

---

# Foundations of Natural Language Processing

## Lecture 6b

### Language Models: Evaluation II

Alex Lascarides



# Recap

How do we **evaluate** Language Models?

- **Language models** tell us  $P(\vec{w}) = P(w_1 \dots w_n)$ .
- We can't evaluate an LM with accuracy metrics.
- **Entropy**, however, measures confidence in the model's predictions of a random variable  $X$ :

$$H(X) = - \sum_{x \in X} Pr(x) \log_2(x)$$

**Now:** Evaluation II

- What entropy 'means'
- Details on how to use entropy to evaluate LMs.

# Entropy as y/n questions (hence $\log_2$ )

How many yes-no questions (bits) do we need to find out the outcome?

- Uniform distribution with  $2^n$  outcomes:  $n$  yes-no questions.

# Entropy as encoding sequences

- Assume that we want to encode a sequence of events  $X$ .
- Each event is encoded by a sequence of bits, we want to use as few bits as possible.
- For example
  - Coin flip: heads = 0, tails = 1
  - 4 equally likely events: a = 00, b = 01, c = 10, d = 11
  - 3 events, one more likely than others: a = 0, b = 10, c = 11
  - Morse code: e has shorter code than q
- Average number of bits needed to encode  $X \geq$  entropy of  $X$

# The Entropy of English

- Given the start of a text, can we guess the next word?
- For humans, the measured entropy is only about 1.3.
  - Meaning: on average, given the preceding context, a human would need only 1.3 y/n questions to determine the next word.
  - This is an upper bound on the true entropy, which we can never know (because we don't know the true probability distribution).
- But what about  $N$ -gram models?

# Coping with not knowing true probs: Cross-entropy

- Our LM *estimates* the probability of word sequences.
- A good model assigns high probability to sequences that actually have high probability (and low probability to others).
- Put another way, our model should have low uncertainty (entropy) about which word comes next.

- **Cross entropy** measures how close  $\hat{P}$  is to true  $P$ :

$$H(P, \hat{P}) = \sum_x -P(x) \log_2 \hat{P}(x)$$

- Note that **cross-entropy**  $\geq$  **entropy**: our model's uncertainty can be no less than the true uncertainty.
- But still dont know  $P(x)$ . . .

# Coping with Estimates: Compute per word cross-entropy

- For  $w_1 \dots w_n$  with large  $n$ , per-word cross-entropy is well approximated by:

$$H_M(w_1 \dots w_n) = -\frac{1}{n} \log_2 P_M(w_1 \dots w_n)$$

- This is just the average negative log prob our model assigns to each word in the sequence. (i.e., normalized for sequence length).
- Lower cross-entropy  $\Rightarrow$  model is better at predicting next word.

# Cross-entropy example

Using a bigram model from Moby Dick, compute per-word cross-entropy of *I spent three years before the mast* (here, without using end-of sentence padding):

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{7} ( \lg_2(P(I)) + \lg_2(P(\textit{spent}|I)) + \lg_2(P(\textit{three}|\textit{spent})) + \lg_2(P(\textit{years}|\textit{three})) \\ & \quad + \lg_2(P(\textit{before}|\textit{years})) + \lg_2(P(\textit{the}|\textit{before})) + \lg_2(P(\textit{mast}|\textit{the})) ) \\ = & -\frac{1}{7} ( -6.9381 - 11.0546 - 3.1699 - 4.2362 - 5.0 - 2.4426 - 8.4246 ) \\ = & -\frac{1}{7} ( 41.2660 ) \\ \approx & 6 \end{aligned}$$

- Per-word cross-entropy of the *unigram* model is about 11.
- So, unigram model has about 5 bits more uncertainty per word than bigram model. But, what does that mean?



# Data compression

- If we designed an optimal code based on our bigram model, we could encode the entire sentence in about 42 bits.  $6*7$
- A code based on our unigram model would require about 77 bits.  $11*7$
- ASCII uses an average of 24 bits per word (168 bits total)!
- So better language models can also give us better data compression: as elaborated by the field of **information theory**.

# Perplexity

- LM performance is often reported as **perplexity** rather than cross-entropy.
- Perplexity is simply  $2^{\text{cross-entropy}}$
- The average branching factor at each decision point, if our distribution were uniform.
- So, 6 bits cross-entropy means our model perplexity is  $2^6 = 64$ : equivalent uncertainty to a uniform distribution over 64 outcomes.

*Perplexity looks different in J&M 3<sup>rd</sup> edition because they don't introduce cross-entropy; I'll accept either answers!*

# Interpreting these measures

I measure the cross-entropy of my LM on some corpus as 5.2.  
Is that good?

# Interpreting these measures

I measure the cross-entropy of my LM on some corpus as 5.2.  
Is that good?

- No way to tell! Cross-entropy depends on both the model and the corpus.
  - Some language is simply more predictable (e.g. casual speech vs academic writing).
  - So lower cross-entropy could mean the corpus is “easy”, or the model is good.
- We can only compare different models on the same corpus.
- Should we measure on training data or held-out data? Why?

# Summary

- LMs can be evaluated using **per word cross entropy**.
- Intuitively, this is a measure of:
  - The LMs confidence in its predictions about the next word (averaged over the sequence).
  - The extent to which it has compressed the data necessary for making those predictions.
- But this measure is informative only when comparing the per word cross entropy of two different LMs
  - We don't have meaningful upper bounds.