Combinatorial testing

Learning objectives

- Be able to explain the rationale and basic approach for systematic combinatorial testing
- Be able to apply some representative combinatorial approaches
 - Category-partition testing
 - Pairwise combination testing
 - Catalog-based testing
- Be able to explain the differences and similarities among the approaches
 - and application domains for which they are suited

Combinatorial testing: Basic idea

- Identify distinct attributes that can be varied
 - In the data, environment, or configuration
 - Example: browser could be "Chrome" or "Firefox", operating system could be "Win11", "Linux", or "MacOS"
- Systematically generate combinations to be tested
 - Example: Chrome on Win11, Chrome on Linux, Firefox on Win11, Firefox on MacOS, ...
- Rationale: Test cases should be varied and include possible "corner cases"

Key ideas in combinatorial approaches

Category-partition testing

 separate (manual) identification of values that characterize the input space from (automatic) generation of combinations for test cases

Pairwise testing

 systematically test interactions among attributes of the program input space with a relatively small number of test cases

Catalog-based testing

 aggregate and synthesize the experience of test designers in a particular organization or application domain, to aid in identifying attribute values

Category partition (manual steps)

- 1. Decompose the specification into independently testable features
 - for each feature identify
 - parameters
 - environment elements
 - for each parameter and environment element identify elementary characteristics (categories)

2. Identify relevant values

- for each characteristic (category) identify (classes of) values
 - normal values
 - boundary values
 - special values
 - error values

3. Introduce constraints

An informal specification: check configuration

Check Configuration

- Check the validity of a computer configuration
- The parameters of check-configuration are:
 - Model
 - Set of components

An informal specification: parameter *model*

Model

 A model identifies a specific product and determines a set of constraints on available components. Models are characterized by logical slots for components, which may or may not be implemented by physical slots on a bus. Slots may be required or optional. Required slots must be assigned with a suitable component to obtain a legal configuration, while optional slots may be left empty or filled depending on the customers' needs

Example:

The required "slots" of the Chipmunk C20 laptop computer include a screen, a processor, a hard disk, memory, and an operating system. (Of these, only the hard disk and memory are implemented using actual hardware slots on a bus.) The optional slots include external storage devices such as a CD/DVD writer.

An informal specification of parameter set of components

Set of Components

• A set of (slot, component) pairs, corresponding to the required and optional slots of the model. A component is a choice that can be varied within a model, and which is not designed to be replaced by the end user. Available components and a default for each slot is determined by the model. The special value empty is allowed (and may be the default selection) for optional slots. In addition to being compatible or incompatible with a particular model and slot, individual components may be compatible or incompatible with each other.

Example:

The default configuration of the Chipmunk C20 includes 20 gigabytes of hard disk; 30 and 40 gigabyte disks are also available. (Since the hard disk is a required slot, empty is not an allowed choice.) The default operating system is RodentOS 3.2, personal edition, but RodentOS 3.2 mobile server edition may also be selected. The mobile server edition requires at least 30 gigabytes of hard disk.

Step1: Identify independently testable units and categories

- Choosing categories
 - no hard-and-fast rules for choosing categories
 - not a trivial task!
- Categories reflect test designer's judgment
 - regarding which classes of values may be treated differently by an implementation
- Choosing categories well requires experience and knowledge
 - of the application domain and product architecture. The test designer must look under the surface of the specification and identify hidden characteristics

Step 1: Identify independently testable units

Parameter *Model*

- Model number
- Number of required slots for selected model (#SMRS)
- Number of optional slots for selected model (#SMOS)

Parameter Components

- Correspondence of selection with model slots
- Number of required components with selection ≠ empty
- Required component selection
- Number of optional components with selection ≠ empty
- Optional component selection

Environment element: *Product database*

- Number of models in database (#DBM)
- Number of components in database (#DBC)

Step 2: Identify relevant values

- Identify (list) representative classes of values for each of the categories
 - Ignore interactions among values for different categories (considered in the next step)
- Representative values may be identified by applying
 - Boundary value testing
 - select extreme values within a class
 - select values outside but as close as possible to the class
 - select interior (non-extreme) values of the class
 - Erroneous condition testing
 - select values outside the normal domain of the program

Step 2: Identify relevant values: Model

```
Model number
    Malformed
    Not in database
   Valid
Number of required slots for selected model (#SMRS)
    0
    Many
Number of optional slots for selected model (#SMOS)
    0
    Many
```

Step 2: Identify relevant values: Component

Correspondence of selection with model slots

Omitted slots

Extra slots

Mismatched slots

Complete correspondence

Number of required components with non empty selection

0

- < number required slots
- = number required slots

Required component selection

Some defaults

All valid

- ≥ 1 incompatible with slots
- ≥ 1 incompatible with another selection
- \geq 1 incompatible with model
- ≥ 1 not in database

Number of optional components with non empty selection

0

- < #SMOS
- = #SMOS

Optional component selection

Some defaults

All valid

- \geq 1 incompatible with slots
- ≥ 1 incompatible with another selection
- ≥ 1 incompatible with model
- \geq 1 not in database

Step 2: Identify relevant values: Database

```
Number of models in database (#DBM)

0
1
Many

Number of components in database (#DBC)
0
1
Many
```

Note 0 and 1 are unusual (special) values. They might cause unanticipated behavior alone or in combination with particular values of other parameters.

Step 3: Introduce constraints

- A combination of values for each category corresponds to a test case specification
 - in the example we have 314,928 test cases
 - most of which are impossible!
 - example zero slots and at least one incompatible slot
- Introduce constraints to
 - rule out impossible combinations
 - reduce the size of the test suite if too large

Step 3: error constraint

[error] indicates a value class that

- corresponds to a erroneous values
- need be tried only once

Example

Model number: Malformed and Not in database

error value classes

- No need to test all possible combinations of errors
- One test is enough (we assume that handling an error case bypasses other program logic)

Example - Step 3: error constraint

Malformed [error] Not in database [error] Valid Correspondence of selection with model slots **Omitted slots** [error] Extra slots [error] Mismatched slots [error] Complete correspondence Number of required comp. with non empty selection [error] < number of required slots [error] Required comp. selection > 1 not in database [error] Number of models in database (#DBM) 0 [error] Number of components in database (#DBC) 0 [error]

Error constraints reduce test suite from 314,928 to 2,711 test cases

Step 3: property constraints

constraint [property] [if-property] rule out invalid combinations of values

[property] groups values of a single parameter to identify subsets of values with common properties

[if-property] bounds the choices of values for a category that can be combined with a particular value selected for a different category

Example

combine

Number of required comp. with non empty selection = number required slots [if RSMANY] only with

Number of required slots for selected model (#SMRS) = Many [Many]

Example - Step 3: property constraints

Number of required slots for selected model (#SMRS)

1 [property RSNE]

Many [property RSNE] [property RSMANY]

Number of optional slots for selected model (#SMOS)

1 [property OSNE]

Many [property OSNE] [property OSMANY]

Number of required comp. with non empty selection

0 [if RSNE] [error]

< number required slots [if RSNE] [error]

= number required slots [if RSMANY]

Number of optional comp. with non empty selection

< number required slots [if OSNE]

= number required slots [if OSMANY]

from 2.711 to 908 test cases

Step 3 (cont): single constraints

[single] indicates a value class that test designers choose to test only once to reduce the number of test cases

Example:

value some default for required component selection and optional component selection may be tested only once despite not being an erroneous condition

Note:

single and **error** have the same effect but differ in rationale. Keeping them distinct is important for documentation and regression testing

Example - Step 3: single constraints

```
Number of required slots for selected model (#SMRS)
                           [single]
                          [property RSNE] [single]
Number of optional slots for selected model (#SMOS)
    0
                           [single]
                           [single] [property OSNE]
Required component selection
    Some default
                          [single]
Optional component selection
    Some default
                          [single]
Number of models in database (#DBM)
                          [single]
Number of components in database (#DBC)
                           [single]
```

from 908 to 69 test cases

Check configuration – Summary

Parameter Model

- Model number
 - Malformed [error]Not in database [error]
 - Valid
- Number of required slots for selected model (#SMRS)
 - 0 [single]
 - 1 [property RSNE] [single]
 - Many [property RSNE] [property RSMANY]
- Number of optional slots for selected model (#SMOS)
 - 0 [single]
 - 1 [property OSNE] [single]
 - Many [property OSNE] [property OSMANY]

Environment Product data base

- Number of models in database (#DBM)
 - 0 [error]1 [single]
 - Many
- Number of components in database (#DBC)
 - 0 [error]1 [single]
 - Many

Parameter Component

- Correspondence of selection with model slots
 - Omitted slots [error]
 Extra slots [error]
 Mismatched slots [error]
 - · Complete correspondence
- # of required components (selection ≠ empty)
 - 0 [if RSNE] [error]
 - < number required slots [if RSNE] [error]
 - = number required slots [if RSMANY]
- · Required component selection
 - Some defaults [single]
 - All valid
 - ≥ 1 incompatible with slots
 - ≥ 1 incompatible with another selection
 - ≥ 1 incompatible with model
 - \geq 1 not in database [error]
- # of optional components (selection ≠ empty)
 - 0
 - < #SMOS [if OSNE] = #SMOS [if OSMANY]
- Optional component selection
 - Some defaults [single]
 - All valid
 - ≥ 1 incompatible with slots
 - \geq 1 incompatible with another selection
 - ≥ 1 incompatible with model
 - ≥ 1 not in database [error]

Next ...

- Category partition testing gave us
 - Systematic approach: Identify characteristics and values (the creative step), generate combinations (the mechanical step)
- But ...
 - Test suite size grows very rapidly with number of categories. Can we use a non-exhaustive approach?
- Pairwise (and n-way) combinatorial testing do
 - Combine values systematically but not exhaustively
 - Rationale: Most unplanned interactions are among just two or a few parameters or parameter characteristics

Pairwise combinatorial testing

- Category partition works well when intuitive constraints reduce the number of combinations to a small amount of test cases
 - Without many constraints, the number of combinations may be unmanageable
- Pairwise combination (instead of exhaustive)
 - Generate combinations that efficiently cover all pairs (triples,...) of classes
 - Rationale: most failures are triggered by single values or combinations of a few values. Covering pairs (triples,...) reduces the number of test cases, but reveals most faults

Example: Display Control

The total number of combinations is (3x4x3x4x3)=432 test cases If we consider all combinations

Display Mode	Language	Fonts	Color	Screen size
full-graphics	English	Minimal	Monochrome	Hand-held
text-only	French	Standard	Color-map	Laptop
limited-bandwidth	Spanish	Document- loaded	16-bit	Full-size
	Portuguese		True-color	

Covering all Pairwise Combinations

Display mode × Scr	Fonts	
Full-graphics	Hand-held	Minimal
Full-graphics	Laptop	Standard
Full-graphics	Full-size	Document-loaded
Text-only	Hand-held	Standard
Text-only	Laptop	Document-loaded
Text-only	Full-size	Minimal
Limited-bandwidth	Hand-held	Document-loaded
Limited-bandwidth	Laptop	Minimal
Limited-bandwidth	Full-size	Standard
Full-graphics Text-only Text-only Text-only Limited-bandwidth Limited-bandwidth	Full-size Hand-held Laptop Full-size Hand-held Laptop	Document-loaded Standard Document-loaded Minimal Document-loaded Minimal

- All pairs of classes.
- This need not result in multiplicative increase
- BUT, it is hard to do manually for more than a few classes.

Pairwise combinations: 17 test cases

Language	Color	Display Mode	Fonts	Screen Size
English	Monochrome	Full-graphics	Minimal	Hand-held
English	Color-map	Text-only	Standard	Full-size
English	16-bit	Limited-bandwidth	-	Full-size
English	True-color	Text-only	Document-loaded	Laptop
French	Monochrome	Limited-bandwidth	Standard	Laptop
French	Color-map	Full-graphics	Document-loaded	Full-size
French	16-bit	Text-only	Minimal	-
French	True-color	-	-	Hand-held
Spanish	Monochrome	-	Document-loaded	Full-size
Spanish	Color-map	Limited-bandwidth	Minimal	Hand-held
Spanish	16-bit	Full-graphics	Standard	Laptop
Spanish	True-color	Text-only	-	Hand-held
Portuguese	-	-	Monochrome	Text-only
Portuguese	Color-map	-	Minimal	Laptop
Portuguese	16-bit	Limited-bandwidth	Document-loaded	Hand-held
Portuguese	True-color	Full-graphics	Minimal	Full-size
Portuguese	True-color	Limited-bandwidth	Standard	Hand-held

Adding constraints

• Simple constraints

example: color monochrome not compatible with screen laptop and full size

can be handled by considering the case in separate tables

Example: Monochrome only with hand-held

Display Mode	Language	Fonts	Color	Screen size
full-graphics	English	Minimal	Monochrome	Hand-held
text-only	French	Standard	Color-map	
limited- bandwidth	Spanish	Document- loaded	16-bit	
	Portuguese		True-color	

Display Mode	Language	Fonts	Color	Screen size
full-graphics	English	Minimal		
text-only	French	Standard	Color-map	Laptop
limited- bandwidth	Spanish	Document- loaded	16-bit	Full-size
	Portuguese		True-color	

Next ...

- Category-partition approach gives us ...
 - Separation between (manual) identification of parameter characteristics and values and (automatic) generation of test cases that combine them
 - Constraints to reduce the number of combinations
- Pairwise (or n-way) testing gives us ...
 - Much smaller test suites, even without constraints
 - (but we can still use constraints)
- We still need ...
 - Help to make the manual step more systematic

Catalog based testing

- Deriving value classes requires human judgment
- Gathering experience in a systematic collection can:
 - speed up the test design process
 - routinize many decisions, better focusing human effort
 - accelerate training and reduce human error
- Catalogs capture the experience of test designers by listing important cases for each possible type of variable
 - Example: if the computation uses an integer variable a catalog might indicate the following relevant cases
 - The element immediately preceding the lower bound
 - The lower bound of the interval
 - A non-boundary element within the interval
 - The upper bound of the interval
 - The element immediately following the upper bound

Catalog based testing process

Step1:

Analyze the initial specification to identify simple elements:

- Pre-conditions
- Post-conditions
- Definitions
- Variables
- Operations

Step 2:

Derive a first set of test case specifications from pre-conditions, post-conditions and definitions

Step 3:

Complete the set of test case specifications using test catalogs

An informal specification: cgi_decode

- Function cgi_decode translates a cgi-encoded string to a plain ASCII string, reversing the encoding applied by the common gateway interface (CGI) of most web servers
- CGI translates spaces to +, and translates most other non-alphanumeric characters to hexadecimal escape sequences
- cgi_decode maps + to spaces, %xy (where x and y are hexadecimal digits) to the corresponding ASCII character, and other alphanumeric characters to themselves

An informal specification: input/output

[INPUT] encoded: string of characters (the input CGI sequence)

can contain:

- alphanumeric characters
- the character +
- the substring %xy, where x and y are hexadecimal digits

is terminated by a null character

[OUTPUT] decoded: string of characters (the plain ASCII characters corresponding to the input CGI sequence)

- alphanumeric characters copied into output (in corresponding positions)
- blank for each + character in the input
- single ASCII character with value xy for each substring %xy

[OUTPUT] return value: cgi_decode returns

- 0 for success
- 1 if the input is malformed

Step 1: Identify simple elements

Pre-conditions: conditions on inputs that must be true before the execution

- validated preconditions: checked by the system
- assumed preconditions: assumed by the system

Post-conditions: results of the execution

Variables: elements used for the computation

Operations: main operations on variables and inputs

Definitions: abbreviations

Step 1: cgi_decode (pre and post)

- PRE 1 (Assumed) input string encoded null-terminated string of chars
- PRE 2 (Validated) input string encoded sequence of CGI items
- POST 1 if encoded contains alphanumeric characters, they are copied to the output string
- POST 2 if encoded contains characters +, they are replaced in the output string by ASCII SPACE characters
- POST 3 if encoded contains CGI hexadecimals, they are replaced by the corresponding ASCII characters
- POST 4 if encoded is processed correctly, it returns 0
- POST 5 if encoded contains a wrong CGI hexadecimal (a substring xy, where either x or y are absent or are not hexadecimal digits, cgi_decode returns 1
- POST 6 if encoded contains any illegal character, it returns 1

Step 1: cgi_decode (var, def, op.)

VAR 1 encoded: a string of ASCII characters

VAR 2 decoded: a string of ASCII characters

VAR 3 return value: a boolean

DEF 1 hexadecimal characters, in range ['0' .. '9', 'A' .. 'F', 'a' .. 'f']

DEF 2 sequences %xy, where x and y are hexadecimal characters

DEF 3 CGI items as alphanumeric character, or '+', or CGI hexadecimal

OP 1 Scan encoded

Step 2: Derive initial set of test case specs

- Validated preconditions:
 - simple precondition (expression without operators)
 - 2 classes of inputs:
 - inputs that satisfy the precondition
 - inputs that do not satisfy the precondition
 - compound precondition (with AND or OR):
 - apply modified condition/decision (MC/DC) criterion
- Assumed precondition:
 - apply MC/DC only to "OR preconditions"
- Postconditions and Definitions :
 - if given as conditional expressions, consider conditions as if they were validated preconditions

Step 2: cgi_decode (tests from Pre)

- PRE 2 (Validated) the input string encoded is a sequence of CGI items
 - *TC-PRE2-1: encoded* is a sequence of CGI items
 - TC-PRE2-2: encoded is not a sequence of CGI items
- POST 1 if encoded contains alphanumeric characters, they are copied in the output string in the corresponding position
 - *TC-POST1-1: encoded* contains alphanumeric characters
 - TC-POST1-2: encoded does not contain alphanumeric characters
- POST 2 if encoded contains characters +, they are replaced in the output string by ASCII SPACE characters
 - *TC-POST2-1:* encoded contains character +
 - TC-POST2-2: encoded does not contain character +

Step 2: cgi_decode (tests from Post)

- POST 3 if encoded contains CGI hexadecimals, they are replaced by the corresponding ASCII characters
 - TC-POST3-1 Encoded: contains CGI hexadecimals
 - TC-POST3-2 Encoded: does not contain a CGI hexadecimal
- POST 4 if encoded is processed correctly, it returns 0
- POST 5 if encoded contains a wrong CGI hexadecimal (a substring xy, where either x or y are absent or are not hexadecimal digits, cgi_decode returns 1
 - TC-POST5-1 Encoded: contains erroneous CGI hexadecimals
- POST 6 if encoded contains any illegal character, it returns 1
 - *TC-POST6-1 Encoded*: contains illegal characters

Step 2: cgi_decode (tests from Var)

VAR 1 encoded: a string of ASCII characters

VAR 2 decoded: a string of ASCII characters

VAR 3 return value: a boolean

DEF 1 hexadecimal characters, in range ['0' .. '9', 'A' .. 'F', 'a' .. 'f']

DEF 2 sequences %xy, where x and y are hexadecimal characters

DEF 3 CGI items as alphanumeric character, or '+', or CGI hexadecimal

OP 1 Scan encoded

Step 3: Apply the catalog

- Scan the catalog sequentially
- For each element of the catalog
 - scan the specifications
 - apply the catalog entry
- Delete redundant test cases
- Catalog:
 - List of kinds of elements that can occur in a specification
 - Each catalog entry is associated with a list of generic test case specifications Example:

catalog entry Boolean

two test case specifications: true, false

Label in/out indicate if applicable only to input, output, both

A simple catalog (part I)

Boolean

True in/outFalse in/out

Enumeration

- Each enumerated value in/out
- Some value outside the enumerated set in
- Range L ... U
 - L-1 in
 - L in/out
 - A value between L and U in/out
 - U in/out
 - U+1 in
- Numeric Constant C
 - C in/out
 - C-1
 - C+1 in
 - Any other constant compatible with C

A simple catalog (part II)

• Non-Numeric Constant C

• C		in/out
 Any other constant compatible with C 		in
 Some other compatible value 		in
• Sequence		
• Empty		in/out
 A single element 		in/out
 More than one element 	in/out	
 Maximum length (if bounded) or very long 		in/out
 Longer than maximum length (if bounded) 	in	
 Incorrectly terminated 	in	
 Scan with action on elements P 		
 P occurs at beginning of sequence 	in	
 P occurs in interior of sequence 		in
 P occurs at end of sequence 		in
 PP occurs contiguously 	in	
 P does not occur in sequence 		in
 pP where p is a proper prefix of P 	in	
 Proper prefix p occurs at end of sequence 		in

Example - Step 3: Catalog entry boolean

Boolean

• True in/out

• False in/out

- applies to return value
- generates 2 test cases already covered by TC-PRE2-1 and TC-PRE2-2

Example - Step 3: entry enumeration

- Enumeration
 - Each enumerated value in/out
 - Some value outside the enumerated set in

applies to

• CGI item (DEF 3) included in TC-POST1-1, TC-POST1-2, TC-POST2-1, TC-POST2-2, TC-POST3-1, TC-POST3-2

Example - Step 3: entry enumeration

applies also to improper CGI hexadecimals

- New test case specifications
 - TC-POST5-2 *encoded* terminated with *%x*, where *x* is a hexadecimal digit
 - TC-POST5-3 encoded contains %ky, where k is not a hexadecimal digit and y is a hexadecimal digit
 - TC-POST5-4 encoded contains %xk, where x is a hexadecimal digit and k is not
- Old test case specifications can be eliminated if they are less specific than the newly generated cases
 - TC-POST3-1 encoded contains CGI hexadecimals
 - TC-POST5-1 encoded contains erroneous CGI hexadecimals

Example - Step 3: entry range

Applies to variables defined on a finite range

- hexadecimal digit
 - characters / and :
 (before 0 and after 9 in the ASCII table)
 - values 0 and 9 (bounds),
 - one value between 0 and 9
 - @, G, A, F, one value between A and F
 - }, g, a, f, one value between a and f
 - 30 new test cases (15 for each character)
- Alphanumeric char (DEF 3):
 - 5 new test cases

Example - Step 3: entries numeric and non-numeric constant

Numeric Constant does not apply
Non-Numeric Constant applies to + and %, in DEF 3 and DEF 2:

• 6 new Test Cases (all redundant)

Step 3: entry sequence

apply to

encoded (VAR 1), decoded (VAR 2), and cgi-item (DEF 2)

- 6 new Test Cases for each variable
- Only 6 are non-redundant:
 - encoded
 - empty sequence
 - sequence of length one
 - long sequence
 - cgi-item
 - % terminated sequence (subsequence with one char)
 - % initiated sequence
 - sequence including %xyz, with x, y, and z hexadecimals

Step 3: entry scan

applies to *Scan encoded* (OP 1) and generates 17 test cases:

• only 10 are non-redundant

summary of generated test cases (i/ii)

```
TC-POST2-1: encoded contains +
                                                                          TC-DEF2-11: encoded contains % 'y'
                                                                          TC-DEF2-12: encoded contains %ay
TC-POST2-2: encoded does not contain +
                                                                          TC-DEF2-13: encoded contains \%xy(x \text{ in } [b..e])
TC-POST3-2: encoded does not contain a CGI-hexadecimal
                                                                          TC-DEF2-14: encoded contains %fy'
TC-POST5-2: encoded terminated with %x
                                                                          TC-DEF2-15: encoded contains %gy
TC-VAR1-1: encoded is the empty sequence
TC-VAR1-2: encoded a sequence containing a single character
                                                                          TC-DEF2-16: encoded contains %x/
TC-VAR1-3: encoded is a very long sequence
                                                                          TC-DEF2-17: encoded contains %x0
TC-DEF2-1: encoded contains %/v
                                                                          TC-DEF2-18: encoded contains %xy(y in [1..8])
TC-DEF2-2: encoded contains %0v
                                                                          TC-DEF2-19: encoded contains %x9
TC-DEF2-3: encoded contains '%xy'(x in [1..8])
                                                                          TC-DEF2-20: encoded contains %x:
TC-DEF2-4: encoded contains ' %9v'
                                                                          TC-DEF2-21: encoded contains %x@
TC-DEF2-5: encoded contains '%:v'
                                                                          TC-DEF2-22: encoded contains %xA
TC-DEF2-6: encoded contains ' %@v'
                                                                          TC-DEF2-23: encoded contains %xy(y in [B..E])
TC-DEF2-7: encoded contains '%Ay'
                                                                          TC-DEF2-24: encoded contains %xF
TC-DEF2-8: encoded contains '%xy'(x in [B..E])
                                                                          TC-DEF2-25: encoded contains %xG
                                                                          TC-DEF2-26: encoded contains %x
TC-DEF2-9: encoded contains ' %Fy'
TC-DEF2-10: encoded contains ' %Gy'
                                                                          TC-DEF2-27: encoded contains %xa
                                                                          TC-DEF2-28: encoded contains \%xy(y \text{ in } [b..e])
                                                                          TC-DEF2-29: encoded contains %xf
```

Summary of generated test cases (ii/iii)

```
TC-DEF2-30: encoded contains %xg
TC-DEF2-31: encoded terminates with %
TC-DEF2-32: encoded contains %xyz
TC-DEF3-1: encoded contains /
TC-DEF3-2: encoded contains 0
TC-DEF3-3: encoded contains c in [1..8]
TC-DEF3-4: encoded contains 9
TC-DEF3-5: encoded contains :
TC-DEF3-6: encoded contains @
TC-DEF3-7: encoded contains A
TC-DEF3-8: encoded contains c in [B...Y]
TC-DEF3-9: encoded contains Z
TC-DEF3-10: encoded contains [
TC-DEF3-11: encoded contains
TC-DEF3-12: encoded contains a
TC-DEF3-13: encoded contains c in [b..y]
TC-DEF3-14: encoded contains z
TC-DEF3-15: encoded contains {
```

```
TC-OP1-1: encoded starts with an alphanumeric character

TC-OP1-2: encoded starts with +

TC-OP1-3: encoded starts with %xy

TC-OP1-4: encoded terminates with an alphanumeric character

TC-OP1-5: encoded terminates with +

TC-OP1-6: encoded terminated with %xy

TC-OP1-7: encoded contains two consecutive alphanumeric characters

TC-OP1-8: encoded contains ++

TC-OP1-9: encoded contains %xy%zw

TC-OP1-10: encoded contains %xy%zy
```

What have we got?

- From category partition testing:
 - Division into a (manual) step of identifying categories and values, with constraints, and an (automated) step of generating combinations
- From catalog based testing:
 - Improving the manual step by recording and using standard patterns for identifying significant values
- From pairwise testing:
 - Systematic generation of smaller test suites
- These ideas can be combined

Summary

- Requirements specifications typically begin in the form of natural language statements
 - but flexibility and expressiveness of natural language is an obstacle to automatic analysis
- Combinatorial approaches to functional testing consist of
 - A manual step of structuring specifications into set of properties
 - An automatizable step of producing combinations of choices
- Brute force synthesis of test cases is tedious and error prone
- Combinatorial approaches decompose brute force' work into steps to attack the problem incrementally by separating analysis and synthesis activities that can be quantified and monitored, and partially supported by tools