

Inf2: SEPP
Lecture 18: Verification, validation and testing:
Overview

Cristina Adriana Alexandru

School of Informatics
University of Edinburgh

Last lectures

- ▶ Requirements engineering
- ▶ Design
- ▶ Construction
- ▶ Refactoring

This lecture

Verification, validation and testing ("VV&T")

- ▶ Motivation
- ▶ Definitions
- ▶ Essence of testing
- ▶ The "bug" terminology
- ▶ Approaches to testing, kinds of tests
- ▶ How to test:
 - ▶ Test-first development
 - ▶ Test-driven development
 - ▶ Behaviour-driven development
- ▶ Evolving tests
- ▶ Limitations of testing

Verification, validation and testing: motivation

From Lecture 14 ...

High quality code does *what it is supposed to do*.

What it is supposed to do means:

- ▶ Meets stated requirements
- ▶ Meets wider expectations
(of whoever it was who asked for its development, and ideally of stakeholders)

Problems:

- ▶ How can we know this is the case?
- ▶ When it is not, how can we isolate the cause?

Verification, validation and testing: definitions

“VV&T” generally refers to all techniques for improving **product quality**, e.g., by eliminating bugs (including design bugs).

Verification: are we building the software right?

- ▶ Does software meet requirements?

Validation: are we building the right software?

- ▶ More general. Does software meet expectations?

Testing is a useful (but not the only) technique for both.

Other techniques useful for verification:

reviews/inspections/walkthroughs, static analysis

Other techniques useful for validation: prototyping, early releases

Essence of testing

- ▶ Generating stimulus for component
- ▶ Collecting outputs from component
- ▶ Checking if actual outputs are as expected

Often hard to fully test a component in isolation

- ▶ Component test environment constructed using *mock objects*

“Bug” : or more precisely:

1. **Mistake**: A human action that produces a fault
2. **Fault**: An incorrect step, process, or data definition in a computer program. A.k.a **defect**
3. **Error**: A difference between some computed value and the correct value; Captured by tests.
4. **Failure**: The software or whole system failing to deliver some service it is expected to deliver

Faults do not necessarily lead to errors

Errors do not necessarily lead to failures

Some approaches to testing

▶ **Black box**

- ▶ Focusing on the requirements while treating the system as a black box (i.e. without knowledge of its internal structure)
- ▶ Advantages: helps conduct verification; when refactoring, tests do not need to be changed
- ▶ Disadvantages: may not thoroughly exercise the different ways to execute the code

▶ **White box**

- ▶ Considers software internal structure; testing that the system does what the developer intended
- ▶ Advantages: helps developers check their work, more thorough
- ▶ Disadvantages: will miss misinterpreted requirements, refactoring will require updating the tests.

- ▶ **Regression testing**: repeat some/all tests after modifications; can help identify bugs and their location quicker.

Kinds of tests

- ▶ **Module (or unit) tests:** for each class in OO software, with subset of tests for each of its methods; Isolate causes of errors.
- ▶ **Integration tests:** test that components interact properly
- ▶ **System tests:** at the level of the whole system, check if requirements met
- ▶ **Acceptance tests:** check that system meets user/customer needs (validation); done in real environment with real data
- ▶ **Stress tests:** push system to its limits to check that performance degrades gracefully
- ▶ **Performance tests:** checking other performance requirements
- ▶ **Regression tests** (see regression testing above)

and many more. i.e., large area: whole third-year course on testing. Basics only here. For more see SWEBOK.

How to test

Desirable that tests are:

- ▶ repeatable
- ▶ documented (both the tests and the results)
- ▶ precise
- ▶ done on configuration controlled software

Ideally, tests should be written at the same time as the requirements- Now standard practice

- ▶ Tests and requirement features can be cross-referenced
- ▶ Use cases can suggest tests

Helps to ensure testability of requirements.

Test-first development (TFD)

Basic idea is

- ▶ write tests as informed by and capturing requirements, and **before** writing the code they apply to
- ▶ write code to pass the tests
- ▶ iteratively run tests as code is written

The motivating observation: tests implicitly define

- ▶ interface, and
- ▶ specification of behaviour

for the functionality being developed.

As a consequence:

- ▶ bugs found at earliest possible point
- ▶ bug location is relatively easy

Further advantages of TFD

TFD

1. **clarifies requirements:** trying to write a test often reveals that you don't completely understand exactly what the code *should* do.
 - ▶ Discover issues more quickly than if coding first
 - ▶ Makes coding easier
2. **avoids poor ambiguity resolution:** if coding first, ambiguities might be resolved based on what's easiest to code. This can lead to user-hostile software.
3. **ensures adequate time for test writing:** If coding first, testing time might be squeezed or eliminated, which is very risky.

Test-driven development (TDD)

A subtly different term, covers the way that in Extreme Programming detailed tests **replace** requirements.

- ▶ Disadvantage: communication with stakeholders affected

Behaviour-driven development (BDD)

More recent term

- ▶ Writing use cases in a more stylised language which can be parsed by a machine and at least partially turned into tests
- ▶ Advantages: more interpretable by stakeholders, produce tests
- ▶ Disadvantages: still not ideal for stakeholder communication; go deeper into design and implementation and may lose sight of higher level needs.

Evolving tests when new bug is identified

Assume an implementation passes all current tests.

What if a new bug is identified by users or by code review?

A good discipline is:

1. Fix or create a test to catch the bug.
2. Check that the test fails.
3. Fix the bug
4. Run the test that should catch this bug: check it passes
5. Rerun *all* the tests, in case your fix broke something else.

Limitations of testing

- ▶ **Writing tests is time-consuming**
- ▶ **Coverage almost always limited:** may happen not to exercise a bug.
- ▶ **Difficult/impossible to emulate live environment perfectly**
 - ▶ e.g. *race conditions* that appear under real load conditions can be hard to find by testing.
- ▶ **Can only test executable things**, mainly code, or certain kinds of model – not high level design or requirements.

Reading

Essential: SWEBOK v3 Ch 4, on Software Testing

Essential: Sommerville SE Ch 8

Suggested: Stevens Ch 19.