

Introduction to Quantum Programming and Semantics: tutorial solutions

Exercise 4.1

The comonoid structure on I is given by $(I, \lambda_I^{-1}, \text{id}_I)$. The definition of copyability and the first part of the definition of comonoid homomorphism are both described by the same equation in this case, namely:

$$d \circ a = (a \otimes a) \circ \lambda_I^{-1}$$

This means that a state a is copyable iff a satisfies the first equation in the definition of comonoid homomorphism. Note that in general, a copyable state a does not satisfy the other condition, namely deletion. A counter example is taking a zero state.

Exercise 4.2

- (a) The graphical proof for this part is very simple (simply plug in both u and u' into m), but we present a symbolic one for comparison.

Observe that the following equation holds because of naturality of ρ :

$$\rho_A \circ (u \otimes \text{id}_I) = u \circ \rho_I$$

Since $\lambda_I = \rho_I$, we have:

$$\rho_A \circ (u \otimes \text{id}_I) = u \circ \rho_I = u \circ \lambda_I$$

Using the same argument, but for λ and u' we get:

$$\lambda_A \circ (\text{id}_I \otimes u') = u' \circ \lambda_I = u' \circ \rho_I$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & m \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes u') = \rho_A \\ \implies & m \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes u') \circ (u \otimes \text{id}_I) = \rho_A \circ (u \otimes \text{id}_I) && \text{(compose on right)} \\ \implies & m \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes u') \circ (u \otimes \text{id}_I) = u \circ \lambda_I && \text{(above equation)} \\ \implies & m \circ (u \otimes u') = u \circ \lambda_I && \text{(interchange law)} \\ \implies & m \circ (u \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ (\text{id}_I \otimes u') = u \circ \lambda_I && \text{(interchange law)} \\ \implies & \lambda_A \circ (\text{id}_I \otimes u') = u \circ \lambda_I && \text{(monoid axiom)} \\ \implies & u' \circ \lambda_I = u \circ \lambda_I && \text{(above equation)} \\ \implies & u' = u && (\lambda_I \text{ is invertible}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used only one of the equations for u' .

Exercise 4.3

For the whole exercise, the graphical proof is very simple and straightforward. However, for comparison, we show a symbolic solution instead.

(a) The trick is to plug in the state $(u_2 \otimes u_1 \otimes u_1 \otimes u_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1 \circ (m_2 \otimes m_2) \circ (u_2 \otimes u_1 \otimes u_1 \otimes u_2) &= m_2 \circ (m_1 \otimes m_1) \circ (\text{id}_A \circ \sigma \circ \text{id}_A) \circ \\
&\quad (u_2 \otimes u_1 \otimes u_1 \otimes u_2) \\
&\implies \\
m_1 \circ (\lambda_A \circ (\text{id}_I \otimes u_1)) \otimes (\rho_A \circ (u_1 \otimes \text{id}_I)) &= m_2 \circ (m_1 \otimes m_1) \circ (u_2 \otimes u_1 \otimes u_1 \otimes u_2) \\
&\implies \\
m_1 \circ ((u_1 \circ \lambda_I) \otimes (u_1 \circ \rho_I)) &= m_2 \circ ((\rho_A \circ (u_2 \otimes \text{id}_I)) \otimes (\lambda_A \circ (\text{id}_I \otimes u_2))) \\
&\implies \\
m_1 \circ (u_1 \otimes u_1) \circ (\lambda_I \otimes \rho_I) &= m_2 \circ ((u_2 \circ \rho_I) \otimes (u_2 \circ \lambda_I)) \\
&\implies \\
\lambda_A \circ (\text{id}_I \otimes u_1) \circ (\lambda_I \otimes \rho_I) &= m_2 \circ (u_2 \otimes u_2) \circ (\rho_I \otimes \lambda_I) \\
&\implies \\
\lambda_A \circ (\text{id}_I \otimes u_1) &= m_2 \circ (u_2 \otimes u_2) \\
&\implies \\
\lambda_A \circ (\text{id}_I \otimes u_1) &= \lambda_A \circ (\text{id}_I \otimes u_2) \\
&\implies \\
u_1 \circ \lambda_I &= u_2 \circ \lambda_I \\
&\implies \\
u_1 &= u_2
\end{aligned}$$

(b) From now on we will write $u = u_1 = u_2$.

Plugging in the map $(\text{id}_A \otimes u \otimes u \otimes \text{id}_A)$ to both sides of the equation yields the desired result.

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1 \circ (m_2 \otimes m_2) \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes u \otimes u \otimes \text{id}_A) &= m_2 \circ (m_1 \otimes m_1) \circ (\text{id}_A \circ \sigma \circ \text{id}_A) \circ \\
&\quad (\text{id}_A \otimes u \otimes u \otimes \text{id}_A) \\
&\implies \\
m_1 \circ (m_2 \otimes m_2) \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes u \otimes u \otimes \text{id}_A) &= m_2 \circ (m_1 \otimes m_1) \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes u \otimes u \otimes \text{id}_A) \\
&\implies \\
m_1 \circ (\rho_A \otimes \lambda_A) &= m_2 \circ (\rho_A \otimes \lambda_A) \\
&\implies \\
m_1 &= m_2
\end{aligned}$$

(c) We will write $m = m_1 = m_2$.

This time, the trick is to plug in the map $(u \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes u)$ to both sides of the equation. We get:

$$\begin{aligned}
m \circ (m \otimes m) \circ (u \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes u) &= m \circ (m \otimes m) \circ (\text{id}_A \circ \sigma \circ \text{id}_A) \circ \\
&\quad (u \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes u) \\
&\implies \\
m \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \rho_A) &= m \circ (m \otimes m) \circ (u \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes \text{id}_A \otimes u) \circ \\
&\quad (\text{id}_I \circ \sigma \circ \text{id}_I) \\
&\implies \\
m \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \rho_A) &= m \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \rho_A) \circ (\text{id}_I \circ \sigma \circ \text{id}_I) \\
&\implies \\
m \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \rho_A) &= m \circ \sigma \circ (\lambda_A \otimes \rho_A) \\
&\implies \\
m &= m \circ \sigma
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 4.4

(Note: This is ghastly without graphical calculus.)

Let A and B be arbitrary objects in our category. Set $B^A = B \otimes A^*$. We define the evaluation map $\text{ev} : (B \otimes A^*) \otimes A \rightarrow B$ by $\text{ev} = \rho_B \circ (\text{id}_B \otimes \varepsilon_A) \circ \alpha_{B, A^*, A}^{-1}$. For a given $f : X \otimes A \rightarrow B$, define $g : X \rightarrow B \otimes A^*$ by $g = (f \otimes \text{id}_{A^*}) \circ \alpha_{X, A, A^*}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes \eta_A) \circ \rho_X^{-1}$. From the snake equations it follows that $f = \text{ev} \circ (g \otimes \text{id}_A)$. To show g is unique, assume $f = \text{ev} \circ (g' \otimes \text{id}_A)$. Then we can precompose both sides with $\alpha_{X, A, A^*}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes \eta_A) \circ \rho_X^{-1}$ and tensor with id_{A^*} on the right to get $(f \otimes \text{id}_{A^*}) \circ \alpha_{X, A, A^*}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes \eta_A) \circ \rho_X^{-1} = (\text{ev} \circ \text{id}_A) \circ ((g' \otimes \text{id}_{A^*}) \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \alpha_{X, A, A^*}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes \eta_A) \circ \rho_X^{-1}$, or $g = g'$ (where the LHS is transformed according to the snake equations).

Exercise 4.5

Let $(A, \cdot, 1)$ be a monoid in $(\text{Set}, \times, 1)$ that is partially ordered in a way that $a \cdot c \leq b \cdot c$ and $c \cdot a \leq c \cdot b$ when $a \leq b$.

Consider a monoidal category \mathcal{C} , with objects $a \in A$. In \mathcal{C} , there is a unique morphism $a \rightarrow b$ between two objects iff $a \leq b$. Thus, any homset in \mathcal{C} is either empty or contains exactly one morphism.

The tensor product on objects a and b in \mathcal{C} is given by:

$$a \otimes b = a \cdot b$$

and on morphisms $f : a \rightarrow b, g : c \rightarrow d$ as:

$$f \otimes g = a \cdot c \rightarrow b \cdot d$$

which is well-defined because of the way the partial order on A is given.

The tensor unit in \mathcal{C} is 1. Note, that $1 \otimes a = a = a \otimes 1$. Thus, the left and right unitors (λ_a, ρ_a) are just the identity morphisms. Similarly, $(a \otimes b) \otimes c = a \otimes (b \otimes c)$ due to associativity in the monoid, so the associator α is also just the identity.

We will prove that an object of this monoidal category has a dual if and only if it has an inverse in A .

(LHS \implies RHS)

Suppose $l \in A$ has a dual $r \in A$. Thus $l \vdash r$ and $r \vdash l$. From the first duality, we get that the unit and counit morphisms exist which means

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq r \cdot l \\ l \cdot r &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from the second duality, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq l \cdot r \\ r \cdot l &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

By combining these four inequalities, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq r \cdot l \leq 1 \\ 1 &\leq l \cdot r \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $r \cdot l = 1 = l \cdot r$, which means that r is the inverse of l and vice-versa.

(RHS \implies LHS)

Assume that an object $l \in A$ has an inverse $l^{-1} \in A$. We clearly have $1 \leq l \cdot l^{-1} \leq 1$ and $1 \leq l^{-1} \cdot l \leq 1$ which means the unit and counit morphisms exist for both dualities ($l \vdash r$ and $r \vdash l$). The snake equations are then satisfied trivially. Therefore, l has a dual, namely l^{-1} .

An ordered *abelian* group induces a strict symmetry on the category, i.e. the symmetry is an identity: $ab = ba$. Furthermore, note that the dual of the dual of an object a is a itself. Therefore, because cups and caps are trivial, the conditions of a compact category are satisfied.

For the final part, if we have daggers, then

$$a \leq b \implies b \leq a \implies a = b$$

Thus, the category is discrete (only has identity morphisms).