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Previous lectures

- Design
  - Class diagrams
  - Sequence diagrams

Both important for this lecture
Design patterns

- Meaning, background and use
- Elements of a pattern
- Cautions on pattern use
- Architectural pattern: The Model View Controller (MVC)
- Detailed design pattern (behavioural): Command
  - The problem
  - Details
  - Advantages
  - Disadvantages
Design Patterns

“Reuse of good ideas”

A pattern is a named, well understood good solution to a common problem.

▶ Experienced designers recognise variants on recurring problems and understand how to solve them.
▶ They communicate their understanding by recording it in design patterns
▶ Such patterns then help novices avoid having to find solutions from first principles.

*Patterns help novices to learn by example to behave more like experts.*
Patterns: background and use

Idea comes from architecture (Christopher Alexander): e.g. **Window Place**: observe that people need comfortable places to sit, and like being near windows, so make a comfortable seating place at a window.

Similarly, **software design patterns** address many commonly arising technical problems in software design, particularly OO design.

Patterns also used in: reengineering; project management; configuration management; etc.

**Pattern catalogues**: for easy reference, and to let designers talk shorthand.
Elements of a pattern

A pattern catalogue entry normally includes roughly:

- Name (e.g. Publisher-Subscriber)
- Aliases (e.g. Observer, Dependants)
- Context (in what circumstances can the problem arise?)
- Problem (why won’t a naive approach work?)
- Solution (normally a mixture of text and models)
- Consequences (good and bad things about what happens if you use the pattern.)
Cautions on pattern use

Patterns are very useful if you have the problem they’re trying to solve.

But they add complexity, and often e.g. performance penalties too. Exercise discretion.

You’ll find the criticism that the GoF patterns in particular are “just” getting round the deficiencies of OOPLs. This is true, but misses the point.

(GoF = “Gang of Four”, authors of the first major Design Patterns book)
Model View Controller (MVC): the problem

Context: architectural design

Reminders:

▶ The more complex a system is, the less maintainable, harder to understand, error prone, less secure.
▶ Complexity can increase at a high speed: the more components, the even more relationships between them
▶ Related concept of coupling; The more relationships, the higher the coupling
▶ Design guidelines/principles:
  ▶ Separation of concerns: components doing only one thing; grouping components with related functionality
  ▶ Keeping coupling low

Especially a problem for large scale systems (over 100K LOC)
Model View Controller (MVC): the solution

Split the application into 3 components:

- **Model**: manages data and the domain logic of the application. Communicates with the controller. Usually interacts with a data source (database, input file, etc.). Can sometimes update the view (not in version from this course).

- **View**: defines and manages how data is presented to the users. There can be several views.

- **Controller**: receives input from the user, handles application logic, acts as middleman between model and view.
Model View Controller (MVC): an example interaction
Facilitates the separation of concerns, as each component has distinct responsibilities

- Decouples presentation (the view) from data and domain logic (the model)
- Multiple developers can work in parallel on the different components
- Easier to understand, maintain, less error prone
- Easier to test
- Supports multiple views, ideal for web applications

One of most popular architectures for web applications, used in numerous web frameworks: Ruby on Rails, Angular, Django, Flask.
Command pattern: the problem

Context: detailed design

Problems:

1. Parametrising an object (an invoquer) with a command to another (a receiver)

2. (Optional) Objects from different classes being able to do the same command

E.g. Universal remote control being programmable to turn on and off various items in your home like lights, stereo, AC etc. It should be easy to change button and dial controls, and to set buttons and dials to do the same thing.
Naïve solutions for problem 1):

- Adding to the invoquer’s implementation long lists of if-else statements standing for all possible commands, what to do, and for what receiver.
  E.g. in a Button class: "if required to turn on AC, tell AC object . . . , if required to turn off lights tell Light object”.

- Subclassing the invoquer for its use for different commands (to different receivers), interchangeable at runtime.
  E.g. ButtonACOn, ButtonLightsOff inheriting from Button

Difficult to understand (1), maintain (1, 2 if many subclasses), error prone (1, 2 especially if updating superclass); Invoquers are tightly coupled with receivers; problem 2 would only be solvable with code duplication or adding class dependencies.
Command pattern: general solution
Command pattern: solution to the example
Command pattern: advantages and disadvantages

Advantages:

▶ Reduced coupling between invoker and receiver
▶ Commands are objects so can be manipulated and extended like any object
▶ Composite commands can be set up
▶ Commands can be queued
▶ Undo/redo and logging can be set up
▶ Changing command in invoker is easy
▶ Several invoquers can use the same commands without code duplication
▶ Extensible as adding new commands is easy

Disadvantage: code may become more complex due to extra layer between invoker and receiver
Resources

**Recommended:** Read more on design patterns in general, e.g.
- Stevens: Ch18.2
- Sommerville: Look up *design patterns* in index

**Essential:** Read on the MVC and Command patterns:
- On the MVC architectural pattern: from YouTube
- On the Command pattern: from Refactoring Guru and YouTube