


Compiling Techniques

Lecture 18: Introduction to Code Generation


Overview



Frontend: Lexer/Parser & AST Builder & Semantic Analyzer (CW 1 & 2)


Middle End: Optimizations

The Backend




- Translate IR into target machine code
- Choose instructions to implement each IR operation
- Decide which value to keep in registers
- Ensure conformance with system interfaces
- Automation has been less successful in the back end

Instruction Selection




- Mapping the IR into assembly code (in our case AST to RISC-V assembly)
- Assumes a fixed storage mapping & code shape
- Combining operations, using address modes

Register Allocation



- Deciding which value reside in a register
- Minimise amount of spilling

Instruction Scheduling



- Avoid hardware stalls and interlocks
- Reordering operations to hide latencies
- Use all functional units productively

The Big Picture

How hard are these problems?

These problems are tightly coupled

However, conventional wisdom says we lose little by solving these problems independently.

- Instruction selection
 - Can make locally optimal choices, with automated tool
 - Global optimality is NP-Complete
 -
- Instruction scheduling
 - Single basic block \Rightarrow heuristic work quickly
 - General problem, with control flow \Rightarrow NP-Complete
- Register allocation
 - Single basic block, no spilling \Rightarrow linear time
 - Whole procedure is NP-Complete (graph colouring algorithm)

How to solve these problems?

Approximate Solutions

Will be important to define good metrics for “close”, “good”, “enough”,

How to solve these problems?

- Instruction selection
 - Use some form of pattern matching
 - Assume enough registers or target “**important**” values
- Instruction scheduling
 - Within a block, list scheduling is “**close**” to optimal
 - Across blocks, build framework to apply list scheduling
- Register allocation
 - Start from virtual registers & map “**enough**” into k
 - With targeting, focus on “**good**” priority heuristic

Generating Code for a Register-Based Machine

The key code quality issue is holding values in registers

- when can a value be safely allocated to a register?
 - When only 1 name can reference its value
 - Pointers, parameters, aggregates & arrays all cause trouble
- when should a value be allocated to a register?
 - when it is both safe & profitable

Encoding this knowledge into the IR:

- assign a virtual register to anything that go into one
- load or store the others at each reference

Representing Values in Memory/Registers


Statically Typed Languages (C/C++)

Dynamically Typed Languages (Python)




ChocoPy

Plain (Unboxed) Values



Boxed Values



Properties of Boxed Values

Advantages

- Better for
 - Dynamically Typed Languages
 - Dynamic Dispatch
- Can be Treated More Uniformly in The Compiler

Disadvantages

- Reduced Performance (Runtime)
- Higher Memory Cost
- Requires Complex Runtime System

Instruction Selection: SSA Rewrites Across Dialects

```
%0 : !choco.ir.named_type<"int"> = choco.ir.literal() ["value" = 42 : !i32]
```

Translate Types

Select ASM Instructions

```
%0 : !riscv_ssa.reg = riscv_ssa.li() ["immediate" = 42 : !i32]
```

Rewriting Simple Literals

```
def rewrite_literal(self, op: Literal, rewriter: PatternRewriter):  
    value = op.value  
  
    if isinstance(value, IntegerAttr):  
        constant = RISCvSSA.LIOp(op.value)  
  
    if isinstance(value, BoolAttr):  
        if value.data == True:  
            constant = RISCvSSA.LIOp(1)  
  
        if value.data == False:  
            constant = RISCvSSA.LIOp(0)  
  
    rewriter.replace_op(op, [constant])
```

PatternRewriter: insert|erase_op - the low-level interface

```
def insert_op_before_matched_op(self, op: (Operation | Sequence[Operation]))
```

```
def insert_op_after_matched_op(self, op: (Operation | Sequence[Operation]))
```

```
def insert_op_at_start(self, op: Operation | Sequence[Operation], block: Block)
```

```
def insert_op_at_end(self, op: Operation | Sequence[Operation], block: Block)
```

```
def insert_op_before(self, op: Operation | Sequence[Operation], target_op: Operation)
```

```
def insert_op_after(self, op: Operation | Sequence[Operation], target_op: Operation)
```

```
def erase_op(self, op: Operation, safe_erase: bool = True)
```

PatternRewriter: The default interface

```
def replace_matched_op(self,  
    new_ops: Operation | Sequence[Operation],  
    new_results: Sequence[SSAValue | None] | None = None,  
    safe_erase: bool = True)
```

Replace an operation with a list of new operations

The users of the old operation are automatically connected to use the new operation.


What about Control Flow

```
if 1 < 0:    %0 = "riscv_ssa.li"() <{"immediate" = 1 : i32}> : () -> !riscv_ssa.reg
             %1 = "riscv_ssa.li"() <{"immediate" = 0 : i32}> : () -> !riscv_ssa.reg
             %2 = "riscv_ssa.slt"(%0, %1) : (!riscv_ssa.reg, !riscv_ssa.reg) -> !riscv_ssa.reg
             %3 = "riscv_ssa.li"() <{"immediate" = 0 : i32}> : () -> !riscv_ssa.reg
             "riscv_ssa.beq"(%2, %3) <{"offset" = #riscv.label<if_else_1>}> : ...


             "riscv_ssa.label"() <{"label" = #riscv.label<if_then_1>}> : () -> ()
print(1)     %4 = "riscv_ssa.li"() <{"immediate" = 1 : i32}> : () -> !riscv_ssa.reg
             "riscv_ssa.call"(%4) <{"func_name" = "_print_int"}> : (!riscv_ssa.reg) -> ()
             "riscv_ssa.j"() <{"offset" = #riscv.label<if_after_1>}> : () -> ()

else:       "riscv_ssa.label"() <{"label" = #riscv.label<if_else_1>}> : () -> ()
print(2)    %5 = "riscv_ssa.li"() <{"immediate" = 2 : i32}> : () -> !riscv_ssa.reg
             "riscv_ssa.call"(%5) <{"func_name" = "_print_int"}> : (!riscv_ssa.reg) -> ()

...        "riscv_ssa.label"() <{"label" = #riscv.label<if_after_1>}> : () -> ()
```



Basic Blocks: Linear Sequences of Operations



Do we need basic blocks

Advantages

- Facilitate reordering of control flow

Disadvantages

- Pattern rewrites become more difficult
- Not as easy to reason about

PatternRewriter: Moving Blocks

```
def inline_block_before_matched_op(self, block: Block)
```

```
def inline_block_before(self, block: Block, op: Operation)
```

```
def inline_block_after(self, block: Block, op: Operation):
```