

#### QUERY EXECUTION OVERVIEW **Relational Algebra** SQL Query SELECT S.name $\pi_{\text{S.name}}(\sigma_{\text{E.cid}='\text{INF-11199'}})$ FROM Student S, Enrolled E Student ⋈<sub>S.sid=E.sid</sub> Enrolled)) WHERE S.sid = E.sid Query Parser & **AND** E.cid = 'INF-11199' Optimiser Equivalent to...

But actually will

produce plan with

operator code

Logical Query Plan

Student

 $\pi_{\text{S.name}}$ 

O E.cid='INF-11199'

Enrolled

S.sid = E.sid



 $\pi_{\text{S.name}}^{\text{sorting}}$ 

sort-merge join

S.sid = E.sid

O B+ tree

.cid='INF-11199'

Enrolled





1

### Sorting

A file is **sorted** with respect to key k and ordering  $\Theta$ , if for any two records  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  with  $r_1$  preceding  $r_2$  in the file, their corresponding keys are in  $\Theta$ -order:

 $r_1 \Theta r_2 \Leftrightarrow r_1.k \Theta r_2.k$ 

A key may be a single attribute or an ordered list of attributes. In the latter case, the order is **lexicographical** Consider key (A,B) and **O** is <

 $r_1 < r_2 \Leftrightarrow r_1.A < r_2.A \lor (r_1.A = r_2.A \land r_1.B < r_2.B)$ 

5

# EXTERNAL SORTING

How can we sort a file of records whose size **exceeds the available main memory space** (let alone the available buffer manager space) by far?

#### Idea: Divide and conquer

Sort chunks of data that fit in memory, then write back the sorted chunks to disk Combine sorted chunks into a single larger file

#### Approach the task in two phases:

- 1. Sorting a file of arbitrary size is possible using only three buffer pages
- 2. Refine this algorithm to make effective use of larger buffer sizes

## SORTING ALGORITHMS

If data fits in memory, then we can use a standard sorting algorithm like quick-sort

Problem: sort 100GB of data with 1GB of RAM Why not virtual memory?

If data **does not fit** in memory, then we need to use a technique that is aware of the cost of writing data out to disk

6

## OVERVIEW

We will start with a simple example of a 2-way external merge sort

Files are broken up into *N* pages

The DBMS has a finite number of *B* fixed-size buffer pages



# 2-WAY EXTERNAL MERGE SORT



10

10

**EXTERNAL MERGE SORT** Previous algorithm uses only three buffer pages (B = 3) How can we make effective use of a larger buffer pool (B > 3)?

Reduce # of initial runs by using the full buffer space during in-memory sort Reduce # of passes by merging *B-1* runs at a time





### EXAMPLE

Sort N = 108 page file with B = 5 buffer pages
Pass #0: [108/5] = 22 sorted runs of 5 pages each (last run is only 3 pages)
Pass #1: [22/4] = 6 sorted runs of 20 pages each (last run is only 8 pages)
Pass #2: [6/4] = 2 sorted runs of 80 pages and 28 pages
Pass #3: Sorted file of 108 pages

Number of passes =  $1 + \lceil \log_{B-1}[N / B \rceil \rceil$  =  $1 + \lceil \log_4 22 \rceil$  =  $1 + \lceil 2.229... \rceil$ = 4 passes

Total I/O cost = **2***N***·**(**# of passes**) = 2·108·4 = 864





14

13

## USING B+ TREES FOR SORTING

If the table to be sorted has a B+ tree index on the sort attribute(s), we may be better off by accessing the index and avoid external sorting

Retrieve sorted records by simply traversing the leaf pages of the tree

Cases to consider

Clustered B+ tree Unclustered B+ tree

## CASE 1: CLUSTERED B+ TREE

Traverse to the left-most leaf page, then retrieve all leaf pages (variant **A**)

If variant **B** is used? Additional cost of retrieving data records: each page fetched just once

Always better than external sorting!



18

Data Records





20

21

**ALTERNATIVE TO SORTING** What if we do not need the data to be ordered? Forming groups in **GROUP BY** (no ordering) Removing duplicates in **DISTINCT** (no ordering) Hashing is a better alternative in this scenario Only need to remove duplicates, no need for ordering Can be computationally cheaper than sorting



# <section-header><table-cell><text><text><text><text><text>

## AGGREGATIONS

Collapse multiple tuples into a single scalar value (SUM, MIN, MAX, ...)

#### Hashing aggregates:

Populate an ephemeral hash table as the DBMS scans the relation. For each record check whether there is already an entry in the hash table

**DISTINCT**: Discard duplicate

**GROUP BY:** Perform aggregate computation

If we have to spill to disk, then we need to be smarter...

If everything fits in memory, then it's easy

SELECT A, MAX(B) FROM R GROUP BY A; 28

28

29

# HASHING AGGREGATE

#### **Partition phase**

Divide tuples into partitions based on hash key

#### Rehash phase

Build in-memory hash table for each partition and compute the aggregate

# HASHING AGGREGATE PHASE #1: PARTITION

Use a hash function h<sub>1</sub> to split tuples into partitions on disk We know that all matches live in the same partition Partitions are "spilled" to disk via output buffers



25



# HASHING AGGREGATE PHASE #2: REHASH

32

34

For each partition on disk:

Read it into memory and build an in-memory hash table based on a second hash function  $h_2 \ (\neq h_1)$ Then go through each bucket of this hash table to bring together matching tuples

No need to load the entire partition at once in memory

Can load several pages at a time

But the hash table built for each partition must fit in memory

If not enough memory, repeat Phase #1 on each partition with a different hash function

32



#### HASHING SUMMARISATION

During the Rehash phase, store pairs of the form **GroupKey**  $\rightarrow$  **RunningValue** 

When we want to insert a new tuple into the hash table

If we find a matching GroupKey, just update the RunningValue appropriately Else insert a new GroupKey  $\rightarrow$  RunningValue



## COST ANALYSIS

How big of a table can we hash using this approach?

**B-1** "spill partitions" in Phase #1

Each partition (i.e., its hash table) should be no more than **B** pages big

36

#### Answer: **B** · (**B**-1)

A table of *N* pages needs about sqrt(*N*) buffer pages Note: assumes hash distributes records evenly! Use a "fudge factor" f > 1 to capture the (small) increase in size between the partition and a hash table for that partition

Must be  $B > f \cdot N / (B-1)$ ; thus, we need approx.  $B > sqrt(f \cdot N)$  buffer pages

36

37

