	300
443	Smith	32	400
244	Gold	55	140
134	Alvaro	55	400
221	McDonald	79	300

Not a lexicographic range.

A tree index on (Age, Salary) matches which range predicates?

$$\checkmark$$
 Age = 31 and Salary = 400

✓ Age = 55 and Salary > 200

- X Age > 31 and Salary = 400
- ✓ Age = 31

✓ Age > 31

X Salary = 300

ID	Name	Age	Salary
123	Jones	31	300
443	Smith	32	400
244	Gold	55	140
134	Alvaro	55	400
221	McDonald	79	300

Not a lexicographic range.

INDEX-ONLY SCAN

Index-only plans

Queries might be answered without retrieving any tuples from one or more of the table if a suitable index is available

Index-only scans

Retrieve only matching search keys from index pages, without reading data pages

- Often much faster than heap scans
- due to small index sizes

SELECT E.dno, COUNT(*)
FROM Employee E
GROUP BY E.dno

Index on E.dno

```
SELECT E.dno, MIN(E.salary)
FROM Employee E
GROUP BY E.dno
```

Tree index on (E.dno, E.salary)

```
SELECT AVG(E.salary)
FROM Employee E
WHERE E.age = 25
AND E.salary > 300
```

Tree index on (E.age, E.salary)

CLUSTERED B+ TREE SCAN

A **clustered B+ tree** index whose search key matches the selection predicate **p** is clearly the superior method

I/O cost = 2-4 + (to reach a leaf page) sel(p) · (# of leaf pages) (to scan leaf pages)



If variant **B** or **C**, we may also need to access data records Requires reading *sel(p)* · *(# of data pages)* pages

But if the query uses only search key attributes, then **no need to access data records**!

UNCLUSTERED B+ TREE SCAN

Accessing an unclustered B+ tree index can be expensive

I/O cost ≈ # of matching **leaf index entries**

But index-only scans as fast as with clustered B+ trees!

If *sel(p)* indicates a large number of qualifying records, it pays off to

read the matching index entries <*k*, *rid*>

sort those entries on their *rid* field

access the pages in sorted *rid* order

Lack of clustering is a minor issue if *sel(p)* is close to 0



HASH INDEX SCAN

A hash index matches a selection predicate *p* only if:

- 1) p contains a term of the form A = c, and
- 2) the hash index has been built over column *A*

Composite search keys must be bounded entirely A hash index on (*age, dept*) matches *age* = *27 AND dept* = '*CS*' But does <u>not</u> match *age* = *27*

Use index to jump to the bucket of qualifying tuples

- Scan pages in that bucket looking for matches
- If search key values are unique, terminate after finding a match
- Otherwise, scan all pages in that bucket

Multi-Index Scan

If there are multiple indexes that the DBMS can use for a query:

- Compute sets of record IDs using each matching index
- Combine these sets based on the query's predicates (union vs. intersect)
- Retrieve the records and apply any remaining terms

Set intersection can be done with bitmaps, hash tables, or Bloom filters

Postgres calls this Bitmap Scan

Multi-Index Scan

Suppose that a single table has two indexes

Tree Index 1 on age

Index 2 on **dept**

DBMS may decide to use both indexes

Retrieve the record ids satisfying age < 30 using Tree Index 1

Retrieve the record ids satisfying **dept = 'CS'** using Index 2

Take their intersection

Retrieve records and check **country = 'UK'**

SELECT * FROM Students
WHERE age < 30
AND dept = 'CS'
AND country = 'UK'</pre>