

Automated Reasoning:

Solutions to Exercise Sheet 1: Propositional Logic

Exercise 1

1. Cats chase mice or birds, but not at the same time.

This can be represented as: $(M \vee B) \wedge \neg(M \wedge B)$

where M : Cats chase mice B : Cats chase birds

M	B	$M \vee B$	$\neg(M \wedge B)$	$(M \vee B) \wedge \neg(M \wedge B)$
t	t	t	f	f
t	f	t	t	t
f	t	t	t	t
f	f	f	t	f

2. If it rains the beach will be empty.

This can be represented as: $R \longrightarrow E$

where R : It rains E : Beach is empty

R	E	$R \longrightarrow E$
t	t	t
t	f	f
f	t	t
f	f	t

3. If Jane bought a piano today, she either sold her old one or took out a bank loan.

This can be represented as: $P \longrightarrow S \vee B$

where P : Jane bought a piano today S : Jane sold her old piano

B : Jane took out a bank loan

P	S	L	$P \longrightarrow S \vee B$
t	t	t	t
t	t	f	t
t	f	t	t
t	f	f	f
f	t	t	t
f	t	f	t
f	f	t	t
f	f	f	t

Exercise 2

Connective	Expression using
\neg	$p \mid \text{P}$
\wedge	$(p \mid q) \mid (p \mid q)$
\vee	$(p \mid p) \mid (q \mid q)$
\longrightarrow	$p \mid (p \mid q)$

Some notes:

- $p \wedge q$ is the same as $\neg (p \mid q)$
- $p \vee q$ is the same as $\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
- $p \longrightarrow q$ is the same as $\neg p \vee q$

Exercise 3

One possible ND proof:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{[R]_4 \quad [R \rightarrow P]_1}{R \vee \neg R} \text{excluded_middle} \quad \frac{\frac{P}{\neg R \vee P} \text{disjI2} \quad \frac{[R \rightarrow P]_1}{\neg R \vee P} \text{mp}}{\neg R \vee P} \quad \frac{[\neg R]_4 \quad \text{disjI1}}{\neg R \vee P} \text{disjE4}}{[(\neg R \vee P) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)]_2} \text{mp}}{Q \rightarrow S} \text{mp}}{[Q]_3} \\
 \frac{\frac{S}{Q \rightarrow S} \text{impI3}}{((\neg R \vee P) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)} \text{impI2}}{(R \rightarrow P) \rightarrow (((\neg R \vee P) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S))} \text{impI1}
 \end{array}$$

Alternatively, since the above proof does an application of `impI` that can be omitted to give a more succinct derivation:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\frac{\frac{[R]_3 \quad [R \rightarrow P]_1}{R \vee \neg R} \text{excluded_middle} \quad \frac{\frac{P}{\neg R \vee P} \text{disjI2} \quad \frac{[R \rightarrow P]_1}{\neg R \vee P} \text{mp}}{\neg R \vee P} \quad \frac{[\neg R]_3 \quad \text{disjI1}}{\neg R \vee P} \text{disjE3}}{[(\neg R \vee P) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)]_2} \text{mp}}{Q \rightarrow S} \text{mp}}{((\neg R \vee P) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)} \text{impI2}}{(R \rightarrow P) \rightarrow (((\neg R \vee P) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S))} \text{impI1}
 \end{array}$$

Note: The Isabelle theory file associated with this tutorial gives yet another proof that does not use the excluded middle axiom and Cut rule. It uses Isabelle's `ccontr` rule, which (as indicated in the lectures) is an alternative to excluded middle when it comes to making the logic classical.