Introduction Inference with JPDs Summary

Informatics 2D: Reasoning and Agents

Alex Lascarides





Lecture 22a: Joint Probability Distributions (JPDs)

Where are we?

So far ...

- Introduced basics of decision theory (probability theory + utility)
- Talked about random variables, probability distributions
- Introduced basic probability notation and axioms

Today ...

• Probabilities and Bayes' Rule

Inference with joint probability distributions

- Last time we talked about joint probability distributions (JPDs) but didn't present a method for **probabilistic inference** using them
- Problem: Given some observed evidence and a query proposition, how can we compute the **posterior probability** of that proposition?
- We will first discuss a simple method using a JPD as "knowledge base"
- Although not very useful in practice, it helps us to discuss interesting issues along the way

Example

- Domain consisting only of Boolean variables *Toothache*, *Cavity* and *Catch* (steel probe catches in tooth)
- Consider the following JPD:

	toothache		<i>¬toothache</i>	
	catch	\neg catch	catch	\neg catch
cavity	0.108	0.012	0.072	0.008
<i>¬cavity</i>	0.016	0.064	0.144	0.576

- Probabilities (table entries) sum to 1
- We can compute probability of any proposition, e.g. $P(catch \lor cavity) = 0.108 + 0.016 + 0.072 + 0.144 + 0.012 + 0.008 = 0.36$

Marginalisation, conditioning & normalisation

- Extracting distribution of subset of variables is called marginalisation: $P(Y) = \sum_{z} P(Y, z)$
- Example:

$$P(cavity) = P(cavity, toothache, catch) + P(cavity, toothache, \neg catch) + P(cavity, \neg toothache, catch) + P(cavity, \neg toothache, \neg catch) = 0.108 + 0.012 + 0.072 + 0.008 = 0.2$$

• **Conditioning** – variant using the product rule:

$$\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{Y}) = \sum_{\mathsf{z}} \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{z}) P(\mathsf{z})$$

Marginalisation, conditioning & normalisation

• Computing conditional probabilities:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\mathit{cavity} \mid \mathit{toothache}) &= rac{\mathcal{P}(\mathit{cavity} \wedge \mathit{toothache})}{\mathcal{P}(\mathit{toothache})} \ &= rac{0.108 + 0.012}{0.108 + 0.012 + 0.016 + 0.064} = 0.6 \end{aligned}$$

- \bullet Normalisation ensures probabilities sum to 1, normalisation constants often denoted by α
- Example:

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{P}(\mathit{Cavity}|\mathit{toothache}) = \alpha \mathsf{P}(\mathit{Cavity},\mathit{toothache}) \\ &= \alpha [\mathsf{P}(\mathit{Cavity},\mathit{toothache},\mathit{catch}) + \mathsf{P}(\mathit{Cavity},\mathit{toothache},\neg \mathit{catch})] \\ &= \alpha [\langle 0.108, 0.016 \rangle + \langle 0.012, 0.064 \rangle] = \alpha \langle 0.12, 0.08 \rangle = \langle 0.6, 0.4 \rangle \end{split}$$

A general inference procedure

- Let X be a query variable (e.g. *Cavity*), **E** set of evidence variables (e.g. {*Toothache*}) and **e** their observed values, **Y** remaining unobserved variables
- Query evaluation: $P(X|e) = \alpha P(X,e) = \alpha \sum_{y} P(X,e,y)$
- Note that X, E, and Y constitute complete set of variables,
 i.e. P(x,e,y) simply a subset of probabilities from the JPD
- For every value x_i of X, sum over all values of every variable in
 Y and normalise the resulting probability vector
- Only theoretically relevant, it requires $O(2^n)$ steps (and entries) for *n* Boolean variables
- Basically, all methods we will talk about deal with tackling this problem!

・四・・モー・ ・ 日・

Introduction Inference with JPDs Summary



- You can use a JPD to answer any query
 - Marginalisation
 - Normalisation