## Informatics 2D: Reasoning and Agents

#### Alex Lascarides

informatics



#### Lecture 19c: Planning and acting in the real world: Searching for hierarchical plans

#### Where are we?

#### **Hierarchical Plans**

- You can represent high level actions (HLAs) using alternative *refinements*
- A valid high level plan (HLP) is a sequence of HLAs for which there is an implementation that achieves the goal.
- Now: searching for a valid HLP

### Searching for Primitive Solutions

#### • The HLA plan library is a hierarchy:

- (Ordered) Daughters to an HLA are the sequences of actions provided by one of its refinements;
- Because a given HLA can have more than one refinement, there can be more than one node for a given HLA in the hierarchy.
- This hierarchy is essentially a search space of action sequences that conform to knowledge about how high-level actions can be broken down.
- So you can search this space for a plan!

## Searching for Primitive Solutions: Breadth First

- Start your plan P with the HLA [Act],
- Take the first HLA A in P (recall that P is an action sequence).
- Do a breadth-first search in your hierarchical plan library, to find a refinement of A whose preconditions are satisfied by the outcome of the action in P that is prior to A.
- Replace A in P with this refinement.
- Keep going until your plan P has no HLAs and either:
  - Your plan P's outcome is the goal, in which case return P; or
  - Your plan P's outcome is not the goal, in which case backtrack,

and if nowhere to backtrack then return failure.

## Problems!

- Like forward search, you consider lots of irrelevant actions.
- The algorithm essentially refines HLAs right down to primitive actions so as to determine if a plan will succeed.
- This contradicts common sense!
- Sometimes you know an HLA will work *regardless* of how it's broken down!
- We don't need to know which route to take to SFOParking to know this plan works:

[Drive(Home, SFOParking), Shuttle(SFOParking, SFO)]

• We can capture this if we add to HLAs *themselves* a set of preconditions and effects.

## Adding Preconditions and Effects to HLAs

- One challenge in specifying preconditions and effects of an HLA is that the HLA may have more than one refinement, each one with slightly different preconditions and effects!
  - If you refine Go(Home, SFO) with Taxi action: you need Cash.
  - If you refine it with Drive, you don't!
  - This difference may affect your choice on how to refine the HLA!
- Recall that an HLA achieves a goal if one of its refinements does this.
- And you can choose the refinement!

### Getting Formal

s' ∈ Reach(s, h) iff s' is reachable from at least one of HLA h's refinements, given (initial) state s.

$$\mathsf{Reach}(s, [h_1, h_2]) = \bigcup_{s' \in \mathsf{Reach}(s, h_1)} \mathsf{Reach}(s', h_2)$$

• HLP p achieves goal g given initial state s iff  $\exists s'$  st

$$s' \models g \text{ and } s' \in \mathsf{Reach}(s, p)$$

- So we should search HLPs to find a *p* with this relation to *g*, and then focus on refining it.
- But a pre-requisite to this algorithm is to define Reach(s, h) for each h and s.
- In other words, we still need to determine how to represent effects (and preconditions) of HLAs...

# Defining Reach

- A primitive action makes a fluent true, false, or leaves it unchanged.
- But with HLAs you sometimes get to *choose*, by choosing a particular refinement!
- We add new notation to reflect this:
  - $\widetilde{+}A$ : you can possibly add A (or leave A unchanged)
  - $\tilde{-}A$ : you can possibly delete A (or leave A unchanged)
  - $\underline{\widetilde{+}}A$ : you can possibly add A, or possibly delete A (or leave A unchanged)
- You should now *derive* the correct preconditions and effects from its refinements!

#### Our SFO Example

Refinment(Go(Home, SFO), Precond:At(Car, Home) Steps:[Drive(Home, SFOLongTermParking) Shuttle(SFOLongTermParking, SFO)])

> Refinment(Go(Home, SFO), Precond: Cash, At(Home) Steps:[Taxi(Home, SFO])

#### The 'Primitive' Actions

```
Action(Taxi(a, b),
Precond: Cash, At(Taxi, a)
Effect: ¬Cash, ¬At(Taxi, a), At(Taxi, b))
```

```
Action(Drive(a, b),
Precond:At(Car, a)
Effect:¬At(Car, a), At(Car, b))
```

```
Action(Shuttle(a, b),
Precond:At(Shuttle, a)
Effect:¬At(Shuttle, a), At(Shuttle, b))
```

### Deriving the Preconds and Effects of the HLA

- $\neg Cash$  is Effect of one HLA refinement, but not the other.
- So  $\cong$  *Cash* in HLA Effect!
- Not so Simple!
  - Similar argument for At(Car, SFOParking)
  - But you can't choose the combination:
     ¬Cash ∧ At(Car, SFOParking)
  - Solution is to write approximate descriptions.

#### Approximate Descriptions

#### Optimistic Description: Reach<sup>+</sup>(s, h)

- Take union of all possible outcomes from all refinements.
- So this includes  $\neg$  Cash and +At(Car, SFOParking).
- This overgenerates reachable states.

#### Pessimistic Description: Reach<sup>-</sup>(*s*, *h*)

- Only states that satisfy effects from all refinements survive.
- So this does *not* include  $\neg$  *Cash* or +At(Car, SFOParking).
- This undergenerates reachable states.

$$\operatorname{Reach}^{-}(s,h) \subseteq \operatorname{Reach}(s,h) \subseteq \operatorname{Reach}^{+}(s,h)$$

## Algorithm for Finding a Plan

Two Important Facts:

- If  $\exists s' \in \text{Reach}^-(s,h)$  st  $s' \models g$ , you know *h* can succeed.
- ② If  $\neg \exists s' \in \text{Reach}^+(s,h)$  st  $s' \models g$ , you know *h* will fail!

The Algorithm:

- Do breadth first search as before.
- But now you can stop searching and implement instead when you reach an *h* where 1. is true.
- And you can drop h (and all its refinements) when 2. is true.
- If 1. and 2. are both false for the current *h*, then you don't know if *h* will succeed or fail, but you can find out by refining it.



- HLAs and HLPs
- Using refinements and preconditions and effects of primitive actions to *approximate* which states are reachable.
- Such approximate descriptions of HLAs help to inform search and when to refine an HLP so as to reach a goal.
- Next time: Acting under Uncertainty