Security Engineering Question Sheet 6: Lectures 11 and 12

Prof. Ross Anderson & Yuvraj Patel

Here are a set of questions to help you think through the topics covered in lectures 11 and 12, on Operating Systems 2 and Ecosystems Security.

- 1. "The comparison between containers and virtualization shows that all new, under-tested technology is bad for security." Do you agree with this statement?
- 2. "Isolation costs money, and so there will inevitably be a race-to-the-bottom on security technologies in the cloud." What might this statement be referring to, and to what extent is it true?
- 3. Compare the isolation features between processes in Android to the isolation features provided by a virtualization environment such as VirtualBox.
- 4. Why do we need remote attestation? Can't we just trust that a good data centre will be running the right thing? Are there any parts of the system for which the guarantees on attestation provided by e.g. a TPM or SGX are insufficient for?
- 5. Why would you bother attacking the supply chain, when most systems use large amount of software that are buggy and/or out-of-date, and spearphishing attacks are so easy to pull off?
- 6. Why is a Mobile Network Operator less incentivised to patch your phone than Google or Apple? Why does Apple update for longer than Google?
- 7. How does the existence of App stores alter ecosystem-wide security on mobile phones?
- 8. Is Apple's IDFA (ID for Advertisers) good or bad?
- 9. "Open-source code should be used wherever possible. It has more eyes on it than closed-source applications, so is less likely to be buggy or malicious." To what extent do you agree?
- 10. On the Steam website (https://partner.steamgames.com/doc/features/drm), Valve has this to say about its DRM mechanisms for its video game store.

"The Steam DRM wrapper by itself is not an anti-piracy solution. The Steam DRM wrapper protects against extremely casual piracy (i.e. copying all game files to another computer) and has some obfuscation, but it is easily removed by a motivated attacker.

We suggest enhancing the value of legitimate copies of your game by using Steamworks features which won't work on non-legitimate copies (e.g. online multiplayer, achievements, leaderboards, trading cards, etc.)."

How might Valve improve the level of assurance given by their anti-piracy solutions, and why might they choose not to do so?