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- What is meant by *modelling* in software design, and in SE more generally?
- Why is modelling important?
- History of modelling
- Diverse uses of modelling in current software engineering practice
Note on spelling

Let’s get one triviality out of the way.

**modelling**: British English

**modeling**: American English

Complication: **UML** stands for the **Unified Modeling Language**, which is a trademark, and so is spelled the American way even in the UK.
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And another while we’re at it: object **oriented**, not orientated.
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(Example paper coming up: guess the date?)
Iterative multi-level modelling - a methodology for computer system design

Abstract. The paper presents a method of modelling a computer system design as it evolves, so that evaluation can be made an integral part of the design process. The paper introduces the concept of concurrent existence, within a single model, of several representations of the system being modelled, at differing levels of abstraction. Thus important design decisions are expressed directly in terms of appropriately abstract quantities, facilitating understanding, validation, and modification of the system design. The paper includes brief details of an experimental implementation of the modelling technique and of the use of the technique to model both hardware and software components of a multi-processing system.
The reference

Zurcher, F.W. and Randell, B. Iterative multi-level modelling, A methodology for computer system design.
IFIP Congress, Edinburgh, August 5-10, 1968.
Why do software engineers model?

Most people find it psychologically “natural” to visualise software somehow. Can help:

▶ the person building the software to keep it straight in their head
▶ communication between groups of people (developers, customers...)

Tensions:

▶ between flexibility and standardisation
▶ between having obvious meaning and being precisely expressive

Let’s look briefly at the history of modelling in SE.
Graphical modelling

- Organisation charts
- PERT/CPM (program evaluation and review technique/critical path method) diagrams
- Genealogy diagrams

used as analogies in early papers: modelling software seen as a natural development.

The earliest real SE diagrams are data-oriented.

Key variable: size.
A “very large” model, Bachman 1969
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Use of models

▶ Static (structure) models: early on, seen as pictures, not as formal objects. (Chen, 1976 emphasises the (novel?) idea that a diagrammatic representation could be isomorphic to a symbolic one.)

▶ Dynamic models. E.g. flowcharts (e.g. Gilbreth, 1921); automata (e.g. Taylor Booth’s 1967 book Sequential Machines and Automata Theory); Harel statecharts, 1980s; message sequence charts, 1990s.

Thus modelling software was always around.

But really took off with, and became identified with, OO.
Object oriented modelling

1980s/early 90s: explosion of OO

Plethora of gurus, each with own company, tool, book, modelling notation

including Booch, Rumbaugh, Jacobson, the “three amigos” who originated UML, the Unified Modeling Language

and Coad, Odell, Schlaer and Mellor, Wirfs-Brock...
Unified Modeling Language

“The methods war is over: we won” said Booch and Rumbaugh.
OMG pulled the community together into standardising UML 1997
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UML now completely mainstream.

... which does not mean above criticism: we’ll see some of that later.
Unified Modeling Language

Thus the flexibility/standardisation tension has (for now, in the mainstream) been resolved in the standardisation direction.

(But there are mechanisms for customising UML, and related ways to define domain-specific modelling languages: more later.)

Good:

- Thriving tools market
- Mobility (of people and projects) - sort of
- Critical mass enables development of techniques and new kinds of tools to work on UML

Bad:

- You all have to learn UML :-)
- Bloat
- Inertia
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There are many aspects of software engineering that we could have chosen to have a course about.

Why is this course about design and modelling?

Because these, after programming, are arguably the most crucial skills for a software developer to have.

Why is that?
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Why do we “waste” time teaching modelling and design (and testing) then?
Eliciting requirements for software is very, very hard.

- Customers genuinely don’t know what they want.
- You may not have customers yet.
- You may not get access to the right people, or ask the right questions.
- Requirements change all the time anyway!

There are things you can do! But you will still get it wrong.

Good modelling, design and testing should let you change the software quickly and without breaking it, when the known requirements change.
Evolving software over a long time is costly and often hard.

- Software rots - it is based on assumptions that become false (that supporting software e.g. components and compilers continue to exist, be supported, work, work together; that requirements are as captured; that old architecture will support current conditions).
- People move on (someone understood this - but they’re not here now).

There are things you can do! But it will still be costly.

Good modelling, design and testing should let you change the software quickly and without breaking it, when things change.

(Major challenge here: not “losing” the models during the development. More on this later...)
Styles of modelling

UML use varies across projects and organisations, e.g.

▶ people scrawl UML diagrams on napkins and whiteboards
▶ UML diagrams appear in documents (sometimes after the code has been written)
▶ UML diagrams are developed in tools before the code, and code is generated from/in parallel with them

Not quite the same as Martin Fowler’s classification (required reading)

▶ UMLAsSketch
▶ UMLAsBlueprint
▶ UMLAsProgrammingLanguage
To Do

1. If you haven’t already: visit the course site, join the Piazza class.
2. Schedule time into your week for SDM work.
3. Read through this week’s lecture slides. Ask (your colleagues, failing that, me) about anything you’re unsure of.
4. See the course site, every week, for reading, videos, quiz.
5. Please bring writing implement and paper!
To think about

Is this a model? In what sense? What’s good/bad about it?