## Exercise 1. Gaussian mean field variational inference

Assume random variables  $y_1, y_2, x$  are generated according to the following process

$$y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(y_1; 0, 1)$$
  $y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(y_2; 0, 1)$  (1)

$$n \sim \mathcal{N}(n; 0, 1) \qquad \qquad x = y_1 + y_2 + n \tag{2}$$

where  $y_1, y_2, n$  are statistically independent.

- (a)  $y_1, y_2, x$  are jointly Gaussian. Determine their mean and their covariance matrix, and hence their joint distribution.
- (b) The conditional  $p(y_1, y_2|x)$  is Gaussian with mean **m** and covariance **C**,

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{x}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1\\-1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

Since x is the sum of three random variables that have the same distribution, it makes intuitive sense that, given x, the conditional mean of  $y_1, y_2$  is 1/3 of the observed value of x. Moreover,  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are negatively correlated since an increase in  $y_1$  must be compensated with a decrease in  $y_2$ .

We now approximate the posterior  $p(y_1, y_2|x)$  with mean field variational inference. Denoting the variational distribution by  $q(\mathbf{y}|x) = q(y_1|x)q(y_2|x)$ , derive the update rules for the marginals  $q(y_i|x)$ .

Hints:

1. For a model  $p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})$  on observed variables  $\mathbf{v}$  and unobserved variables  $\mathbf{h}$ , in mean-field variational inference, each  $q_i$  is iteratively updated as

$$q_i(h_i|\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[\mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{h}_{\setminus i}|\mathbf{v})} \left[\log p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})\right]\right]$$
(4)

where  $q(\mathbf{h}_{\setminus i}|\mathbf{v}) = \prod_{j\neq i} q_j(h_j|\mathbf{v})$  is the product of all marginals without marginal  $q_i(h_i|\mathbf{v})$ .

2. You may use that

$$p(y_1, y_2, x) = \mathcal{N}((y_1, y_2, x); \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) \quad \mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

(c) In this example, the update rules result in two equations for two unknowns that can be solved in closed form. Derive the closed-form expression for the optimal mean-field approximation and compare it with the true conditional  $p(y_1, y_2|x)$ .

## Exercise 2. Variational posterior approximation

We have seen that maximising the evidence lower bound (ELBO) with respect to the variational distribution minimises the Kullback-Leibler divergence to the true posterior. We here investigate the nature of the approximation if the family of variational distributions does not include the true posterior.

(a) Assume that the true posterior for  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$  is given by

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(x_1; 0, \sigma_1^2) \mathcal{N}(x_2; 0, \sigma_2^2)$$
(6)

and that our variational distribution  $q(\mathbf{x}; 0, \lambda^2)$  is

$$q(\mathbf{x}; \lambda^2) = \mathcal{N}(x_1; 0, \lambda^2) \mathcal{N}(x_2; 0, \lambda^2), \tag{7}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is the variational parameter. Provide an equation for

$$J(\lambda) = KL(q(\mathbf{x}; \lambda^2)||p(\mathbf{x})), \tag{8}$$

where you can omit additive terms that do not depend on  $\lambda$ .

(b) Determine the value of  $\lambda$  that minimises  $J(\lambda) = \mathrm{KL}(q(\mathbf{x}; \lambda^2)||p(\mathbf{x}))$ . Interpret the result and relate it to properties of the Kullback-Leibler divergence.