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What is ethics?

“The discipline dealing with what is good and bad and with moral duty and obligation” (Merriam-Webster Dictionary)

“Computing professionals' actions change the world. To act responsibly, they should reflect upon the wider impacts of their work, consistently supporting the public good” (ACM code of ethics, also adopted by ACL)

“Concerned with people living a ‘good life’” (Paraphrase of Nadin Kociyan)

Ethics is something you do

Ethically significant = impacts chances of living a good life
Scope of Ethics

Misconduct vs. honest errors

Stakeholders

Intention significant in UK & US law

Data management

Authorship attribution

Peer review

Whistleblowing

Funding
Stakeholders

People + organisation

Have an **interest** in your project

Have an **affect** on your project

Are **affected by its outcomes**

Take a few minutes with a partner to identify 5 stakeholders of ChatGPT
Stakeholders

Companies & Institutions: boss, CEO, shareholders, clients

Society: laws, individuals, [vulnerable] groups, general public, quality of life

You: degree, job/career, family, legacy, reputation

Governments/nations: different laws, cultures, customs, beliefs

Anyone you will have to explain your work to (non-technical audience)
Everyone must be considering ethical issues, right...?
Figure 2: Corporate and Big Tech author affiliations. The percent of papers with Big Tech author affiliations increased from 13% in 2008/09 to 47% in 2018/19.

Figure 3: Affiliations and funding ties. From 2008/09 to 2018/19, the percent of papers tied to nonprofits, research institutes, and tech companies increased substantially. Most significantly, ties to Big Tech increased threefold and overall ties to tech companies increased to 79%. Non-N.A. Universities are those outside the U.S. and Canada.

(Birhane et al., 2022)
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What are some of the ethical issues specific to NLP/speech?

Take 2 minutes to think about this and then we will report back as a group

- In general …
- Recent scandals …
- Your research …
- Etc …
Exclusive: OpenAI Used Kenyan Workers on Less Than $2 Per Hour to Make ChatGPT Less Toxic

Billy Perrigo @billyperrigo · 18 Jan
The purpose of their work?

Well, without a filter over the top, ChatGPT would spew racism and sexism, just like its predecessor GPT-3.

These Kenyan workers were helping OpenAI build that filter. (3/8)

The work was vital for OpenAI. ChatGPT’s predecessor, GPT-3, had already shown an impressive ability to string sentences together. But it was a difficult sell, as the app was also prone to blurring out violent, sexist and racist remarks. This is because the AI had been trained on hundreds of billions of words scraped from the internet—a vast repository of human language. That huge training dataset was the reason for GPT-3’s impressive linguistic capabilities, but was also perhaps its biggest curse. Since parts of the internet are replete with toxicity and bias, there was no easy way of purging those sections of the training data. Even a team of hundreds of humans would have taken decades to trawl through the enormous dataset manually. It was only by building an additional AI-powered safety mechanism that OpenAI would be able to rein in that harm, producing a chatbot suitable for everyday use.
Beyond Fair Pay:
Ethical Implications of NLP Crowdsourcing

Boaz Shmueli¹,²,³,*, Jan Fell², Soumya Ray², and Lun-Wei Ku³
¹Social Networks and Human-Centered Computing, TIGP, Academia Sinica
²Institute of Service Science, National Tsing Hua University
³Institute of Information Science, Academia Sinica

Abstract

The use of crowdworkers in NLP research is growing rapidly in tandem with the rise and fairness (Hovy and Spruit, 2016; Leidner and Plachouras, 2017). Other works are concerned with the ethical implications of NLP shared tasks

(Shmueli et al., 2021)
Why Amazon Alexa told a 10-year-old to do a deadly challenge

Alexa gives answers it finds on the web, and that has been provided by users, but both have been proved unreliable in the past.
SAFETYKIT: First Aid for Measuring Safety in Open-domain Conversational Systems

Emily Dinan  
Facebook AI Research
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A. Stevie Bergman  
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Abstract

Warning: this paper contains examples that have offensive content.

In addition, neural LM generation is hard to control, although there are some first steps in this direction (Khalifa et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2020b). These sources...
"Though Defendants like to describe their AI image products in lofty terms, the reality is grubbier and nastier," the artists said. "AI image products are primarily valued as copyright-laundering devices, promising customers the benefits of art without the costs of artists."
Diffusion Art or Digital Forgery? Investigating Data Replication in Diffusion Models

Gowthami Somepalli, Vasu Singla, Micah Goldblum, Jonas Geiping, Tom Goldstein

University of Maryland, College Park  
{gowthami, vsingla, jgeiping, tomg}@cs.umd.edu  

New York University  
goldblum@nyu.edu

(Somepalli et al., 2023)
What can we do?

National and international regulation (e.g. the EU AI Act)

Professional society guidelines (e.g. ACM code of ethics, ACL responsible NLP checklist)

Transparent documentation (e.g. model cards, datasheets)

Limit access (?) (e.g. restricted access to GPT-3)

External and Internal auditing

Personal moral compass
Responsible NLP Research Checklist

Members of the ACL are responsible for adhering to the ACL code of ethics. The ARR Responsible NLP Research checklist is designed to encourage best practices for responsible research, addressing issues of research ethics, societal impact and reproducibility.

Please read the Responsible NLP Research checklist guidelines for information on how to answer these questions. Note that not answering positively to a question is not grounds for rejection.

All supporting evidence can appear either in the main paper or the supplemental material. For each question, if you answer Yes, provide the section number; if you answer No, provide a justification.

You may complete the checklist either as a fillable PDF or via the LaTex source from the ARR website.

- If you are providing very brief justifications (less than 3 lines), using the fillable PDF will probably be easier.
- If you use the LaTex source, please do not modify, reorder, delete or add questions, question options or other wording of this document.

A  For every submission

A1  Did you discuss the limitations of your work?

If you answer Yes, provide the section number; if you answer No, provide a justification.

Yes  No  N/A
Figure 2: Overview of Internal Audit Framework. Gray indicates a process, and the colored sections represent documents. Documents in orange are produced by the auditors, blue documents are produced by the engineering and product teams and green outputs are jointly developed.

(Raji et al., 2020)  Model cards (Mitchell et al., 2019)  Datasheets (Gebru et al., 2020)
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Social Bias in NLP

What’s the problem?

NLP technologies can mimic & amplify human biases (Shah et al., 2020; Blodgett & O’Connor, 2017)

“Bias is … nearly inevitable in statistical models” (Shah et al., 2020)
Google’s Sentiment Analyzer Thinks Being Gay Is Bad

This is the latest example of how bias creeps into artificial intelligence.

By Andrew Thompson

MORE LIKE THIS

Tech
Crisis Text Line and the Silicon Valleyfication of Everything
JOANNE MCNEIL
The Efforts to Make Text-Based AI Less Racist and Terrible

Language models like GPT-3 can write poetry, but they often amplify negative stereotypes. Researchers are trying different approaches to address the problem.
There Is a Racial Divide in Speech-Recognition Systems, Researchers Say

Technology from Amazon, Apple, Google, IBM and Microsoft misidentified 35 percent of words from people who were black. White people fared much better.
Typical Development Cycle

Sources of bias

Bias can “creep into” your product at every stage
Gathering Data

(Sample) data may not represent population i.e. historical data, sampling bias (Suresh and Guttag, 2021)

Some groups may not be represented at all

“To highlight power inequities, it’s also useful to think about what is missing from a dataset.” Markl (2022)
Processing Data

Tools used to clean up data can be biased (Blodgett and O’Connor, 2017)

Oversimplified proxies, inaccurate labels (Suresh and Guttag, 2021)
Training Model

Models can exaggerate bias in the data (Zhao et al., 2017)

Can impact smaller NN models (Utama et al., 2020) but not directly related to size

Training objective priorities (learning bias) (Suresh and Guttag, 2021)
Testing Model

Bias in benchmarks (Buolamwini and Gebru, 2018)

Benchmarks typically focus on salient demographics

Check out the Algorithmic Justice League and Coded Bias Documentary
Deployment  Selbst et al., 2019

Framing Trap  (not considering everything in the system)

Portability Trap  (not everything can be reused)

Formalism Trap  (not everything can be defined mathematically)

Ripple Effect Trap

Solutionism Trap  (not everything needs a technological solution)
Deployment
Testing Model
Training Model
Gathering Data
Processing Data
Data set selection

Decision to reuse models
Choice of post-hoc debiasing approach
Which evaluation to choose
Evaluation priorities

Choice of how to “clean” data
Choice of model architecture (black box)
Training priorities

Training Model
Testing Model
Deployment
Gathering Data
Processing Data
Human behaviour determines the real world impact of technologies
Deployment
Testing Model
Gathering Data
Processing Data
Training Model
Deployment
This Prompt is Measuring <MASK>: Evaluating Bias Evaluation in Language Models

Seraphina Goldfarb-Tarrant and Eddie L Ungless (joint first authors), Esma Balkir and Su Lin Blodgett
Evaluating NLG Evaluation

Natural Language Generation - using LM to produce text

“The male doctor was…”

“A leading expert in his field”

“The female doctor was…”

“Always late for work”
Evaluating NLG Evaluation

**Background:** Use of prompts to test for bias in LM without clearly defined harms or goals

**Potential harm:** Current metrics have **poor validity**

Do these tests measure what they claim to measure?
Evaluating NLG Evaluation

LM + prompt + bias

77 papers = 90 tests

context. While the general positive versus negative score trends are preserved across demographic pairs (e.g., Black vs. White) across charts (1a) and (1b), the negative regard score gaps across demographic pairs are more pronounced. Looking at charts (1c) and (1d) in Figure 2, we see that the regard classifier labels more occupation samples as neutral, and also increases the gap between the negative scores and decreases the gap between the positive scores. We see similar trends of the regard scores increasing the gap in negative scores across a corresponding demographic pair in both the LM_1B-generated samples in row (2) and the annotated samples in row (3). \cite{sheng2019}

(Sheng et al., 2019)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Choices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic details and scope</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language(s)</td>
<td>What language(s) is/are investigated?</td>
<td>open-ended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model(s)</td>
<td>What model(s) is/are investigated?</td>
<td>open-ended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code available?</td>
<td>Is code for the proposed bias test publicly available?</td>
<td>yes, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conceptualisation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use context</td>
<td>What context will the language model be used in?</td>
<td>zero-shot/few-shot, upstream LM, dialogue, Q&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias conceptualisation</td>
<td>How is bias—bias, fairness, stereotypes, harm, etc.—conceptualised?</td>
<td>stereotyping, toxic content generation, other, unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desired outcome</td>
<td>How is a good model outcome conceptualised?</td>
<td>no impact of demographic term(s), negative stereotype is not in model, no harmful output generated, other, unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operationalisation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prompt task</td>
<td>What is the prompt task?</td>
<td>sequence scoring, single word generation, prompt continuation, full sentence response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prompt origin</td>
<td>Where do the prompts originate?</td>
<td>author, crowd-sourced, corpus, automatically generated output content assessed, output quality assessed, difference in probability (ranking over fixed set), most probable option(s), difference in output distributions, difference in regard, difference in sentiment, difference in toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
<td>What metric or strategy is used to measure bias or harm?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>For which demographic groups is bias or harm investigated?</td>
<td>gender, ethnicity/race, religion, sexual orientation, other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy type(s)</td>
<td>What term(s) is/are used to proxy the demographic groups under investigation?</td>
<td>identity terms, pronouns, names, roles, dialect features, other, unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explicit demographics</td>
<td>Are the choices of demographic groups and accompanying proxies clearly defined and explained?</td>
<td>yes, no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender scope</td>
<td>For work investigating gender, how is gender treated?</td>
<td>binary gender only, binary gender only plus acknowledgement, binary and other genders, other genders only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Our taxonomy of attributes. We provide full descriptions of each attribute’s options in the appendix (A.2).
Evaluating NLG Evaluation

Key findings

- Vaguely defined harms
- Mismatch between conceptualisation and operationalisation
- Poor validity
Bias evaluation is based on researchers’ intuitions instead of social science theory + real world harms
Evaluating NLG Evaluation

10 recommendations for those measuring bias

1. More than the bare minimum
2. All of Sesame Street
3. Tell me what you want (what you really really want)
4. Make the implicit explicit
5. Well-spoken
6. Don't reinvent the wheel
7. Broaden your horizons
8. Consider the future
9. Do a reality check
10. Beware of collateral damage

Why those words?
Why those demographics?
Why is that harmful?
Why that language?
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Ask yourself…

What values are encoded in my work?

Have I considered all stakeholders?

Who is represented in the data, who is missing?

What happens if the technology is misused?
The human brain consists of three parts, namely the “spinal cord,” “limbic system” and “neocortex.” They perform the “reflex,” “emotion” and “intelligence” functions, respectively. However, the general robot control system does not have themselves recognize potential priming here, and I agree, at least to some extent. What I find missing a bit is the cisgender view, as one of the main potential harms mentioned is

3.1 The preliminary datasets

Following [38, 39] we used data from movie scripts — the text produced by three well-known fictive psychopath characters: The Joker in the movie "The Joker", Bateman in the movie "American Psycho" and Dexter from the TV series "Dexter". In addition, we collected all texts from Reddit discussion groups dealing with psychopathy (r/psychopath, r/sociopath, r/antisocial).

Dictionary definitions, however, are a neutral source for mitigating biases in word embeddings. The objective, impartial, and concise definitions of words in a dictionary could be unbiased reference points. We propose to encourage word em-

4.2 Social Bias Evaluation

Gender identity refers to the personal sense of one’s own gender [19, 47]. Sex is the assignment and classification of people as male, female, or other categories, based on physical anatomy and/or genetic analysis [35, 50]. In our gender bias analysis, we use gender to refer to sex and not gender identity. We use two gender categories: {male, female}.

1 Introduction

Since the introduction of the Implicit Association Test (IAT) by Greenwald et al. (1998), we have had the ability to measure biases in humans. Many IAT tests focus on social biases, such as inharmonic biases, which are based on their social connections [30, 70].

A basic attribute of modern human civilization is that the stock of natural resources steadily decreases, whereas the stock of artificial resources steadily increases. For example, artificial intelligence (AI) research commonly powered by the burning of fossil fuels, and in the process produces new technologies that civilization can benefit from. Will the increases in computers [63]. One possible explanation is that the human brain has not evolved quickly enough to assimilate the fast development of computer technologies [69]. Therefore, it is possible
Everyone: AI art will make designers obsolete

AI accepting the job:

Them: “AI is going to take over the world and kill us”

Meanwhile AI:

Humans doing the hard jobs on minimum wage while the robots write poetry and paint is not the future I wanted
Questions?
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