

# Elements of Programming Languages

## Lecture 2: Evaluation

James Cheney

University of Edinburgh

September 29, 2022

# Overview

- Last time:
  - Concrete vs. abstract syntax
  - Programming with abstract syntax trees
  - A taste of induction over expressions
- Today:
  - Evaluation
  - A simple interpreter
  - Modeling evaluation using rules

# Values

- Recall  $L_{\text{Arith}}$  expressions:

$$\text{Expr} \ni e ::= e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 \times e_2 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}$$

- Some expressions, like 1,2,3, are special
- They have no remaining “computation” to do
- We call such expressions *values*.
- We can define a BNF grammar rule for values:

$$\text{Value} \ni v ::= n \in \mathbb{N}$$

# Evaluation, informally

- Given an expression  $e$ , what is its value?
  - If  $e = n$ , a number, then it is already a value.
  - If  $e = e_1 + e_2$ , evaluate  $e_1$  to  $v_1$  and  $e_2$  to  $v_2$ . Then add  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , the result is the value of  $e$ .
  - If  $e = e_1 \times e_2$ , evaluate  $e_1$  to  $v_1$  and  $e_2$  to  $v_2$ . Then multiply  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , the result is the value of  $e$ .

# Evaluation, in Scala

- If  $e = n$ , a number, then it is already a value.
- If  $e = e_1 + e_2$ , evaluate  $e_1$  to  $v_1$  and  $e_2$  to  $v_2$ . Then add  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , the result is the value of  $e$ .
- If  $e = e_1 \times e_2$ , evaluate  $e_1$  to  $v_1$  and  $e_2$  to  $v_2$ . Then multiply  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , the result is the value of  $e$ .

---

```
def eval(e: Expr): Int = e match {  
  case Num(n) => n  
  case Plus(e1,e2) => eval(e1) + eval(e2)  
  case Times(e1,e2) => eval(e1) * eval(e2)  
}
```

---

# Example

$$\text{eval} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{+} \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \text{1} \quad \text{\times} \\ \quad / \quad \backslash \\ \quad \text{2} \quad \text{3} \end{array} \right) = \text{eval}(1) + \text{eval} \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{\times} \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \text{2} \quad \text{3} \end{array} \right)$$

# Example

$$\text{eval}(1) + \text{eval} \left( \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\times} \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \boxed{2} \quad \boxed{3} \end{array} \right) = \text{eval}(1) + (\text{eval}(2) \times \text{eval}(3))$$

$$\text{eval}(1) + (\text{eval}(2) \times \text{eval}(3)) = 1 + (2 \times 3) = 1 + 6 = 7$$

# Expression evaluation, more formally

- To specify and reason about evaluation, we use a *evaluation judgment*.

## Definition (Evaluation judgment)

Given expression  $e$  and value  $v$ , we say  $v$  is the value of  $e$  if evaluating  $e$  results in  $v$ , and we write  $e \Downarrow v$  to indicate this.

- (A *judgment* is a relation between abstract syntax trees.)
- Examples:

$$1 + 2 \Downarrow 3 \quad 1 + 2 \times 3 \Downarrow 7 \quad (1 + 2) \times 3 \Downarrow 9$$



# Evaluation of Values

- A value is already evaluated. So, for any  $v$ , we have  $v \Downarrow v$ .
- We can express the fact that  $v \Downarrow v$  always holds (for any  $v$ ) as follows:

$$\overline{v \Downarrow v}$$

- This is a *rule* that says that  $v$  evaluates to  $v$  always (no preconditions)
- So, for example, we can derive:

$$\overline{0 \Downarrow 0} \quad \overline{1 \Downarrow 1} \quad \dots$$

# Evaluation of Addition

- How to evaluate expression  $e_1 + e_2$ ?
- Suppose we know that  $e_1 \Downarrow v_1$  and  $e_2 \Downarrow v_2$ .
- Then the value of  $e_1 + e_2$  is the number we get by adding numbers  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .
- We can express this as follows:

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow v_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v_2}$$

- This is a *rule* that says that  $e_1 + e_2$  evaluates to  $v_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v_2$  provided  $e_1$  evaluates to  $v_1$  and  $e_2$  evaluates to  $v_2$
- Note that we write  $+_{\mathbb{N}}$  for the *mathematical function* that adds two numbers, to avoid confusion with the *abstract syntax tree*  $v_1 + v_2$ .

# Expression evaluation: Summary

- Multiplication can be handled exactly like addition.
- We will define the meaning of  $L_{\text{Arith}}$  expressions using the following rules:

$$e \Downarrow v$$

$$\frac{}{v \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow v_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v_2}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{e_1 \times e_2 \Downarrow v_1 \times_{\mathbb{N}} v_2}$$

- This evaluation judgment is an example of *big-step semantics* (or *natural semantics*)
  - so-called because we evaluate the whole expression “in one step”

# Examples

- We can use these rules to *derive* evaluation judgments for complex expressions:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \overline{1 \Downarrow 1} \quad \overline{2 \Downarrow 2} \\
 \hline
 1 + 2 \Downarrow 3
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \overline{1 \Downarrow 1} \quad \overline{2 \Downarrow 2} \quad \overline{3 \Downarrow 3} \\
 \hline
 1 + (2 * 3) \Downarrow 7
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \overline{1 \Downarrow 1} \quad \overline{2 \Downarrow 2} \\
 \hline
 1 + 2 \Downarrow 3
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \overline{3 \Downarrow 3} \\
 \hline
 (1 + 2) * 3 \Downarrow 9
 \end{array}$$

- These figures are *derivation trees* showing how we can derive a conclusion from axioms
- The rules govern how we can construct derivation trees.
  - A leaf node must match a rule with no preconditions
  - Other nodes must match rules with preconditions.  
(Order matters.)
- Note that derivation trees “grow up” (root is at the bottom)

# Totality and Structural induction

- Question: Given any expression  $e$ , does it evaluate to a value?
- To answer this question, we can use structural induction:

## Induction on structure of expressions

Given a property  $P$  of expressions, if:

- $P(n)$  holds for every number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- for any expressions  $e_1, e_2$ , if  $P(e_1)$  and  $P(e_2)$  holds then  $P(e_1 + e_2)$  also holds
- for any expressions  $e_1, e_2$ , if  $P(e_1)$  and  $P(e_2)$  holds then  $P(e_1 \times e_2)$  also holds

Then  $P(e)$  holds for all expressions  $e$ .

# Proof by structural induction

- Let's illustrate with an example

## Theorem

*If  $e$  is an expression, then there exists  $v \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $e \Downarrow v$  holds.*

## Proof: Base case.

If  $e = n$  then  $e$  is already a value. Take  $v = n$ , then we can derive

$$\frac{}{e \Downarrow n}$$



# Proof by structural induction

## Proof: Inductive case 1.

If  $e = e_1 + e_2$  then suppose  $e_1 \Downarrow v_1$  and  $e_2 \Downarrow v_2$  for some  $v_1, v_2$ . Then we can use the rule:

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow v_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v_2}$$

to conclude that there exists  $v = v_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v_2$  such that  $e \Downarrow v$  holds. □

Note that again it's important to distinguish  $v_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v_2$  (the number) from  $v_1 + v_2$  the expression.

# Proof by structural induction

## Proof: Inductive case 2.

If  $e = e_1 \times e_2$  then suppose  $e_1 \Downarrow v_1$  and  $e_2 \Downarrow v_2$  for some  $v_1, v_2$ . Then we can use the rule:

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{e_1 \times e_2 \Downarrow v_1 \times_{\mathbb{N}} v_2}$$

to conclude that there exists  $v = v_1 \times_{\mathbb{N}} v_2$  such that  $e \Downarrow v$  holds. □

- This case is basically identical to case 1 (modulo  $+$  vs.  $\times$ ).
- From now on we will typically skip over such “essentially identical” cases (but it is important to really check them).



# Uniqueness

We can also prove the uniqueness of the value of  $v$  by induction:

## Theorem (Uniqueness of evaluation)

*If  $e \Downarrow v$  and  $e \Downarrow v'$ , then  $v = v'$ .*

### Base case.

If  $e = n$  then since  $n \Downarrow v$  and  $n \Downarrow v'$  hold, the only way we could derive these judgments is for  $v, v'$  to both equal  $n$ .  $\square$

# Uniqueness

## Inductive case.

If  $e = e_1 + e_2$  then the derivations must be of the form

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow v_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v_2} \qquad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow v'_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow v'_2}{e_1 + e_2 \Downarrow v'_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v'_2}$$

By induction,  $e_1 \Downarrow v_1$  and  $e_1 \Downarrow v'_1$  implies  $v_1 = v'_1$ , and similarly for  $e_2$  so  $v_2 = v'_2$ . Therefore  $v_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v_2 = v'_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v'_2$ .  $\square$

- The proof for  $e_1 \times e_2$  is similar.

# Totality, uniqueness, and correctness

- The Scala interpreter code defined earlier says how to interpret a  $L_{\text{Arith}}$  expression as a *function*
- The big-step rules, in contrast, specify the meaning of expressions as a *relation*.
- Nevertheless, *totality* and *uniqueness* guarantee that for each  $e$  there is a unique  $v$  such that  $e \Downarrow v$
- In fact,  $v = \text{eval}(e)$ , that is:

## Theorem (Interpreter Correctness)

For any  $L_{\text{Arith}}$  expression  $e$ , we have  $e \Downarrow v$  if and only if  $v = \text{eval}(e)$ .

- Proof: induction on  $e$ .

# Summary

- In this lecture, we've covered:
  - A simple interpreter
  - Evaluation via rules
  - Totality and uniqueness (via structural induction)
- all for the simple language  $L_{\text{Arith}}$
- Next time:
  - Booleans, equality, conditionals
  - Types