Introduction to Quantum Programming and Semantics

Week 2: Monoidal categories

Chris Heunen



Tensor products

Function $f: U \times V \to W$ is bilinear when it is linear in each variable Tensor product of vector spaces U and V is a vector space $U \otimes V$ with bilinear $f: U \times V \to U \otimes V$ such that for every bilinear $g: U \times V \to W$ there exists unique linear $h: U \otimes V \to W$ such that $g = h \circ f$



Hilbert space with $\langle u \otimes v | u' \otimes v' \rangle = \langle u | u' \rangle \langle v | v' \rangle$

Tensor products

Function $f: U \times V \to W$ is bilinear when it is linear in each variable Tensor product of vector spaces U and V is a vector space $U \otimes V$ with bilinear $f: U \times V \to U \otimes V$ such that for every bilinear $g: U \times V \to W$ there exists unique linear $h: U \otimes V \to W$ such that $g = h \circ f$



Hilbert space with $\langle u \otimes v | u' \otimes v' \rangle = \langle u | u' \rangle \langle v | v' \rangle$

If $H \xrightarrow{f} H'$ and $K \xrightarrow{g} K'$ then $f \otimes g \colon H \otimes K \longrightarrow H' \otimes K'$

$$(f \otimes g) = \begin{pmatrix} (f_{11}g) & (f_{12}g) & \cdots & (f_{1n}g) \\ (f_{21}g) & (f_{22}g) & \cdots & (f_{2n}g) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (f_{m1}g) & (f_{m2}g) & \cdots & (f_{mn}g) \end{pmatrix}$$

Monoidal categories

Category theory describes systems and processes:

- physical systems, and physical processes governing them;
- data types, and algorithms manipulating them;
- algebraic structures, and structure-preserving functions;
- logical propositions, and implications between them.

Monoidal categories

Category theory describes systems and processes:

- physical systems, and physical processes governing them;
- data types, and algorithms manipulating them;
- algebraic structures, and structure-preserving functions;
- logical propositions, and implications between them.

Monoidal category theory adds the idea of parallelism:

- independent physical systems evolve simultaneously;
- running computer algorithms in parallel;
- products or sums of algebraic or geometric structures;
- using separate proofs of P and Q to construct a proof of the conjunction (P and Q).

Why so serious?

• Let *A*, *B* and *C* be processes, and let \otimes be parallel composition

What relationship should there be between these systems?

$$(A \otimes B) \otimes C$$
 $A \otimes (B \otimes C)$

▶ It's not right to say they're *equal*, since even just for sets,

$$(S \times T) \times U \neq S \times (T \times U).$$

- Maybe they should be *isomorphic* but then what *equations* should these isomorphisms satisfy?
- How do we treat trivial systems?
- What should the relationship be between $A \otimes B$ and $B \otimes A$?

Monoidal category

is a category **C** equipped with the following data:

► a tensor product functor

$$\otimes: \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}; \qquad (A, B) \longrightarrow A + B (A, B) \longmapsto (I, G) \qquad (A, B) \qquad (A,$$

a unit object

 $I \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C});$

an associator natural isomorphism

$$(A \otimes B) \otimes C \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,B,C}} A \otimes (B \otimes C);$$

a left unitor natural isomorphism

$$I \otimes A \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} A; \qquad \qquad \not {\phi} + A \quad = A$$

and a right unitor natural isomorphism

 $A\otimes I \xrightarrow{\rho_A} A.$

Monoidal category must satisfy triangle and pentagon equations:



Monoidal category must satisfy triangle and pentagon equations:



Coherence theorem for monoidal categories: If the pentagon and triangle equations hold, so does any well-typed equation built from α , λ , ρ and their inverses. (to appreciate this, try to prove $\lambda_I = \rho_I$!)

Set is monoidal

tensor product is Cartesian product of sets

tensor unit is a chosen singleton set {•}

► associators $(A \times B) \times C \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,B,C}} A \times (B \times C)$ defined by $((a,b),c) \mapsto (a,(b,c))$

► left unitors $I \times A \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} A$ defined by $(\bullet, a) \mapsto a$

► right unitors $A \times I \xrightarrow{\rho_A} A$ defined by $(a, \bullet) \mapsto a \int_{(f_a, g^{\perp}), L_c} \int_{(f_a, g^{\perp}), L_c} \int_{(f_a, (g^{\perp}, g^{\perp}), L_c)} \int_{(f_a, (g^{\perp}, g^{\perp}$

Other tensor products exist, this one is canonical for classical theory



$$(A \times B) \times (\xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,B,L}} A \times (B \times C)$$

$$(f \cdot g) \times h \int f \times (G' \times C') \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,B,L}} A' \times (G' \times C')$$

$$R = \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{1$$

Set is monoidal

tensor product is Cartesian product of sets

tensor unit is a chosen singleton set {•}

- ► associators $(A \times B) \times C \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,B,C}} A \times (B \times C)$ defined by $((a,b),c) \mapsto (a,(b,c))$
- ▶ left unitors $I \times A \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} A$ defined by $(\bullet, a) \mapsto a$
- ▶ right unitors $A \times I \xrightarrow{\rho_A} A$ defined by $(a, \bullet) \mapsto a$

Other tensor products exist, this one is canonical for classical theory

Rel is monoidal

 $\begin{array}{c} \downarrow & \ddots & \uparrow \\ (A', B') & A' \times B' \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} ? & \leq (A \times B) \times (A' \times B') \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{tensor product is Cartesian product of sets } \left\{ l(a, b) \times (a', b') \right\} & \left\{ a' \in \mathcal{A} \times B \right\} \\ \text{on morphisms: } (a, c)(R \times S)(b, d) \text{ if and only if } aRb \text{ and } cSd \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} (A,B) & A \times B & \mathcal{R} \in A \times A' \\ \\ \downarrow (\mathcal{R},S) & \uparrow ? & S \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}' \end{array}$

- tensor unit is a chosen singleton set = {•}
- ► associators $(A \times B) \times C \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,B,C}} A \times (B \times C)$ are the relations defined by $((a,b),c) \sim (a,(b,c))$
- ▶ left unitors $I \times A \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} A$ are the relations defined by $(\bullet, a) \sim a$
- ▶ right unitors $A \times I \xrightarrow{\rho_A} A$ are the relations defined by $(a, \bullet) \sim a$

This is not a categorical product in Rel

Hilb is monoidal

► tensor product \otimes : Hilb \times Hilb \rightarrow Hilb is tensor product

• tensor unit *I* is the one-dimensional Hilbert space \mathbb{C}

- ► associators $(H \otimes J) \otimes K \xrightarrow{\alpha_{H,J,K}} H \otimes (J \otimes K)$ defined by $(u \otimes v) \otimes w \mapsto u \otimes (v \otimes w)$
- ▶ left unitors $\mathbb{C} \otimes H \xrightarrow{\lambda_H} H$ defined by $1 \otimes u \mapsto u$
- right unitors $H \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\rho_H} H$ defined by $u \otimes 1 \mapsto u$

Other tensor products exist, this one is canonical for quantum theory $|\cdot| \oplus |\cdot|' = |\cdot| \times |\cdot|' \leq \langle (x_{i,j}) | (u_{i,v}) \rangle_{H_{\oplus H'}} = \langle \times | u_{i} \rangle_{H_{i}} + \langle y | v \rangle_{H_{i}}$

['] Interchange

Any morphisms $A \xrightarrow{f} B$, $B \xrightarrow{g} C$, $D \xrightarrow{h} E$ and $E \xrightarrow{j} F$ in a monoidal category satisfy the interchange law:

$$(g \circ f) \otimes (j \circ h) = (g \otimes j) \circ (f \otimes h)$$

Proof:

$$(g \circ f) \otimes (j \circ h) = \otimes (g \circ f, j \circ h)$$

= $\otimes ((g, j) \circ (f, h))$ (composition in $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$)
= $(\otimes (g, j)) \circ (\otimes (f, h))$ (functoriality of \otimes)
= $(g \otimes j) \circ (f \otimes h)$

For morphisms $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ and $C \xrightarrow{g} D$, draw $A \otimes C \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} B \otimes D$ as:

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
B & D \\
\hline
f & g \\
A & C
\end{array}$$

For morphisms $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ and $C \xrightarrow{g} D$, draw $A \otimes C \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} B \otimes D$ as:



The tensor unit *I* is drawn as the empty diagram:

For morphisms $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ and $C \xrightarrow{g} D$, draw $A \otimes C \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} B \otimes D$ as:



The tensor unit *I* is drawn as the empty diagram:

Unitors and associators are also not depicted:

 $\begin{array}{c|c} A \\ A \\ \lambda_A \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c|c} A \\ \rho_A \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c|c} A \\ A \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c|c} B \\ \sigma_{A,B,C} \end{array} \end{array}$

Coherence is essential for the graphical calculus: as there can only be a single morphism built from their components of any given type, it *doesn't matter* that their graphical calculus encodes no information

Interchange law trivialises:

$$\begin{pmatrix} g \circ f \end{pmatrix} \otimes (j \circ h) = (g \otimes j) \circ (f \otimes h)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} C \\ g \\ B \\ f \\ A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F \\ j \\ E \\ h \\ D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ g \\ j \\ F \\ g \\ f \\ A \end{pmatrix} D$$

Apparent complexity of monoidal categories just complexity of *geometry of the plane*. In geometrical notation complexity vanishes.

Isotopy

Two diagrams are planar isotopic when one can be deformed continuously into the other, such that:

- diagrams remain confined to a rectangular region of the plane
- input and output wires terminate at lower and upper boundaries
- components never intersect



(Height of diagrams may change, and input/output wires may slide horizontally along boundary, but may not change order)

Correctness

Theorem: well-formed equation f = g in monoidal category follows from the axioms \iff it holds graphically up to planar isotopy

Correctness

Theorem: well-formed equation f = g in monoidal category follows from the axioms \iff it holds graphically up to planar isotopy

P(*f*, *g*) = 'under the axioms of a monoidal category, *f* = *g*' *Q*(*f*, *g*) = 'graphically, *f* and *g* are planar isotopic'

Soundness is the assertion that $P(f,g) \Rightarrow Q(f,g)$ for all such f and g (easy to prove: just check each axiom)

Completeness is the converse: $Q(f,g) \Rightarrow P(f,g)$ for such f and g (harder: must show that planar isotopy is generated by finite set of moves, each being implied by the monoidal axioms)

States

Cannot 'look inside' object to see elements, must use morphisms. A state of an object *A* is a morphism $I \rightarrow A$.



States

Cannot 'look inside' object to see elements, must use morphisms. A state of an object *A* is a morphism $I \rightarrow A$.



- ► In Hilb: linear functions $\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{f'} H$, so elements of $H = f(z) \circ z \cdot f(z)$
- ▶ In Set: functions $\{\bullet\} \rightarrow A$, so elements of *A*
- ▶ In **Rel**: relations $\{\bullet\} \xrightarrow{R} A$, so subsets of *A*

Effects e.g. in Set:
$$A \xrightarrow{f} \{o\}$$
 only one?
 $Ral: A \xrightarrow{R} \{o\}$ subsets of A
 $Hills: H \longrightarrow C$ vectors in H

An effect on an object A is a morphism $A \rightarrow I$

Interpret effect as *observation* that a system has some property States, effects, and other morphisms, build up histories:



Joint states

A morphism $I \xrightarrow{c} A \otimes B$ is a joint state of A and B.



It is a product state when of the form $I \xrightarrow{\lambda_l^{-1}} I \otimes I \xrightarrow{a \otimes b} A \otimes B$:



It is entangled when not a product state.

Joint states: examples

► In Set:

- *joint states* of A and B are elements of $A \times B$
- ▶ *product states* are elements $(a, b) \in A \times B$
- entangled states don't exist

Joint states: examples

► In Set:

- *joint states* of A and B are elements of $A \times B$
- ▶ *product states* are elements $(a, b) \in A \times B$
- entangled states don't exist

► In Rel:

- *joint states* of A and B are subsets of $A \times B$
- ▶ *product states* are 'square' subsets $V \times W \subseteq A \times B$
- entangled states are subsets not of this form



Joint states: examples

▶ In Set:

- *joint states* of A and B are elements of $A \times B$
- ▶ *product states* are elements $(a, b) \in A \times B$
- entangled states don't exist

► In Rel:

- \blacktriangleright joint states of A and B are subsets of $A \times B$
- ▶ product states are 'square' subsets $V \times W \subseteq A \times B$
- entangled states are subsets not of this form

In Hilb:

- ▶ *joint states* of *H* and *K* are elements of $H \otimes K$
- 40 XOYEHOK

- product states are factorizable states
- entangled states are entangled states in the quantum sense

KEH

- Monoidal category: coherent tensor products
- Sound and complete graphical calculus
- States and effects: histories

- Monoidal category: coherent tensor products
- Sound and complete graphical calculus
- States and effects: histories

- Monoidal category: coherent tensor products
- Sound and complete graphical calculus
- States and effects: histories



- Monoidal category: coherent tensor products
- Sound and complete graphical calculus
- States and effects: histories