HCI Week 3: Design Requirements

Uta Hinrichs & Tara Capel

today

- Design requirements and features
- Methods to gather design requirements
- Activity

Features are not requirements.

Data Visualisation Platform for Cotton Growers

Design Brief

Build a data visualisation platform for cotton growers in Australia to be able to visualise the number of birds and bats on their farm

- Why cotton growers?
- Why birds and bats?
- Why a data visualisation platform?



Data Visualisation Platform for Cotton Growers

Research

Participants: 8 cotton farmers across QLD and NSW

Phase 1: Semi-Structured Contextual Interviews

- Current use of technology and how this is integrated into the farm
- Motivations around biodiversity and sustainability, how cotton growers currently track biodiversity markers, and learn about the birds and bats on their farm
- Boundaries around data privacy, including how and where data is shared

Phase 2: Co-design workshop

• Created potential assets/features for the platform and other materials to explore its design with cotton growers

Data Visualisation Platform for Cotton Growers

Findings

- Cotton growers only have a general interest in knowing what birds and bats are on their farm
- The main interest in the platform is its potential for pest management, which stems from the drive not to use pesticide or insecticide sprays on the cotton for both environmental and economic reasons
- Cotton growers mainly interested in knowing the number of insect-eating bird and bat species, and their activity over the cotton crop to include as part of their decision-making process around the use of sprays. They also wanted to know how to attract more of these species to their farms.
- Cotton growers already used a data visualisation platform to visualise what farmer was growing what crops and where. They wanted to know the specific location of the sensor on their farms to make informed decisions. They also wanted to know what species were on other farms.

What are the requirements for this platform?

Data Visualisation Platform for Cotton Growers

How do we gather design requirements?

Many methods to gather design requirements

- Reading background literature
- Surveys
- Diary studies
- Interviews with users and/or experts
- Focus groups
- Contextual inquiries
- AEIOU (UMD #2)*
- Artifact analysis
- Personas

Literature Review

- Someone might have studied your type of project
- Prior research may have a list of requirements for specific user groups or tasks (e.g. children, autism, visually impaired)
- Review of similar systems that we can learn from
- When to use:
 - is <u>ALWAYS</u> a good idea.

J Autism Dev Disord (2015) 45:3820-3831 DOI 10.1007/s10803-014-2333-1

ORIGINAL PAPER

Designing Serious Game Interventions for Individuals with Autism

Elisabeth M. Whyte · Joshua M. Smyth · K. Suzanne Scherf

Published online: 10 December 2014

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

Abstract The design of "Serious games" that use game components (e.g., storyline, long-term goals, rewards) to create engaging learning experiences has increased in recent years. We examine of the core principles of serious game design and examine the current use of these principles in computer-based interventions for individuals with autism. Participants who undergo these computer-based interventions often show little evidence of the ability to generalize such learning to novel, everyday social communicative interactions. This lack of generalized learning may result, in part, from the limited use of fundamental elements of serious game design that are known to maximize learning. We suggest that future computer-based interventions should consider the full range of serious game design principles that promote generalization of learning.

Keywords Autism - Serious game - Virtual reality -Technology - Computer-based intervention - Cognitive training

Introduction

There is an emerging field of intervention research that is designed to enhance cognitive and social skills, with the ultimate goal of improving psychosocial outcomes in both

E. M. Whyte (□□) · K. S. Scherf Department of Psychology, Pennsylvania State University, 110 Moore Building, University Park, PA 16802, USA e-mail: emv131@psu.edu

J. M. Smyth Department of Biobehavioral Health, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA



mental health and developmental disorders (e and Kurtz 2013). Some of the best exar research include interventions that remed deficits in schizophrenia, which ultimately i functioning for these patients (e.g., Meda 2009). Increasingly, researchers are turning ized versions of these interventions because of scale them up and transport them easily, but of the ability to employ strategies for incremotivation and personalizing training, we enhances learning (Saperstein and Kurtz 20)

In the field of autism research, compute ventions are being used to improve emotidentity recognition abilities (e.g., Tanaka Wainer and Ingersol 2011) as well as langua skills (e.g., Grynszpan et al. 2014). This apprinspired, in part, by findings that children wit their typically developing peers) often ecomputer games in their discretionary time (Kuo 2011; Kuo et al. 2013). In addition, the game environment provides a safe and no context for practicing and acquiring new and (Kapp 2012). Unfortunately, many of these puter-based interventions for autism have evidence of learning generalization or im psychosocial outcomes.

Here, we argue that computerized into individuals with autism may be much more motivation can be improved and learning calized by leveraging principles from another of "serious game design" in educational Freitas 2006; Dickey 2006; Habgood and Air To make this argument, we first explain games are and how they are fundamentally entertainment games. Second, we show how

Retrospective Survey

• Ask people about things that have happened to them in the past using a survey format

• When to use:

- Critical events that users are likely to remember well – Describe a negative software update experience.
- Recent events that were somewhat memorableWhere did you have dinner last night?
- Rare events that had a large impact and are memorable – How did your partner propose to you?

• Why not to use:

 Hard to remember events – How many times did you cross a road last month?

Diary Study

- Ask people to record events as they happen
- When to use:
 - Rare events Some events are rare and cannot be easily observed
 - Easily forgotten People forget that some events even happen
 - Actual frequency important People forget how often they do things. For example, how many glasses of water have you had today?

• Cons:

 Study changes behavior – asking people to track their behavior tends to cause them to change their behavior

Interviews

• A participant has a discussion on a topic directed by a researcher

• When to use:

- During initial discovery (before you have a product to test) to uncover people's experiences, problems, behaviour and opinions
- To test concepts and early ideas for possible solutions
- As a follow-up to usability tests, when it's important to have users articulate their decisions and experiences
- After a product has launched, to understand evolving user needs and expectations

• Why not to use:

If you need more insight into context

Focus Groups

• A group of participants have a discussion on a topic directed by a researcher

• Pros:

- Get group opinion about issues
- Efficient way to test early ideas/designs
- Good way to identify issues or areas of conflict

• Cons:

- Can be taken over by assertive individuals
- Focus on people's opinions, not actual behaviours
- Limited sample size

Contextual Inquiry

• Similar to an interview, but done in the context where the participant is likely to interact with the technology

• Pros:

- Rich data
- Get to see the space where users normally interact with your technology
- Opportunity to identify 'obvious' things that users sometimes don't mention

• Cons:

- Time consuming
- Less structured data which can be hard to analyse
- May require special permission to visit and record space

AEIOU (UMD #2)

 Design Thinking Framework to "structure all observations you make on the field during user research"*

• When to use:

documenting observations e.g. contextual inquiry

• When not to use:

conflicting methods like interviews

A	E		0	U
-ORDERING FOOD	-EVENING -OUTSIDE -CROWDED -STADIUM LIGHTS	-CASHIER GIVES RECEIPT TO WORKER -WORKER PREPARES FOOD -CASHIER CALLS OUT ORDER # -FOOD GETS TRANSFERRED -CUSTOMER PAYS CASHIER -PEOPLE TALK IN LINE	-MONEY	>

^{*}https://medium.com/the-31-5-guy/the-aeiou-framework-for-design-8b7eff95c796 image from https://openpracticelibrary.com/practice/aeiou-observation-framework/

Artefact Analysis

• Look at the "things" people leave around in the world to understand a problem

• When to use:

- Physical spaces Workflows tend to generate physical artifacts which say a lot about how people work
- Tasks involve artifacts Goal task involves artifact creation. I.e. Microsoft Word
- o Interactions generate artifacts For example, emails, social media posts, etc.

• When not to use:

- There are no meaningful artifacts
- It is faster to learn the information another way
 - artifact analysis can take some time

Personas

• A short representation of a fictitious user that describes a reasonably large segment of your intended user population

• When to use:

- After requirements gathering to represent outcomes to others
- During design to help envision the user
- During evaluation to envision the user's goals and abilities

• Pros:

- Easy to understand
- Good for communicating who the user is

• Cons:

Can ignore less-common users

Activity

Activity

- Sit in groups of 3 or 4 within your section
- Discuss which method/s you think are most suitable to collect design requirements for your assigned design brief
- Share with the class

Section 1:

 Investigate how social media platforms contribute to the spread of conspiracy theories among users

Section 2:

 Design and build an augmented reality application to assist people in evacuating buildings during an emergency

Section 3:

 Design and build an application to support people's self-care practices

Section 4:

 Design and build an application to improve patient's experiences in healthcare settings

homework

- Reflect on your experience with Learn and Open Course
 - Issues
 - Things that work well
 - Features

next steps

- Wednesday, Oct. 1; 2-3pm
- Usha Kasera Lecture Theatre Old College
- Interviews (structured, semi-structured, unstructured)