Elements of Programming Languages

Lecture 7: Records, variants, and subtyping

James Cheney

University of Edinburgh

October 13, 2025

Overview

- Last time:
 - Simple data structures: pairing (product types), choice (sum types)
- Today:
 - Records (generalizing products), variants (generalizing sums) and pattern matching
 - Subtyping

Records

Records generalize pairs to n-tuples with named fields.

$$e ::= \cdots | \langle I_1 = e_1, \dots, I_n = e_n \rangle | e.I$$

$$v ::= \cdots | \langle I_1 = v_1, \dots, I_n = v_n \rangle$$

$$\tau ::= \cdots | \langle I_1 : \tau_1, \dots, I_n : \tau_n \rangle$$

Examples:

$$\langle \textit{fst}{=}1, \textit{snd}{=}$$
"forty-two" $\rangle.\textit{snd} \mapsto$ "forty-two" $\langle x{=}3.0, y{=}4.0, \textit{length}{=}5.0 \rangle$

• Record fields can be (first-class) functions too:

$$\langle x=3.0, y=4.0, length=\lambda(x, y). sqrt(x*x+y*y) \rangle$$

Named variants

 As mentioned earlier, named variants generalize binary variants just as records generalize pairs

$$egin{aligned} e & ::= & \cdots \mid C_i(e) \mid ext{case } e ext{ of } \{C_1(x) \Rightarrow e_1; \ldots\} \ v & ::= & \cdots \mid C_i(v) \ au & ::= & \cdots \mid [C_1: au_1,\ldots,C_n: au_n] \end{aligned}$$

- Basic idea: allow a choice of *n* cases, each with a name
- To construct a named variant, use the constructor name on a value of the appropriate type, e.g. $C_i(e_i)$ where $e_i : \tau_i$
- The case construct generalizes to named variants also

Named variants in Scala: case classes

 We have already seen (and used) Scala's case class mechanism

```
abstract class IntList
case class Nil() extends IntList
case class Cons(head: Int, tail: IntList)
extends IntList
```

- Note: IntList, Nil, Cons are newly defined types, different from any others.
- Case classes support pattern matching

```
def foo(x: IntList) = x match {
  case Nil() => ...
  case Cons(head,tail) => ...
}
```

Aside: Records and Variants in Haskell

- In Haskell, data defines a recursive, named variant type data IntList = Nil | Cons Int IntList
- and cases can define named fields:

```
data Point = Point {x :: Double, y :: Double}
```

- In both cases the newly defined type is different from any other type seen so far, and the named constructor(s) can be used in pattern matching
- This approach dates to the ML programming language (Milner et al.) and earlier designs such as HOPE (Burstall et al.).
 - (Both developed in Edinburgh)

Pattern matching

- Datatypes and case classes support pattern matching
 - We have seen a simple form of pattern matching for sum types.
 - This generalizes to named variants
 - But still is very limited: we only consider one "level" at a time
- Patterns typically also include constants and pairs/records

```
x match { case (1, (true, "abcd")) => ...}
```

 Patterns in Scala, Haskell, ML can also be nested: that is, they can match more than one constructor

```
x match { case Cons(1,Cons(y,Nil())) => ...}
```

More pattern matching

- Variables cannot be repeated, instead, explicit equality tests need to be used.
- The special pattern _ matches anything
- Patterns can overlap, and usually they are tried in order

```
result match {
  case OK => println("All_is_well")
  case _ => println("Release_the_hounds!")
}
// not the same as
result match {
  case _ => println("Release_the_hounds!")
  case OK => println("All_is_well")
}
```

Expanding nested pattern matching

Nested pattern matching can be expanded out:

```
l match {
  case Cons(x,Cons(y,Nil())) => ...
}
```

expands to

```
l match {
  case Cons(x,t1) => t1 match {
    case Cons(y,t2) => t2 match {
      case Nil() => ...
} }
```

Type abbreviations

- Obviously, it quickly becomes painful to write
 "(x:int, y:str)" over and over.
- Type abbreviations introduce a name for a type.

type
$$T = \tau$$

An abbreviation name T treated the same as its expansion au

- (much like let-bound variables)
- Examples:

```
type Point = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl \rangle
type Point3d = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl, z:dbl \rangle
type Color = \langle r:int, g:int, b:int \rangle
type ColoredPoint = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl, c:Color \rangle
```

Type definitions

Instead, can also consider defining new (named) types

deftype
$$T = \tau$$

- The term generative is sometimes used to refer to definitions that create a new entity rather than introducing an abbreviation
- Type abbreviations are usually not allowed to be recursive; recursive type definitions are often allowed.

```
deftype IntList = [Nil : unit, Cons : int \times IntList]
```

Type definitions vs. abbreviations in practice

- In Haskell, type abbreviations are introduced by type, while new types can be defined by data or newtype declarations.
- In Java, there is no explicit notation for type abbreviations; the only way to define a new type is to define a class or interface
- In Scala, type abbreviations are introduced by type, while the class, object and trait constructs define new types

Subtyping

Suppose we have a function:

$$dist = \lambda p: Point. \ sqrt((p.x)^2 + (p.y)^2)$$

for computing the distance to the origin.

- Only the x and y fields are needed for this, so we'd like to be able to use this on ColoredPoints also.
- But, this doesn't typecheck (even though it would evaluate correctly):

$$dist(\langle x=8.0, y=12.0, c=purple \rangle) = 13.0$$

• We can introduce a *subtyping* relationship between *Point* and *ColoredPoint* to allow for this.

Subtyping

 Liskov (Turing award 2008) proposed a guideline for subtyping:

Liskov Substitution Principle

If S is a subtype of T, then objects of type T may be replaced with objects of type S without altering any of the desirable properties of the program.

• If we use $\tau <: \tau'$ to mean " τ is a subtype of τ' ", and consider well-typedness to be desirable, then we can translate this to the following *subsumption* rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \quad \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}$$

• This says: if e has type τ_1 and $\tau_1 <: \tau_2$, then we can proceed by pretending it has type τ_2 .

Record subtyping: width and depth

- There are several different ways to define subtyping for records.
- Width subtyping: subtype has same fields as supertype (with identical types), and may have additional fields at the end:

$$\overline{\langle I_1 : \tau_1, \dots, I_n : \tau_n, \dots, I_{n+k} : \tau_{n+k} \rangle} <: \langle I_1 : \tau_1, \dots, I_n : \tau_n \rangle$$

• **Depth subtyping:** subtype's fields are pointwise subtypes of supertype

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \cdots \tau_n <: \tau_n'}{\langle I_1 : \tau_1, \dots, I_n : \tau_n \rangle <: \langle I_1 : \tau_1', \dots, I_n : \tau_n' \rangle}$$

 These rules can be combined. Optionally, field reordering can also be allowed (but is harder to implement).

Examples

- (We'll abbreviate P = Point, P3d = Point3d,
 CP = ColoredPoint to save space...)
- So we have:

$$P3d = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl, z:dbl \rangle <: \langle x:dbl, y:dbl \rangle = P$$
 $CP = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl, c:Color \rangle <: \langle x:dbl, y:dbl \rangle = P$
but no other subtyping relationships hold

So, we can call dist on Point3d or ColoredPoint:

Subtyping for pairs and variants

For pairs, subtyping is componentwise

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}$$

Similarly for binary variants

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}$$

 For named variants, can have additional subtyping rules (but this is rare)

Subtyping for functions

- When is $A_1 \to B_1 <: A_2 \to B_2$?
- Maybe componentwise, like pairs?

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \rightarrow \tau_2'}$$

Subtyping for functions

- When is $A_1 \to B_1 <: A_2 \to B_2$?
- Maybe componentwise, like pairs?

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2'}$$

• But then we can do this (where $\Gamma(p) = P$):

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x : CP \to CP}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x : P \to CP} \xrightarrow{CP \to CP <: P \to CP} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x : P \to CP}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.x)p : CP}$$

Subtyping for functions

- When is $A_1 \to B_1 <: A_2 \to B_2$?
- Maybe componentwise, like pairs?

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2'}$$

• But then we can do this (where $\Gamma(p) = P$):

$$\frac{CP <: P \quad CP <: CP}{CP \rightarrow CP \quad CP <: P \rightarrow CP}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x : CP \rightarrow CP \quad CP <: P \rightarrow CP}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x : P \rightarrow CP \quad \Gamma \vdash p : P}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.x)p : CP$$

 So, once ColoredPoint is a subtype of Point, we can change any Point to a ColoredPoint also. That doesn't seem right.

 For the result type of a function (and for pairs and other data structures), the direction of subtyping is preserved:

$$\frac{\tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \to \tau_2'}$$

 For the result type of a function (and for pairs and other data structures), the direction of subtyping is preserved:

$$\frac{\tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \to \tau_2'}$$

• Subtyping of function results, pairs, etc., where order is preserved, is *covariant*.

 For the result type of a function (and for pairs and other data structures), the direction of subtyping is preserved:

$$\frac{\tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \to \tau_2'}$$

- Subtyping of function results, pairs, etc., where order is preserved, is covariant.
- For the argument type of a function, the direction of subtyping is flipped:

$$\frac{\tau_1' <: \tau_1}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2}$$

 For the result type of a function (and for pairs and other data structures), the direction of subtyping is preserved:

$$\frac{\tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \to \tau_2'}$$

- Subtyping of function results, pairs, etc., where order is preserved, is covariant.
- For the argument type of a function, the direction of subtyping is flipped:

$$\frac{\tau_1' <: \tau_1}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2}$$

 Subtyping of function arguments, where order is reversed, is called *contravariant*.

The "top" and "bottom" types

- any: a type that is a supertype of all types.
 - Such a type describes the common interface of all its subtypes (e.g. hashing, equality in Java)
 - In Scala, this is called Any

The "top" and "bottom" types

- any: a type that is a supertype of all types.
 - Such a type describes the common interface of all its subtypes (e.g. hashing, equality in Java)
 - In Scala, this is called Any
- empty: a type that is a subtype of all types.
 - Usually, such a type is considered to be *empty*: there cannot actually be any values of this type.
 - We've actually encountered this before, as the degenerate case of a choice type where there are zero choices
 - In Scala, this type is called Nothing. So for any Scala type τ we have *Nothing* $<: \tau <: Any$.

Summary: Subtyping rules

Notice that we combine the covariant and contravariant rules for functions into a single rule.

Structural vs. Nominal subtyping

- The approach to subtyping considered so far is called *structural*.
- The names we use for type abbreviations don't matter, only their structure. For example, Point3d <: Point because Point3d has all of the fields of Point (and more).
- Then dist(p) also runs on p : Point3d (and gives a nonsense answer!)
- So far, a defined type has no subtypes (other than itself).
- By default, definitions *ColoredPoint*, *Point* and *Point3d* are unrelated.

Structural vs. Nominal subtyping

- If we defined new types Point' and Point3d', rather than treating them as abbreviations, then we have more control over subtyping
- Then we can declare ColoredPoint' to be a subtype of Point'

```
\label{eq:deftype} \begin{array}{l} \texttt{deftype} \ \textit{Point'} = \langle x \texttt{:dbl}, y \texttt{:dbl} \rangle \\ \texttt{deftype} \ \textit{ColoredPoint'} <: \textit{Point'} = \langle x \texttt{:dbl}, y \texttt{:dbl}, c \texttt{:} \textit{Color} \rangle \end{array}
```

- However, we could choose not to assert Point3d' to be a subtype of Point', preventing (mis)use of subtyping to view Point3d's as Point's.
- This nominal subtyping is used in Java and Scala
 - A defined type can only be a subtype of another if it is declared as such
 - More on this later!



Summary

- Today we covered:
 - Records, variants, and pattern matching
 - Type abbreviations and definitions
 - Subtyping
- Next time:
 - Polymorphism and type inference