These are exercises for self-study and exam preparation. All material is examinable unless otherwise mentioned.

Exercise 1. *Maximum likelihood estimation for a Gaussian*

The Gaussian pdf parametrised by mean μ and standard deviation σ is given by

$$p(x;\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right], \qquad \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma).$$

(a) Given iid data $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, what is the likelihood function $L(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for the Gaussian model?

(b) What is the log-likelihood function $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta})$?

(c) Show that the maximum likelihood estimates for the mean μ and standard deviation σ are the sample mean

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \tag{1}$$

and the square root of the sample variance

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})^2. \tag{2}$$

Exercise 2. *Posterior of the mean of a Gaussian with known variance*

Given iid data $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, compute $p(\mu|\mathcal{D}, \sigma^2)$ for the Bayesian model

$$p(x|\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \qquad p(\mu;\mu_0,\sigma_0^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\mu-\mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2}\right] \tag{3}$$

where σ^2 is a fixed known quantity.
Hint: You may use that

$$\mathcal{N}(x; m_1, \sigma_1^2)\mathcal{N}(x; m_2, \sigma_2^2) \propto \mathcal{N}(x; m_3, \sigma_3^2) \tag{4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}(x;\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \tag{5}$$

$$\sigma_3^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{-1} = \frac{\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2} \tag{6}$$

$$m_3 = \sigma_3^2\left(\frac{m_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{m_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right) = m_1 + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2}(m_2 - m_1) \tag{7}$$

©Gutmann, University of Edinburgh, 2026 CC BY 4.0

Exercise 3. ***Maximum likelihood estimation of probability tables in fully observed directed graphical models of binary variables***

We assume that we are given a parametrised directed graphical model for variables $x_1, \ldots, x_d$,

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_i | \mathrm{pa}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}_i) \qquad x_i \in \{0, 1\} \tag{8}$$

where the conditionals are represented by parametrised probability tables, For example, if $\mathrm{pa}_3 = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $p(x_3|\mathrm{pa}_3; \boldsymbol{\theta}_3)$ is represented as

$p(x_3 = 1 \mid x_1, x_2; \theta_3^1, \ldots, \theta_3^4))$	x_1	x_2
θ_3^1	0	0
θ_3^2	1	0
θ_3^3	0	1
θ_3^4	1	1

with $\boldsymbol{\theta}_3 = (\theta_3^1, \theta_3^2, \theta_3^3, \theta_3^4)$, and where the superscripts j of θ_3^j enumerate the different states that the parents can be in.

(a) Assuming that x_i has m_i parents, verify that the table parametrisation of $p(x_i|\mathrm{pa}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}_i)$ is equivalent to writing $p(x_i|\mathrm{pa}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}_i)$ as

$$p(x_i|\mathrm{pa}_i; \boldsymbol{\theta}_i) = \prod_{s=1}^{S_i} (\theta_i^s)^{\mathbb{1}(x_i=1, \mathrm{pa}_i = s)} (1 - \theta_i^s)^{\mathbb{1}(x_i=0, \mathrm{pa}_i = s)} \tag{9}$$

where $S_i = 2^{m_i}$ is the total number of states/configurations that the parents can be in, and $\mathbb{1}(x_i = 1, \mathrm{pa}_i = s)$ is one if $x_i = 1$ and $\mathrm{pa}_i = s$, and zero otherwise.

(b) For iid data $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)}\}$ show that the likelihood can be represented as

$$p(\mathcal{D}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{s=1}^{S_i} (\theta_i^s)^{n^s_{x_i=1}} (1 - \theta_i^s)^{n^s_{x_i=0}} \tag{10}$$

where $n^s_{x_i=1}$ is the number of times the pattern $(x_i = 1, \mathrm{pa}_i = s)$ occurs in the data $\mathcal{D}$, and equivalently for $n^s_{x_i=0}$.

(c) Show that the log-likelihood decomposes into sums of terms that can be independently optimised, and that each term corresponds to the log-likelihood for a Bernoulli model.

(d) Referring to the lecture material, conclude that the maximum likelihood estimates are given by

$$\hat{\theta}_i^s = \frac{n^s_{x_i=1}}{n^s_{x_i=1} + n^s_{x_i=0}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}(x_i^{(j)} = 1, \mathrm{pa}_i^{(j)} = s)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}(\mathrm{pa}_i^{(j)} = s)} \tag{11}$$

©Gutmann, University of Edinburgh, 2026 CC BY 4.0

Exercise 4. ***Bayesian inference for the Bernoulli model***

Consider the Bayesian model

$$p(x|\theta) = \theta^x(1-\theta)^{1-x} \qquad\qquad p(\theta;\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) = \mathcal{B}(\theta;\alpha_0,\beta_0)$$

where $x \in \{0,1\},\ \theta \in [0,1], \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 = (\alpha_0,\beta_0)$, and

$$\mathcal{B}(\theta;\alpha,\beta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \qquad \theta \in [0,1] \tag{12}$$

(a) Given iid data $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ show that the posterior of θ given $\mathcal{D}$ is

$$p(\theta|\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{B}(\theta;\alpha_n,\beta_n)$$
$$\alpha_n = \alpha_0 + n_{x=1} \qquad\qquad \beta_n = \beta_0 + n_{x=0}$$

where $n_{x=1}$ denotes the number of ones and $n_{x=0}$ the number of zeros in the data.

(b) Show that the mean of a Beta random variable $f \sim \mathcal{B}(f;\alpha,\beta)$ is

$$\mathbb{E}[f] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}. \tag{13}$$

You may use that

$$\int_0^1 f^{\alpha-1}(1-f)^{\beta-1}\mathrm{d}f = B(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} \tag{14}$$

where $B(\alpha,\beta)$ is called the Beta function, and where the Gamma function $\Gamma(t)$ is defined as

$$\Gamma(t) = \int_o^\infty f^{t-1}\exp(-f)\mathrm{d}f \tag{15}$$

and satisfies $\Gamma(t+1) = t\Gamma(t)$.
Hint: Represent the partition function of the Beta distribution in terms of the Beta function.

(c) Show that the predictive posterior probability $p(x=1|\mathcal{D})$ for a new independently observed data point x equals the posterior mean of $p(\theta|\mathcal{D})$, which in turn is given by

$$\mathbb{E}(\theta|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{\alpha_0 + n_{x=1}}{\alpha_0 + \beta_0 + n}. \tag{16}$$

Exercise 5. ***Bayesian inference of probability tables in fully observed directed graphical models of binary variables***

This is the Bayesian analogue of Exercise 3 and the notation follows that exercise. We consider the Bayesian model

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^d p(x_i|\mathrm{pa}_i,\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) \qquad x_i \in \{0,1\} \tag{17}$$

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta};\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0,\boldsymbol{\beta}_0) = \prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{s=1}^{S_i} \mathcal{B}(\theta_i^s;\alpha_{i,0}^s,\beta_{i,0}^s) \tag{18}$$

where $p(x_i|\mathrm{pa}_i,\boldsymbol{\theta}_i)$ is defined via (9), $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0$ is a vector of hyperparameters containing all $\alpha_{i,0}^s$, $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0$ the vector containing all $\beta_{i,0}^s$, and as before $\mathcal{B}$ denotes the Beta distribution. Under the prior, all parameters are independent.

©Gutmann, University of Edinburgh, 2026 CC BY 4.0

(a) For iid data $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)}\}$ show that

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathcal{D}) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{s=1}^{S_i} \mathcal{B}(\theta_i^s, \alpha_{i,n}^s, \beta_{i,n}^s) \tag{19}$$

where

$$\alpha_{i,n}^s = \alpha_{i,0}^s + n_{x_i=1}^s \qquad \beta_{i,n}^s = \beta_{i,0}^s + n_{x_i=0}^s \tag{20}$$


and that the parameters are also independent under the posterior.

(b) For a variable x_i with parents pa_i, compute the posterior predictive probability $p(x_i = 1|\text{pa}_i, \mathcal{D})$ where $n^s = n_{x_i=0}^s + n_{x_i=1}^s$ denotes the number of times the parent configuration s occurs in the observed data $\mathcal{D}$.

Exercise 6. ***Learning parameters of a directed graphical model***

We consider the directed graphical model shown below on the left for the four binary variables t, b, s, x, each being either zero or one. Assume that we have observed the data shown in the table on the right.

Model:



$t = 1$ has tuberculosis
$b = 1$ has bronchitis
$s = 1$ has shortness of breath
$x = 1$ has positive x-ray

Observed data:

x	s	t	b
0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1
0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1
1	1	1	0

We assume the (conditional) pmf of $s|t, b$ is specified by the following parametrised probability table:

$p(s = 1\|t, b; \theta_s^1, \ldots, \theta_s^4))$	t	b
θ_s^1	0	0
θ_s^2	1	0
θ_s^3	0	1
θ_s^4	1	1

(a) What are the maximum likelihood estimates for $p(s = 1|b = 0, t = 0)$ and $p(s = 1|b = 0, t = 1)$, i.e. the parameters θ_s^1 and θ_s^2?

(b) Assume each parameter in the table for $p(s|t, b)$ has a uniform prior on $(0, 1)$. Compute the posterior mean of the parameters of $p(s = 1|b = 0, t = 0)$ and $p(s = 1|b = 0, t = 1)$ and explain the difference to the maximum likelihood estimates.

©Gutmann, University of Edinburgh, 2026 CC BY 4.0

Exercise 7. ***Maximum likelihood estimation and unnormalised models***


Consider the Ising model for two binary random variables (x_1, x_2),

$$p(x_1, x_2; \theta) \propto \exp\left(\theta x_1 x_2 + x_1 + x_2\right), \qquad x_i \in \{-1, 1\},$$

(a) Compute the partition function $Z(\theta)$.

(b) The figure below shows the graph of $f(\theta) = \frac{\partial \log Z(\theta)}{\partial \theta}$.

Assume you observe three data points (x_1, x_2) equal to $(-1, -1)$, $(-1, 1)$, and $(1, -1)$. Using the figure, what is the maximum likelihood estimate of θ? Justify your answer.



©Gutmann, University of Edinburgh, 2026 CC BY 4.0