#### Elements of Programming Languages

Lecture 3: Booleans, conditionals, and types

James Cheney

University of Edinburgh

September 26, 2024

#### Boolean expressions

- $\bullet$  So far we've considered only a trivial arithmetic language  $L_{\text{Arith}}$
- Let's extend  $L_{Arith}$  with equality tests and Boolean true/false values:

$$e ::= \cdots \mid b \in \mathbb{B} \mid e_1 == e_2$$

- We write B for the set of Boolean values {true, false}
- Basic idea:  $e_1 == e_2$  should evaluate to true if  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  have equal values, false otherwise

#### What use is this?

- Examples:
  - 2+2==4 should evaluate to true
  - $3 \times 3 + 4 \times 4 == 5 \times 5$  should evaluate to true
  - $3 \times 3 == 4 \times 7$  should evaluate to false
  - How about true == true? Or false == true?
- So far, there's not much we can do.
- We can evaluate a numerical expression for its value, or a Boolean equality expression to true or false
- We can't write an expression whose result depends on evaluating a comparison.
  - We lack an "if then else" (conditional) operation.
- We also can't "and", "or" or negate Boolean values.

#### Conditionals

Let's also add an "if then else" operation:

$$e ::= \cdots \mid b \in \mathbb{B} \mid e_1 == e_2 \mid ext{if } e ext{ then } e_1 ext{ else } e_2$$

- We define L<sub>If</sub> as the extension of L<sub>Arith</sub> with booleans, equality and conditionals.
- Examples:
  - if true then 1 else 2 should evaluate to 1
  - if 1+1==2 then 3 else 4 should evaluate to 3
  - if true then false else true should evaluate to false
- Note that if e then e<sub>1</sub> else e<sub>2</sub> is the first expression that makes nontrivial "choices": whether to evaluate the first or second case.

## Extending evaluation

 We consider the Boolean values true and false to be values:

$$v ::= n \in \mathbb{N} \mid b \in \mathbb{B}$$

and we add the following evaluation rules:

#### Extending the interpreter

ullet To interpret L<sub>If</sub>, we need new expression forms:

```
case class Bool(n: Boolean) extends Expr
case class Eq(e1: Expr, e2:Expr) extends Expr
case class IfThenElse(e: Expr, e1: Expr, e2: Expr)
  extends Expr
```

and different types of values (not just Ints):

```
abstract class Value
case class NumV(n: Int) extends Value
case class BoolV(b: Boolean) extends Value
```

• (Technically, we could encode booleans as integers, but in general we will want to separate out the kinds of values.)

#### Extending the interpreter

```
// helpers
def add(v1: Value, v2: Value): Value =
      (v1, v2) match {
        case (NumV(v1), NumV(v2)) \Rightarrow NumV(v1 + v2)
def mult(v1: Value, v2: Value): Value = ...
def eval(e: Expr): Value = e match {
   // Arithmetic
   case Num(n) => NumV(n)
   case Plus(e1,e2) => add(eval(e1),eval(e2))
   case Times(e1,e2) => mult(eval(e1),eval(e2))
   ...}
```

#### Extending the interpreter

```
// helper
def eq(v1: Value, v2: Value): Value = (v1, v2) match {
    case (NumV(n1), NumV(n2)) \Rightarrow BoolV(n1 == n2)
    case (BoolV(b1), BoolV(b2)) \Rightarrow BoolV(b1 == b2)
def eval(e: Expr): Value = e match {
  case Bool(b) => BoolV(b)
  case Eq(e1,e2) \Rightarrow eq(eval(e1), eval(e2))
  case IfThenElse(e,e1,e2) => eval(e) match {
    case BoolV(true) => eval(e1)
    case BoolV(false) => eval(e2)
```

#### Aside: Other Boolean operations

 We can add Boolean and, or and not operations as follows:

$$e ::= \cdots \mid e_1 \wedge e_2 \mid e_1 \vee e_2 \mid \neg(e)$$

with evaluation rules:

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{e_1 \land e_2 \Downarrow v_1 \land_{\mathbb{B}} v_2} \qquad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow v_2}{e_1 \lor e_2 \Downarrow v_1 \lor_{\mathbb{B}} v_2}$$

- where again,  $\wedge_{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $\vee_{\mathbb{B}}$  are the mathematical "and" and "or" operations
- These are definable in L<sub>If</sub>, so we will leave them out to avoid clutter.

## Aside: Shortcut operations

 Many languages (e.g. C, Java) offer shortcut versions of "and" and "or":

$$e ::= \cdots \mid e_1 \&\& e_2 \mid e_1 \mid \mid e_2$$

- $e_1$  &&  $e_2$  stops early if  $e_1$  is false (since  $e_2$ 's value then doesn't matter).
- $e_1 \mid \mid e_2$  stops early if  $e_1$  is true (since  $e_2$ 's value then doesn't matter).
- We can model their semantics using rules like this:

#### What else can we do?

We can also do strange things like this:

$$e_1 = 1 + (2 == 3)$$

Or this:

$$e_2 =$$
if 1 then 2 else 3

What should these expressions evaluate to?

- There is no v such that  $e_1 \Downarrow v$  or  $e_2 \Downarrow v!$ 
  - the Totality property for LArith fails, for LIf!
- If we try to run the interpreter: we just get an error

#### One answer: Conversions

- In some languages (notably C, Java), there are built-in conversion rules
  - For example, "if an integer is needed and a boolean is available, convert true to 1 and false to 0"
  - Likewise, "if a boolean is needed and an integer is available, convert 0 to false and other values to true"
  - LISP family languages have a similar convention: if we need a Boolean value, nil stands for "false" and any other value is treated as "true"
- Conversion rules are convenient but can make programs less predictable
- We will avoid them for now, but consider principled ways of providing this convenience later on.

# Another answer: Types

Should programs like:

$$1 + (2 == 3)$$
 if 1 then 2 else 3

even be allowed?

- Idea: use a type system to define a subset of "well-formed" programs
- Well-formed means (at least) that at run time:
  - arguments to arithmetic operations (and equality tests) should be numeric values
  - arguments to conditional tests should be Boolean values

## Typing rules, informally: arithmetic

- Consider an expression e
  - If e = n, then e has type "integer"
  - If  $e=e_1+e_2$ , then  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  must have type "integer". If so, e has type "integer" also, else error.
  - If  $e = e_1 \times e_2$ , then  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  must have type "integer". If so, e has type "integer" also, else error.

# Typing rules, informally: booleans, equality and conditionals

- Consider an expression e
  - If e = true or false, then e has type "boolean"
  - If  $e=e_1==e_2$ , then  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  must have **the same type**. If so, e has type "boolean", else error.
  - If  $e = \text{if } e_0$  then  $e_1$  else  $e_2$ , then  $e_0$  must have type "boolean", and  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  must have **the same type**. If so, then e has the same type as  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , else error.
- Note 1: Equality arguments have the same (unknown) type.
- Note 2: Conditional branches have the same (unknown) type. This type determines the type of the whole conditional expression.

# Concise notation for typing rules

 We can define the possible types using a BNF grammar, as follows:

$$Type \ni \tau ::= int \mid bool$$

For now, we will consider only two possible types, "integer" (int) and "boolean" (bool).

• We can also use rules to describe the types of expressions:

#### Definition (Typing judgment $\vdash e : \tau$ )

We use the notation  $\vdash e : \tau$  to say that e is a well-formed term of type  $\tau$  (or "e has type  $\tau$ ").

## Typing rules, more formally: arithmetic

- If e = n, then e has type "integer"
- If  $e=e_1+e_2$ , then  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  must have type "integer". If so, e has type "integer" also, else error.
- If  $e = e_1 \times e_2$ , then  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  must have type "integer". If so, e has type "integer" also, else error.

# $\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \vdash e : \tau & \text{for L}_{\mathsf{Arith}} \\ \hline \\ \frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{\vdash n : \text{int}} & \frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{int}} \\ \hline \\ \frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{int} \quad \vdash e_2 : \text{int}}{\vdash e_1 \times e_2 : \text{int}} \\ \hline \end{array}$

# Typing rules, more formally: equality and conditionals

```
 \begin{array}{c|c} \hline \vdash e : \tau & \text{for L}_{\mathsf{lf}} \\ \hline \\ \hline b \in \mathbb{B} \\ \hline \vdash b : \mathsf{bool} & \hline \vdash e_1 : \tau & \vdash e_2 : \tau \\ \hline \vdash e : \mathsf{bool} & \vdash e_1 : \tau & \vdash e_2 : \tau \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \vdash \mathsf{if} \ e \ \mathsf{then} \ e_1 \ \mathsf{else} \ e_2 : \tau \\ \hline \end{array}
```

- We indicate that the types of subexpressions of == must be equal by using the same  $\tau$
- $\bullet$  Similarly, we indicate that the result of a conditional has the same type as the two branches using the same  $\tau$  for all three

# Typing judgments: examples

# Typing judgments: non-examples

But we also want some things **not** to typecheck:

$$\vdash$$
 1 == true :  $\tau$ 

 $\vdash$  if 42 then  $e_1$  else  $e_2$ :  $\tau$ 

These judgments do not hold for any  $e_1, e_2, \tau$ .

# Fundamental property of typing

- The point of the typing judgment is to ensure soundness: if an expression is well-typed, then it evaluates "correctly"
- That is, evaluation is well-behaved on well-typed programs.

#### Theorem (Type soundness for $L_{lf}$ )

If  $\vdash$  e :  $\tau$  then e  $\downarrow$  v and  $\vdash$  v :  $\tau$ .

 For a language like L<sub>If</sub>, soundness is fairly easy to prove by induction on expressions. We'll present soundness for more realistic languages in detail later.

## Static vs. dynamic typing

 Some languages proudly advertise that they are "static" or "dynamic"

#### Static typing:

- not all expressions are well-formed; some sensible programs are not allowed
- types can be used to catch errors, improve performance

#### • Dynamic typing:

- all expressions are well-formed; any program can be run
- type errors arise dynamically; higher overhead for tagging and checking
- These are rarely-realized extremes: most "statically" typed languages handle some errors dynamically
- In contrast, any "dynamically" typed language can be thought of as a statically typed one with just one type.

#### Summary

- In this lecture we covered:
  - Boolean values, equality tests and conditionals
  - Extending the interpreter to handle them
  - Typing rules
- Next time:
  - Variables and let-binding
  - Substitution, environments and type contexts