Foundations of Natural Language Processing Lecture 18a Introduction to Semantics

Alex Lascarides



Meaning

Last Time: Syntactic parsing

Now: How can syntax inform meaning?

• Desiderata for formal semantic representations of sentences

Method for constructing semantic representations from a syntax tree.

We will start with:

• What is meaning?

What should a representation of meaning capture?

Meaning

- One grand goal of artificial intelligence is to *understand* what people mean when they talk.
- But how do we know if we succeeded?

Literal Meaning

Semantics is concerned with how expressions relate to 'the world'. This includes both their

- truth conditions and denotation (literal meaning)
- connotation (other associations)

For now, we're interested only in literal meaning.

Eliza

Joseph Weizenbaum wrote the computer program **Eliza** in 1969 to demonstrate how easily people can be fooled into thinking that machines have some deep understanding.

```
young woman: Men are all alike.
```

eliza: In what way?

young woman: They're always bugging us about something specific or other.

eliza: Can you think of a specific example?

young woman: Well, my boyfriend made me come here.

eliza: Your boyfriend made you come here?

young woman: He says I'm depressed much of the time.

eliza: I am sorry to hear you are depressed.

young woman: It's true. I'm unhappy.

eliza: Do you think coming here will help you not to be unhappy?

Online demo: http://www.manifestation.com/neurotoys/eliza.php3

What is meaning? What is understanding?

- These are deep philosophical questions
- NLP usually takes a more pragmatic view: can the computer behave as though it understands (in order to do what we want)?
 - Dialogue systems (e.g., Eliza)
 - Machine translation
 - Question answering
- What issues will we face in building such systems?

A Concrete Goal

- We would like to build
 - a machine that answers questions in natural language.
 - may have access to knowledge bases
 - may have access to vast quantities of English text
- Basically, think Alexa!
- This is typically called Question Answering

Semantics

- To build our QA system we will need to deal with issues in **semantics**, i.e., meaning.
- Sentential semantics: how word meanings combine (study now)
 - Who did what to whom; when, how, why. . .
 John loves Mary ≠ Mary loves John
 ⇒ Someone loves Mary
- Lexical semantics: the meanings of individual words (study after that)
 E.g., John is male, Mary is female,
 loves is more closely related to like than to sees, antonym of hate. . .

What we've learned so far about sentential syntax

- It captures linguistic generalisations about grammaticality (substitutability)
- It generates an unbounded set of grammatical sentences via a finite lexicon and finite rules (recursion)
- We can induce probabilistic grammars from a treebank, and so tackle (pervasive) syntactic ambiguity.

ullet Sentential syntax reveals information about sentence meaning John loves Mary $\mapsto love(j,m)$ Mary is loved by John Mary loves John $\mapsto love(m,j)$

- Decisions about how to resolve syntactic ambiguity are tied up with decisions about (intended) meaning.
- Syntactic ambiguity (almost) always yields a semantic ambiguity.
- Resolving syntactic ambiguities does *not*, however, resolve all semantic ambiguities
 - word sense, semantic scope, anaphoric expressions
 (all to be studied later in this course)

so reasoning about context is also very important (also studied later in this course)

Summary

- Meaning representations are important for many NLP tasks because they captures:
 - Who did what to whom in a way that abstracts away from (irrelevant) syntactic details
 - Valid inference, and information about reference
- Linguistic syntax informs meaning (and *vice versa*)

What we'll study now. . .

- Principle of Compositionality
- Exploit compositionality to augment a grammar with a semantic component, which deterministically derives the logical form of a sentence from its syntax tree.