#### Elements of Programming Languages

Lecture 8: Polymorphism and type inference

James Cheney

University of Edinburgh

October 16, 2025

#### Overview

- Last time we covered type definitions, records, datatypes, subtyping
- Today and next week, we will cover additional forms of abstraction
  - polymorphism, type inference
  - modules, interfaces
  - objects, classes
- Today:
  - polymorphism and type inference

#### Consider the humble identity function

A function that returns its input:

```
def idInt(x: Int) = x
def idString(x: String) = x
def idPair(x: (Int,String)) = x
```

- Does the same thing no matter what the type is.
- But we cannot just write this:

```
def id(x) = x
```

(In Scala, every variable needs to have a type.)

#### Another example

Consider a pair "swap" operation:

```
def swapInt(p: (Int,Int)) = (p._2,p._1)
def swapString(p: (String,String)) = (p._2,p._1)
def swapIntString(p: (Int,String)) = (p._2,p._1)
```

- Again, the code is the same in both cases; only the types differ.
- But we can't write

```
def swap(p) = (p._2, p._1)
```

What type should p have?

#### Another example

 Consider a higher-order function that calls its argument twice:

```
def twiceInt(f: Int => Int) = {x: Int => f(f(x))}
def twiceStr(f: String => String) =
  {x: String => f(f(x))}
```

- Again, the code is the same in both cases; only the types differ.
- But we can't write

```
def twice(f) = \{x \Rightarrow f(f(x))\}
```

What types should f and x have?

#### Type parameters

In Scala, function definitions can have type parameters

$$def id[A](x: A): A = x$$

This says: given a type A, the function id[A] takes an A and returns an A.

def swap[A,B](p: (A,B)): (B,A) = 
$$(p._2,p._1)$$

This says: given types A, B, the function swap[A,B] takes a pair (A,B) and returns a pair (B,A).

```
def twice[A](f: A \Rightarrow A): A \Rightarrow A = {x:A \Rightarrow f(f(x))}
```

This says: given a type A, the function twice[A] takes a function f: A => A and returns a function of type A => A

#### Parametric Polymorphism

- Scala's type parameters are an example of a phenomenon called polymorphism (= "many shapes")
- More specifically, parametric polymorphism because the function is parameterized by the type.
  - Its behavior cannot "depend on" what type replaces parameter A.
  - The type parameter A is abstract
- We also sometimes refer to A, B, C etc. as type variables

#### Polymorphism: More examples

- Polymorphism is even more useful in combination with higher-order functions.
- Recall compose from the lab:

```
def compose[A,B,C](f: A => B, g: B => C) = \{x:A => g(f(x))\}
```

• Likewise, the map and filter functions:

```
 \begin{split} & \text{def map}[A,B](\text{f: }A \Rightarrow B, \text{ x: List}[A]) \colon \text{List}[B] = \dots \\ & \text{def filter}[A](\text{f: }A \Rightarrow Bool, \text{ x: List}[A]) \colon \text{List}[A] = \dots \\ \end{aligned}
```

(though in Scala these are usually defined as methods of List[A] so the A type parameter and x variable are implicit)

#### Formalization

• We add type variables  $A, B, C, \ldots$ , type abstractions, type applications, and polymorphic types:

$$e ::= \cdots \mid \Lambda A. \ e \mid e[\tau]$$
  
 $\tau ::= \cdots \mid A \mid \forall A. \ \tau$ 

- We also use (capture-avoiding) substitution of types for type variables in expressions and types.
- The type  $\forall A$ .  $\tau$  is the type of expressions that can have type  $\tau[\tau'/A]$  for any choice of A. (A is bound in  $\tau$ .)
- The expression  $\Lambda A$ . e introduces a type variable for use in e. (Thus, A is bound in any type annotations in e.)
- The expression  $e[\tau]$  instantiates a type abstraction
- Define L<sub>Poly</sub> to be the extension of L<sub>Data</sub> with these features

#### Formalization: Types and type variables

- Complication: Types now have variables. What is their scope? When is a type variable in scope in a type?
- The polymorphic type  $\forall A.\tau$  binds A in  $\tau$ .
- We write  $FTV(\tau)$  for the *free type variables* of a type:

$$FTV(A) = \{A\}$$

$$FTV(\tau_1 \times \tau_2) = FTV(\tau_1) \cup FTV(\tau_2)$$

$$FTV(\tau_1 + \tau_2) = FTV(\tau_1) \cup FTV(\tau_2)$$

$$FTV(\forall A.\tau) = FTV(\tau) - \{A\}$$

$$FTV(\tau) = \emptyset \text{ otherwise}$$

$$FTV(x_1:\tau_1, \dots, x_n:\tau_n) = FTV(\tau_1) \cup \dots \cup FTV(\tau_n)$$

 Alpha-equivalence and type substitution are defined similarly to expressions.

# Formalization: Typechecking polymorphic expressions

```
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda A. \ e : \forall A. \ \tau} \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall A. \ \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e[\tau_0] : \tau[\tau_0/A]}
```

- Idea:  $\Lambda A$ . e must typecheck with parameter A not already used elsewhere in type context
- $e[\tau_0]$  applies a polymorphic expression to a type. Result type obtained by substituting for A.
- The other rules are unchanged

# Formalization: Semantics of polymorphic expressions

 To model evaluation, we add type abstraction as a possible value form:

$$v ::= \cdots \mid \Lambda A.e$$

• with rules similar to those for  $\lambda$  and application:

- In L<sub>Poly</sub>, type information is irrelevant at run time.
- (Other languages, including Scala, do retain some run time type information.)

#### Convenient notation

 We can augment the syntactic sugar for function definitions to allow type parameters:

let fun 
$$f[A](x:\tau) = e$$
 in ...

This is equivalent to:

let 
$$f = \Lambda A$$
.  $\lambda x : \tau$ .  $e$  in ...

• In either case, a function call can be written as

$$f[\tau](x)$$

## Examples in L<sub>Poly</sub>

Identity function

$$id = \Lambda A.\lambda x:A. x$$

Swap

$$swap = \Lambda A.\Lambda B.\lambda x: A \times B.$$
 (snd  $x$ , fst  $x$ )

Twice

twice = 
$$\Lambda A$$
.  $\lambda f: A \rightarrow A . \lambda x: A$ .  $f(f(x))$ 

For example:

$$swap[int][str](1,"a") \Downarrow ("a",1)$$
  
 $twice[int](\lambda x: 2 \times x)(2) \Downarrow 8$ 

## Examples, typechecked

$$\frac{\overline{x:A \vdash x:A}}{\vdash \lambda x:A.\ x:A \to A}$$
$$\vdash \Lambda A.\lambda x:A.x: \forall A.A \to A$$

$$\frac{ \vdash swap : \forall A. \forall B. A \times B \rightarrow B \times A}{ \vdash swap[\texttt{int}] : \forall B. \texttt{int} \times B \rightarrow B \times \texttt{int}}$$
$$\vdash swap[\texttt{int}][\texttt{str}] : \texttt{int} \times \texttt{str} \rightarrow \texttt{str} \times \texttt{int}$$

#### Lists and parameterized types

- In Scala (and other languages such as Haskell and ML), type abbreviations and definitions can be parameterized.
- List[\_] is an example: given a type T, it constructs another type List[T]

$$\texttt{deftype} \ \textit{List}[A] = [\textit{Nil} : \texttt{unit}; \textit{Cons} : A \times \textit{List}[A]]$$

- Such types are sometimes called type constructors
- (See tutorial questions on lists)
- We will revisit parameterized types when we cover modules

## Other forms of polymorphism

- Polymorphism refers to several related techniques for "code reuse" or "overloading"
  - Subtype polymorphism: reuse based on inclusion relations between types.
  - Parametric polymorphism: abstraction over type parameters
  - Ad hoc polymorphism: Reuse of same name for multiple (potentially type-dependent) implementations (e.g. overloading + for addition on different numeric types, string concatenation etc.)
- These have some overlap
- We will discuss overloading, subtyping and polymorphism (and their interaction) in future lectures.

## Type inference

 As seen in even small examples, specifying the type parameters of polymorphic functions quickly becomes tiresome

$$swap[int][str]$$
  $map[int][str]$   $\cdots$ 

- Idea: Can we have the benefits of (polymorphic) typing, without the costs? (or at least: with fewer annotations)
- Type inference: Given a program without full type information (or with some missing), infer type annotations so that the program can be typechecked.

# Hindley-Milner type inference

- A very influential approach was developed independently by J. Roger Hindley (in logic) and Robin Milner (in CS).
- Idea: Typecheck an expression symbolically, collecting "constraints" on the unknown type variables
- If the constraints have a common solution then this solution is a most general way to type the expression
  - Constraints can be solved using unification, an equation solving technique from automated reasoning/logic programming
- If not, then the expression has a type error

• As an example, consider *swap* defined as follows:

$$\vdash \lambda x : A.(\operatorname{snd} x, \operatorname{fst} x) : B$$

• As an example, consider *swap* defined as follows:

$$\vdash \lambda x : A.(\operatorname{snd} x, \operatorname{fst} x) : B$$

A, B are the as yet unknown types of x and swap.

A lambda abstraction creates a function: hence
 B = A → A<sub>1</sub> for some A<sub>1</sub> such that
 x:A ⊢ (snd x, fst x): A<sub>1</sub>

• As an example, consider *swap* defined as follows:

$$\vdash \lambda x : A.(\operatorname{snd} x, \operatorname{fst} x) : B$$

- A lambda abstraction creates a function: hence
   B = A → A<sub>1</sub> for some A<sub>1</sub> such that
   x:A ⊢ (snd x, fst x): A<sub>1</sub>
- A pair constructs a pair type: hence  $A_1 = A_2 \times A_3$  where  $x:A \vdash \text{snd } x:A_2$  and  $x:A \vdash \text{fst } x:A_3$

• As an example, consider *swap* defined as follows:

$$\vdash \lambda x : A.(\operatorname{snd} x, \operatorname{fst} x) : B$$

- A lambda abstraction creates a function: hence
   B = A → A<sub>1</sub> for some A<sub>1</sub> such that
   x:A ⊢ (snd x, fst x): A<sub>1</sub>
- A pair constructs a pair type: hence  $A_1 = A_2 \times A_3$  where  $x:A \vdash \text{snd } x:A_2$  and  $x:A \vdash \text{fst } x:A_3$
- This can only be the case if  $x : A_3 \times A_2$ , i.e.  $A = A_3 \times A_2$ .

As an example, consider swap defined as follows:

$$\vdash \lambda x : A.(\operatorname{snd} x, \operatorname{fst} x) : B$$

- A lambda abstraction creates a function: hence
   B = A → A<sub>1</sub> for some A<sub>1</sub> such that
   x:A ⊢ (snd x, fst x): A<sub>1</sub>
- A pair constructs a pair type: hence  $A_1 = A_2 \times A_3$  where  $x:A \vdash \text{snd } x:A_2$  and  $x:A \vdash \text{fst } x:A_3$
- This can only be the case if  $x : A_3 \times A_2$ , i.e.  $A = A_3 \times A_2$ .
- Solving the constraints:  $A = A_3 \times A_2$ ,  $A_1 = A_2 \times A_3$  and so  $B = A_3 \times A_2 \rightarrow A_2 \times A_3$

# Let-bound polymorphism [Non-examinable]

- An important additional idea was introduced in the ML programming language, to avoid the need to explicitly introduce type variables and apply polymorphic functions to type arguments
- When a function is defined using let fun (or let rec), first infer a type:

$$swap: A_3 \times A_2 \rightarrow A_2 \times A_3$$

• Then *abstract* over all of its free type parameters.

*swap* : 
$$\forall A. \forall B. A \times B \rightarrow B \times A$$

• Finally, when a polymorphic function is *applied*, infer the missing types.

$$swap(1,"a") \rightsquigarrow swap[int][str](1,"a")$$

#### ML-style inference: strengths and weaknesses

- Strengths
  - Elegant and effective
  - Requires no type annotations at all
- Weaknesses
  - Can be difficult to explain errors
  - In theory, can have exponential time complexity (in practice, it runs efficiently on real programs)
  - Very sensitive to extension: subtyping and other extensions to the type system tend to require giving up some nice properties
- (We are intentionally leaving out a lot of technical detail.)

## Type inference in Scala

- Scala does not employ full HM type inference, but uses many of the same ideas.
- Type information in Scala flows from function arguments to their results

```
def f[A](x: List[A]): List[(A,A)] = ...
f(List(1,2,3)) // A must be Int, don't need f[Int]
```

and sequentially through statement blocks

```
var l = List(1,2,3); // l: List[Int] inferred
var y = f(1); // y : List[(Int,Int)] inferred
```

#### Type inference in Scala

 Type information does **not** flow across arguments in the same argument list

```
def map[A,B](f: A => B, l: List[A]): List[B] = ...
scala> map({x: Int => x + 1}, List(1,2,3))
res0: List[Int] = List(2, 3, 4)
scala> map({x => x + 1}, List(1,2,3))
<console>:25: error: missing parameter type
```

But it can flow from earlier argument lists to later ones:

```
def map2[A,B](1: List[A])(f: A => B): List[B] = ...
scala> map2(List(1,2,3)) {x => x + 1}
res1: List[Int] = List(2, 3, 4)
```

#### Type inference in Scala: strengths and limitations

- Compared to Java, many fewer annotations needed
- Compared to ML, Haskell, etc. many more annotations needed
- The reason has to do with Scala's integration of polymorphism and subtyping
  - needed for integration with Java-style object/class system
  - Combining subtyping and polymorphism is tricky (type inference can easily become undecidable)
  - Scala chooses to avoid global constraint-solving and instead propagate type information *locally*

#### Summary

- Today we covered:
  - The idea of thinking of the same code as having many different types
  - Parametric polymorphism: makes the type parameter explicit and abstract
  - Brief coverage of type inference.
- Next time:
  - Programs, modules, and interfaces