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## Recap: A simple noise model for spelling correction

- Where $y$ is the intended word and $x$ is the (perhaps misspelled) word, we want:

$$
\underset{y}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(y \mid x)=\underset{y}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(x \mid y) P(y)
$$

- Possible $y$ restricted to 'one character difference' with $x$.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
P(y): & \text { Language model } \\
P(x \mid y)= & \prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i}\right)
\end{array}
$$

- Learn $P(x \mid y)$ from a corpus of character alignments.

| actual: | n | o | - |  | $m$ | u | u | c | h |  | e | f | f | e |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ | $\mid$ |
| intended: | n | o | t |  | m | - | u | c | h |  | e | f | f | o |
| in | t |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Problems

1. Independence assumption is unrealistic.
2. Assumption restricting possible intended words is unrealistic.
3. We may not have a corpus of alignments!

Now: Approach that solves problems 1 and 2: edit distance (Solve problem 3 later. . .)

## Alignments and edit distance

These two problems reduce to one: find the optimal character alignment between two words (the one with the fewest character changes: the minimum edit distance or MED).

- Example: if all changes count equally, MED(stall, table) is 3 :



## Alignments and edit distance

These two problems reduce to one: find the optimal character alignment between two words (the one with the fewest character changes: the minimum edit distance or MED).

- Example: if all changes count equally, MED(stall, table) is 3 :

- Written as an alignment:



## More alignments

- There may be multiple best alignments. In this case, two:

- And lots of non-optimal alignments, such as:



## How to find an optimal alignment

Brute force: Consider all possibilities, score each one, pick best.
How many possibilities must we consider?

- First character could align to any of:

$$
-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad \text { T A B L E }
$$

- Next character can align anywhere to its right
- And so on... the number of alignments grows exponentially with the length of the sequences.

Maybe not such a good method...

## A better idea

Instead we will use a dynamic programming algorithm.

- Other DP (or memoization) algorithms: Viterbi, CKY.
- Used to solve problems where brute force ends up recomputing the same information many times.
- Instead, we
- Compute the solution to each subproblem once,
- Store (memoize) the solution, and
- Build up solutions to larger computations by combining the pre-computed parts.
- Strings of length $n$ and $m$ require $O(m n)$ time and $O(m n)$ space.


## Intuition

- Minimum distance $D$ (stall, table) must be the minimum of:
- D(stall, tabl) + cost(ins)
$-D($ stal, table $)+\operatorname{cost}($ del $)$
$-D($ stal, tabl $)+\operatorname{cost}($ sub $)$
- Similarly for the smaller subproblems
- So proceed as follows:
- solve smallest subproblems first
- store solutions in a table (chart)
- use these to solve and store larger subproblems until we get the full solution


## A note about costs

- Our first example had $\operatorname{cost}($ ins $)=\operatorname{cost}(\operatorname{del})=\operatorname{cost}($ sub $)=1$.
- But we can choose whatever costs we want. They can even depend on the particular characters involved.
- For example: choose $\operatorname{cost}\left(\operatorname{sub}\left(c, c^{\prime}\right)\right)$ to be $P\left(c^{\prime} \mid c\right)$ from our spelling correction noise model!
- Then we end up computing the most probable way to change one word to the other.
- In the following example, we'll assume $\operatorname{cost}($ ins $)=\operatorname{cost}($ del $)=1$ and $\operatorname{cost}($ sub $)=2$.


## Chart: starting point

|  |  | T | A | B | L | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| S |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L |  |  |  |  |  | $?$ |

- Chart $[i, j]$ stores two things:
- $D($ stall $[0 . . i]$, table $[0 . . j])$ : the MED of substrings of length $i, j$
- Backpointer(s): which sub-alignment(s) used to create this one.

| Deletion: | Move down | Cost $=1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Insertion: | Move right | Cost $=1$ |
| Substitution: | Move down and right | Cost=2 (or 0 if the same) |

Sum costs as we expand out from cell $(0,0)$ to populate the entire matrix

Filling first cell

|  |  | T | A | B | L | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | $\uparrow 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Moving down in chart: means we had a deletion (of S).
- That is, we've aligned (S) with (-).
- Add cost of deletion (1) and backpointer.


## Rest of first column

|  |  | T | A | B | L | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | $\uparrow 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | $\uparrow 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Each move down first column means another deletion.
$-\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{ST},-)=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{S},-)+\operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{del})$


## Rest of first column

|  |  | T | A | B | L | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | $\uparrow 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | $\uparrow 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\uparrow 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |

- Each move down first column means another deletion.
$-\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{ST},-)=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{S},-)+\operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{del})$
$-\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{STA},-)=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{ST},-)+\operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{del})$
- etc


## Start of second column: insertion

|  |  | T | A | B | L | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $\leftarrow 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| S | $\uparrow 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | $\uparrow 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\uparrow 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |

- Moving right in chart (from $[0,0]$ ): means we had an insertion.
- That is, we've aligned (-) with (T).
- Add cost of insertion (1) and backpointer.


## Substitution

|  |  | T | A | B | L | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $\leftarrow 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| S | $\uparrow 1$ | $\nwarrow 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| T | $\uparrow 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\uparrow 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |

- Moving down and right: either a substitution or identity.
- Here, a substitution: we aligned $(\mathrm{S})$ to $(T)$, so cost is 2 .
- For identity (align letter to itself), cost is 0 .


## Multiple paths

|  |  | T | A | B | L | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $\leftarrow 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| S | $\uparrow 1$ | $\nwarrow \uparrow 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| T | $\uparrow 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\uparrow 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |

- However, we also need to consider other ways to get to this cell:
- Move down from [0,1]: deletion of $S$, total cost is $\mathrm{D}(-, \mathrm{T})+\operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{del})=2$.
- Same cost, but add a new backpointer.


## Multiple paths

|  |  | T | A | B | L | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $\leftarrow 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| S | $\uparrow 1$ | $\leftarrow \nwarrow \uparrow 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| T | $\uparrow 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\uparrow 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |

- However, we also need to consider other ways to get to this cell:
- Move right from [1,0]: insertion of T, total cost is $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{S},-)+\operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{ins})=2$.
- Same cost, but add a new backpointer.


## Single best path

|  |  | T | A | B | L | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $\leftarrow 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| S | $\uparrow 1$ | $\leftarrow \nwarrow \uparrow 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| T | $\uparrow 2$ | $\nwarrow 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\uparrow 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 4$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | $\uparrow 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |

- Now compute $D(\mathrm{ST}, \mathrm{T})$. Take the min of three possibilities:
$-\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{ST},-)+\operatorname{cost}($ ins $)=2+1=3$.
$-\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T})+\operatorname{cost}(\mathrm{del})=2+1=3$.
$-\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{S},-)+\operatorname{cost}($ ident $)=1+0=1$.


## Final completed chart

|  |  | T | A | B | L | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $\leftarrow 1$ | $\leftarrow 2$ | $\leftarrow 3$ | $\leftarrow 4$ | $\leftarrow 5$ |
| S | $\uparrow 1$ | $\leftarrow \nwarrow \uparrow 2$ | $\leftarrow \nwarrow \uparrow 3$ | $\nwarrow \uparrow \leftarrow 4$ | $\nwarrow \uparrow \leftarrow 5$ | $\nwarrow \uparrow \leftarrow 6$ |
| T | $\uparrow 2$ | $\nwarrow 1$ | $\leftarrow 2$ | $\leftarrow 3$ | $\leftarrow 4$ | $\leftarrow 5$ |
| A | $\uparrow 3$ | $\uparrow 2$ | $\nwarrow 1$ | $\leftarrow 2$ | $\leftarrow 3$ | $\leftarrow 4$ |
| L | $\uparrow 4$ | $\uparrow 3$ | $\uparrow 2$ | $\leftarrow \nwarrow \uparrow 3$ | $\nwarrow 2$ | $\leftarrow 3$ |
| L | $\uparrow 5$ | $\uparrow 4$ | $\uparrow 3$ | $\leftarrow \nwarrow \uparrow 4$ | $\nwarrow \uparrow 3$ | $\leftarrow \nwarrow \uparrow 4$ |

- Exercises for you:
- How many different optimal alignments are there?
- Reconstruct all the optimal alignments.
- Redo the chart with all costs $=1$ (Levenshtein distance)


## Alignment and MED: uses?

Computing distances and/or alignments between arbitrary strings can be used for

- Spelling correction (as here)
- Morphological analysis: which words are likely to be related?
- Other fields entirely: e.g., comparing DNA sequences in biology.
- Related algorithms are also used in speech recognition and timeseries data mining.


## Getting rid of hand alignments

Using MED algorithm, we can now produce the character alignments we need to estimate our error model, given only corrected words.

- Previously, we needed hand annotations like:

- Now, our annotation requires less effort:

$$
\begin{array}{lccc}
\text { actual: } & \text { no } & \text { muuch } & \text { effert } \\
\text { intended: } & \text { not } & \text { much } & \text { effort }
\end{array}
$$

## Catch-22

- But wait! In my example, we used costs of 1 and 2 to compute alignments.
- We actually want to compute our alignments using the costs from our noise model: the most probable alignment under that model.
- But until we have the alignments, we can't estimate the noise model...

We'll deal with this Catch 22 next time!

## Summary

- Minimum edit distance: a tractable way of computing the optimal sequence of operations for getting from one string to another.
- If you have accurate costs for each kind of operation
- deletion, insertion, substitution
then all you need is a set of unedited vs. edited documents to get the noise model.
- Together with the language model (trained on vast data), you have a spelling correction system!
- Problem for next time: how do you acquire estimates of the costs for each operation when you don't have annotated alignments?

