Elements of Programming Languages

Lecture 15: Evaluation strategies and laziness

James Cheney

University of Edinburgh

November 14, 2024

Overview

- Final few lectures: cross-cutting language design issues
- So far:
 - Type safety
 - References, arrays, resources
- Today:
 - Evaluation strategies (by-value, by-name, by-need)
 - Impact on language design (particularly handling effects)

Evaluation order

- We've noted already that some aspects of small-step semantics seem arbitrary
 - For example, left-to-right or right-to-left evaluation
- Consider the rules for $+, \times$. There are two kinds: computational rules that actually do something:

$$\overline{v_1 + v_2 \mapsto v_1 +_{\mathbb{N}} v_2} \qquad \overline{v_1 \times v_2 \mapsto v_1 \times_{\mathbb{N}} v_2}$$

 and administrative rules that say how to evaluate inside subexpressions:

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e_1'}{e_1 \oplus e_2 \mapsto e_1' \oplus e_2} \qquad \frac{e_2 \mapsto e_2'}{v_1 \oplus e_2 \mapsto v_1 \oplus e_2'}$$

Evaluation order

- We can vary the evaluation order by changing the administrative rules.
- To evaluate right-to-left:

$$\frac{e_2 \mapsto e_2'}{e_1 \oplus e_2 \mapsto e_1 \oplus e_2'} \qquad \frac{e_1 \mapsto e_1'}{e_1 \oplus v_2 \mapsto e_1' \oplus v_2}$$

To leave the evaluation order unspecified:

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e_1'}{e_1 \oplus e_2 \mapsto e_1' \oplus e_2} \qquad \frac{e_2 \mapsto e_2'}{e_1 \oplus e_2 \mapsto e_1 \oplus e_2'}$$

by lifting the constraint that the other side has to be a value.

Call-by-value

 So far, function calls evaluate arguments to values before binding them to variables

$$\frac{e_1\mapsto e_1'}{e_1\ e_2\mapsto e_1'\ e_2} \qquad \frac{e_2\mapsto e_2'}{v_1\ e_2\mapsto v_1\ e_2'} \qquad \overline{(\lambda x.\ e)\ v\mapsto e[v/x]}$$

- This evaluation strategy is called call-by-value.
 - Sometimes also called strict or eager
- "Call-by-value" historically refers to the fact that expressions are evaluated before being passed as parameters
- It is the default in most languages

Example

- Consider $(\lambda x.x \times x)$ $(1+2\times3)$
- Then we can derive:

$$\frac{2 \times 3 \mapsto 6}{1 + 2 \times 3 \mapsto 1 + 6}$$
$$(\lambda x. x \times x) (1 + 2 \times 3) \mapsto (\lambda x. x \times x) (1 + 6)$$

Next:

$$\frac{1+6\mapsto 7}{(\lambda x.x\times x)\ (1+6)\mapsto (\lambda x.x\times x)\ 7}$$

• Finally:

$$\overline{(\lambda x.x \times x) \ 7 \mapsto 7 \times 7 \mapsto 49}$$

Interpreting call-by-value

We evaluate subexpressions fully before substituting them for variables:

```
def eval (e: Expr): Value = e match {
    ...
    case Let(x,e1,e2) => eval(subst(e2,eval(e1),x))
    ...
    case Lambda(x,ty,e) => Lambda(x,ty,e)

case Apply(e1,e2) => eval(e1) match {
    case Lambda(x,_,e) => apply(subst(e,eval(e2),x))
}
```

Call-by-name

 Call-by-value may evaluate expressions unnecessarily (leading to nontermination in the worst case)

$$(\lambda x.42)$$
 loop \mapsto $(\lambda x.42)$ loop $\mapsto \cdots$

An alternative: substitute expressions before evaluating

$$(\lambda x.42)$$
 loop \mapsto 42

 To do this, remove second administrative rule, and generalize the computational rule

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e_1'}{e_1 \ e_2 \mapsto e_1' \ e_2} \qquad \frac{(\lambda x. \ e_1) \ e_2 \mapsto e_1[e_2/x]}{}$$

• This evaluation strategy is called *call-by-name* (the "name" is the expression)

Example, revisited

- Consider $(\lambda x.x \times x)$ $(1 + (2 \times 3))$
- Then in call-by-name we can derive:

$$\overline{(\lambda x.x \times x) \ (1+(2\times3)) \mapsto (1+(2\times3)) \times (1+(2\times3)))}$$

• The rest is standard:

$$(1+(2\times3))\times(1+(2\times3)) \mapsto (1+6)\times(1+(2\times3))$$

$$\mapsto 7\times(1+(2\times3))$$

$$\mapsto 7\times(1+6)$$

$$\mapsto 7\times7\mapsto49$$

• Notice that we recompute the argument twice!

Interpreting call-by-name

We substitute expressions for variables before evaluating.

```
def eval (e: Expr): Value = e match {
case Let(x,e1,e2) => eval(subst(e2,e1,x))
. . .
case Lambda(x,ty,e) => Lambda(x,ty,e)
case Apply(e1,e2) => eval(e1) match {
  case Lambda(x,_,e) => eval(subst(e,e2,x))
```

Call-by-name in Scala

- In Scala, can flag an argument as being passed by name by writing => in front of its type
- Such arguments are evaluated only when needed (but may be evaluated many times)

```
scala> def byName(x : => Int) = x + x
byName: (x: => Int)Int
scala> byName({ println("Hi_there!"); 42})
Hi there!
Hi there!
res1: Int = 84
```

• This can be useful; sometimes we actually want to re-evaluate an expression (see tutorial 8)

Simulating call-by-name

- Using functions, we can simulate passing $e: \tau$ by name in a call-by-value language
- Simply pass it as a "delayed" expression $\lambda().e: \mathtt{unit} \to \tau.$
- When its value is needed, apply to ().
- Scala's "by name" argument passing is basically syntactic sugar for this (using annotations on types to decide when to silently apply to ())

Comparison

- Call-by-value evaluates every expression at most once
 - ... whether or not its value is needed
 - Performance tends to be more predictable
 - Side-effects happen predictably
- Call-by-name only evaluates an expression if its value is needed
 - Can be faster (or even avoid infinite loop), if not needed
 - But may evaluate multiple times if needed more than once
 - Reasoning about performance requires understanding when expressions are needed
 - Side-effects may happen multiple times or not at all!

Best of both worlds?

- A third strategy: evaluate each expression when it is needed, but then save the result
- If an expression's value is never needed, it never gets evaluated
- If it is needed many times, it's still only evaluated once.
- This is called *call-by-need* (or sometimes *lazy*) evaluation.

Laziness in Scala

- Scala provides a lazy keyword
- Variables declared lazy are not evaluated until needed
- When they are evaluated, the value is memoized (that is, we store it in case of later reuse).

```
scala> lazy val x = {println("Hello"); 42}
x: Int = <lazy>
scala> x + x
Hello
res0: Int = 84
```

Laziness in Scala

 Actually, laziness can also be *emulated* using references and variant types:

```
class Lazy[A](a: => A) {
 private var r: Either[A,() => A] = Right{() => a}
 def force = r match {
   case Left(a) => a
   case Right(f) => {
      val a = f()
      r = Left(a)
      а
```

Call-by-need

- The semantics of call-by-need is a little more complicated.
- We want to share expressions to avoid recomputation of needed subexpressions
- ullet We can do this using a "memo table" $\sigma: Loc
 ightarrow {\it Expr}$
 - (similar to the store we used for references)
- Idea: When an expression e is bound to a variable, replace it with a label ℓ bound to e in σ
 - The labels are not regarded as values, though.
 - When we try to evaluate the label, look up the expression in the store and evaluate it

Rules for call-by-need

$$\boxed{\sigma, e \mapsto \sigma', e'}$$

$$\begin{split} \overline{\sigma, (\lambda x. e_1)} & \ e_2 \mapsto \sigma[\ell := e_2], e_1[\ell/x] \\ \overline{\sigma, \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \mapsto \sigma[\ell := e_1], e_2[\ell/x]} \\ \underline{\sigma[\ell := v], \ell \mapsto \sigma[\ell := v], v} & \quad \frac{\sigma, e \mapsto \sigma', e'}{\sigma[\ell := e], \ell \mapsto \sigma'[\ell := e'], \ell} \end{split}$$

- When we reduce a function application or let, add expression to the memo table and replace with label
- When we encounter the label, look up its value or evaluate it (if not yet evaluated)

Rules for call-by-need

As with L_{Ref} , we also need to adjust all of the rules to handle σ .

$$\frac{\sigma, e \mapsto \sigma', e'}{\frac{\sigma, e_1 \mapsto \sigma', e_1'}{\sigma, e_1 \oplus e_2 \mapsto \sigma', e_1' \oplus e_2}} \qquad \frac{\sigma, e_2 \mapsto \sigma', e_2'}{\frac{\sigma, v_1 \oplus e_2 \mapsto \sigma', v_1 \oplus e_2'}{\sigma, v_1 \oplus e_2 \mapsto \sigma', v_1 \oplus e_2'}}{\frac{\sigma, v_1 \times v_2 \mapsto \sigma, v_1 \times_{\mathbb{N}} v_2}{\sigma, v_1 \times v_2 \mapsto \sigma, v_1 \times_{\mathbb{N}} v_2}}$$

$$\vdots$$

Example, revisited again

- Consider $(\lambda x.x \times x)$ $(1+2\times3)$
- Then we can derive:

$$\overline{[],(\lambda x.x\times x)\;(1+2\times 3)\mapsto [\ell=1+(2\times 3)],\ell\times \ell}$$

Next, we have:

$$[\ell = 1 + (2 \times 3)], \ell \times \ell \mapsto [\ell = 1 + 6], \ell \times \ell \mapsto [\ell = 7], \ell \times \ell$$

ullet Finally, we can fill in the ℓ labels:

$$[\ell=7], \ell \times \ell \mapsto [\ell=7], 7 \times \ell \mapsto [\ell=7], 7 \times 7 \mapsto [\ell=7], 49$$

 Notice that we compute the argument only once (but only when its value is needed).

Pure functional programming

- Call-by-name/call-by-need interact badly with side-effects
- On the other hand, they support very strong equational reasoning about programs
- Haskell (and some other languages) are pure: they adopt lazy evaluation, and forbid any side-effects!
- This has strengths and weaknesses:
 - (+) Easier to optimize, parallelize because side-effects are forbidden
 - (+) Can be faster
 - (-) but memoization has overhead (e.g. memory leaks) and performance is less predictable
 - (-) Dealing with I/O, exceptions etc. requires major rethink

I/O in Haskell

- Dealing with I/O and other side-effects in Haskell was a long-standing challenge
- Today's solution: use a type constructor IO a to "encapsulate" side-effecting computations

```
do { x <- readLn::IO Int ; print x }
123</pre>
```

- Note: do-notation is also a form of comprehension
- Haskell's monads provide (equivalents of) the map and flatMap operations

Lazy data structures

- We have (so far) assumed eager evaluation for data structures (pairs, variants)
 - e.g. a pair is fully evaluated to a value, even if both components are not needed
- However, alternative (lazy) evaluation strategies can be considered for data structures too
 - e.g. could consider a pair (e₁, e₂) to be a value; we only evaluate e₁ if it is "needed" by applying fst:
 ghci> fst (42, undefined) == 42
- An example: streams (see tutorial 8)
 ghci> let ones = 1::ones
 ghci> take 10 ones

Summary

- Today we covered:
 - Call by value
 - Call by name
 - Call by need (lazy evaluation)
- Next time:
 - exceptions
 - tail recursion
 - continuations [non-examinable]